Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report **Community Confinement Facilities** ☐ Interim **Date of Interim Audit Report:** Click or tap here to enter text. ⊠ N/A If no Interim Audit Report, select N/A **Date of Final Audit Report:** November 12, 2020 **Auditor Information** Robert Manville robertmanville9@gmail.com Name: Email: Company Name: Click or tap here to enter text. Mailing Address: 168 Dogwood Drive City, State, Zip: Milledgeville, Ga. 31061 912-486-0004 Telephone: Date of Facility Visit: 10/20-21,2020 **Agency Information** Name of Agency: Geo Group Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): Click or tap here to enter text. Physical Address: 4955 Technology Way City, State, Zip: Boca Raton, FL 33431 City, State, Zip: Mailing Address: Click or tap here to enter text. Click or tap here to enter text. □ Private for Profit The Agency Is: ☐ Military □ Private not for Profit □ State □ Federal ☐ Municipal ☐ County www.geogroup.com/PREA Agency Website with PREA Information: **Agency Chief Executive Officer** George C. Zoley Name: Email: gzoley@geogroup.com Telephone: 561-893-0101 **Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator** Name: Ryan Seuradge

Email: rseuradge@geogroup.com			Telephone: 561-999-5875		
PREA Coordinator Reports to: Daniel Ragsdale, Executive Vice President, Contract Compliance			Number of Compliance Managers who report to the PREA Coordinator:		
	Facil	ity Info	ormation		
Name of Facility: Grossman	Center				
Physical Address: 4715 Brewe	er Place	City, Sta	ate, Zip: Leavenworth, KS	S, 66048	
Mailing Address (if different from Click or tap here to enter text.	above):	City, Sta	ate, Zip: Click or tap here to	enter text.	
The Facility Is:	☐ Military		□ Private for Profit	☐ Private not for Profit	
☐ Municipal	☐ County		☐ State	☐ Federal	
Facility Website with PREA Inform	nation: www.geo(group.c	om/PREA		
Has the facility been accredited v	vithin the past 3 years?	Ye	es 🗌 No		
If the facility has been accredited within the past 3 years, select the accrediting organization(s) – select all that apply (N/A if the facility has not been accredited within the past 3 years): ACA NCCHC CALEA Other (please name or describe: Click or tap here to enter text. N/A If the facility has completed any internal or external audits other than those that resulted in accreditation, please describe: The facility has received monitoring audits conducted by the Bureau of Prisons.					
Facility Director					
Name: Christopher Zych		ı			
Email: czych@geogroup.	com	Teleph	one: 913-222-8420		
Facility PREA Compliance Manager					
Name: Vanessa Johnston	Vanessa Johnston				
Email: vjohnston@geogro	ril: vjohnston@geogroup.com Telephone: 913-222-8420				
	Facility Health S	Service	Administrator ⊠ N/A		
Name: Click or tap here to er	ter text.				
Email: Click or tap here to en	ter text.	Teleph	one: Click or tap here to en	ter text.	
Facility Characteristics					

Designated Facility Capacity:	136	
Current Population of Facility:	53 in-house	
Average daily population for the past 12 months:	53 in-house	
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	☐ Yes	
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	☐ Females ☐ Males	⊠ Both Females and Males
Age range of population:	Adults (27-66)	
Average length of stay or time under supervision	3-6 months	
Facility security levels/resident custody levels	Minimum	
Number of residents admitted to facility during the pas	t 12 months	394
Number of residents admitted to facility during the passtay in the facility was for 72 hours or more:	t 12 months whose length of	393
Number of residents admitted to facility during the passtay in the facility was for 30 days or more:	t 12 months whose length of	394
Does the audited facility hold residents for one or more other agencies (e.g. a State correctional agency, U.S. Marshals Service, Bureau of Prisons, U.S. Immigration and Customs Enforcement)?		⊠ Yes □ No
city jail) Private corrections or detention Other - please name or descri		agency on agency detention facility or detention facility (e.g. police lockup or
Number of staff currently employed by the facility who may have contact with		31
residents: Number of staff hired by the facility during the past 12 months who may have contact with residents:		1
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with residents:		0

Number of individual contractors who have contact with authorized to enter the facility:	0	
Number of volunteers who have contact with residents, the facility:	1	
F	Physical Plant	
Number of buildings:		
Auditors should count all buildings that are part of the formally allowed to enter them or not. In situations whe been erected (e.g., tents) the auditor should use their dito include the structure in the overall count of buildings temporary structure is regularly or routinely used to ho temporary structure is used to house or support operat short period of time (e.g., an emergency situation), it should not buildings.	1	
Number of resident housing units:		
Enter 0 if the facility does not have discrete housing units. DOJ PREA Working Group FAQ on the definition of a housing unit: How is a "housing unit" defined for the purposes of the PREA Standards? The question has been raised in particular as it relates to facilities that have adjacent or interconnected units. The most common concept of a housing unit is architectural. The generally agreed-upon definition is a space that is enclosed by physical barriers accessed through one or more doors of various types, including commercial-grade swing doors, steel sliding doors, interlocking sally port doors, etc. In addition to the primary entrance and exit, additional doors are often included to meet life safety codes. The unit contains sleeping space, sanitary facilities (including toilets, lavatories, and showers), and a dayroom or leisure space in differing configurations. Many facilities are designed with modules or pods clustered around a control room. This multiple-pod design provides the facility with certain staff efficiencies and economies of scale. At the same time, the design affords the flexibility to separately house residents of differing security levels, or who are grouped by some other operational or service scheme. Generally, the control room is enclosed by security glass, and in some cases, this allows residents to see into neighboring pods. However, observation from one unit to another is usually limited by angled site lines. In some cases, the facility has prevented this entirely by installing one-way glass. Both the architectural design and functional use of these multiple pods indicate that they are managed as distinct housing units.		6 Dorms (5 male 1 female)
Number of single resident cells, rooms, or other enclos	ures:	0
Number of multiple occupancy cells, rooms, or other enclosures:		6 Dorms (5 male 1 female)
Number of open bay/dorm housing units:		6 Dorms (5 male 1 female)
Does the facility have a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology (e.g. cameras, etc.)?		⊠ Yes □ No
Has the facility installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology in the past 12 months?		⊠ Yes □ No
Medical and Mental Health Services and Forensic Medical Exams		
Are medical services provided on-site?	☐ Yes No	
Are mental health services provided on-site?	☐ Yes No	

	☐ On-site		
Where are sexual assault forensic medical exams	□ Local hospital/clinic		
provided? Select all that apply.	☐ Rape Crisis Center		
	Other (please name or descri	be: Click or tap here to enter text.)	
	Investigations		
Cri	minal Investigations		
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/ for conducting CRIMINAL investigations into allegation harassment:		0	
When the facility received allegations of sexual abuse	or sexual harassment (whether	☐ Facility investigators	
staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), CRIMINAL II		☐ Agency investigators	
by: Select all that apply.		An external investigative entity	
	□ Local police department		
	☐ Local sheriff's department		
Select all external entities responsible for CRIMINAL INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that apply (N/A if no	☐ State police		
external entities are responsible for criminal investigations)	A U.S. Department of Justice component		
-	☑ Other (please name or describe: Bureau of Prisons)		
	□ N/A		
Admir	nistrative Investigations		
Number of investigators employed by the agency and/or facility who are responsible for conducting ADMINISTRATIVE investigations into allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?		1	
When the facility receives allegations of sexual abuse	or sexual harassment (whether	☐ Facility investigators	
staff-on-resident or resident-on-resident), ADMINISTRA		Agency investigators	
conducted by: Select all that apply		An external investigative entity	
	☐ Local police department		
Colord all automal autities assessable for	☐ Local sheriff's department		
Select all external entities responsible for ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATIONS: Select all that	☐ State police		
apply (WA if no external entities are responsible for administrative investigations)	A U.S. Department of Justice component		
,	☐ Other (please name or describe: Bureau of Prisons)		
	□ N/A		

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative (including Audit Methodology)

The auditor's description of the audit methodology should include a detailed description of the following processes during the pre-onsite audit, onsite audit, and post-audit phases: documents and files reviewed,

discussions and types of interviews conducted, number of days spent on-site, observations made during the site-review, and a detailed description of any follow-up work conducted during the post-audit phase. The narrative should describe the techniques the auditor used to sample documentation and select interviewees, and the auditor's process for the site review.

The on-site visit to conduct a Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) compliance audit of the Grossman Center (GC) during the period of October 20-21, 2020. The audit was conducted by U.S. Department of Justice certified PREA auditor Robert Manville. The standards used for this audit became effective August 20, 2012. At the time of the audit the center housed 53 residents and supervised 67 in home clients. There were a total of 31 staff employed at the center during the audit.

Pre-Audit Phase:

On September 10, 2020, PREA Audit Notices (in English and Spanish) were posted in strategic locations throughout the facility where residents routinely live, enter and exit buildings, and participate in programming. No correspondence were received from any residents.

GEO Group were asked to complete the Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) and supportive documentation which was received by the auditor on September 10, 2020. Pertinent documentation received during the pre-audit phase was reviewed and follow-up clarification or requests for additional documentation and revised submittals were assessed. Documentation reviewed included, but not limited to, educational materials, training logs, posters, brochures, agency policies and procedures, forms, and organizational charts. Agency policy content was structured in accordance with corresponding PREA standards.

On October 20, 2020 the auditor requested that additional information be available for review during the onsite audit which included staff rosters, resident rosters - including any residents characterized as being included in "targeted" categories, and any applicable investigative documentation for the audit period. These documents were provided and reviewed during the on-site audit. Prior to the on-site visit, the auditor discussed the information conveyed in the Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ) with the PREA compliance manager. The assistant superintendent for operations serves as the PREA compliance manager. As part of the pre-audit process, a review of the agency's PREA referenced policies, applicable local supplemental instructions, as well as submittals of supporting documentation was conducted. Documentation submittals and reported data generally covered the 12 months period from October 20 2019 until October 21, 2020. A tentative schedule for interviews were formulated and submitted to the audited facility. The auditor and PREA staff varied interview times to meet with residents in order to interview residents that are on their jobs at variable times during a 24 hour period.

On-Site Audit Phase:

The auditor held an opening meeting at Grossman Center on the morning of October 20, 2020. The audit schedule and process were discussed during the entrance meeting. The auditors was provided an office in which to work and conduct private confidential interviews. All

requested files and rosters, both staff and residents, were made available during the on-site audit.

Site Review:

Immediately following the opening meeting, a tour of facility was conducted. The auditor was escorted by the facility's PREA Compliance Manager and center director. The auditor toured all resident living, work, and program areas. The auditor was given unimpeded access to all areas of the facility.

During the tour, the auditor reviewed PREA related documentation and materials located on bulletin boards, and pertinent log entries made by staff who visit work and program areas. The auditor assessed camera surveillance, potential blind spots, and physical supervision requirements as applied to a community correctional confinement requirements. Additional areas of focus during the facility tour included an assessment of limits to cross-gender viewing (can residents shower, dress, and use the toilet facilities without exposing themselves to employees of the opposite gender). External advocacy and "internal hotline" information was assessed while touring the facilities. Postings (in English and Spanish) regarding PREA violation reporting and the agency's zero tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment were prominently displayed in all living units, and throughout the facility. The tour revealed adequate camera coverage, and physical supervision. A review of logbooks and records revealed documentation of security and PREA rounds.

The on-site audit tour did not reveal any resident privacy concerns. Toilet and shower areas throughout the facilities were located in a manner to prohibit the possibility of non-incidental cross gender viewing.

Resident Interviews:

Resident interviewees were selected from a housing roster dated October 20, 2020. The rosters categorized residents by housing, programming and gender. Additional information was provided for PREA targeted categories such as disabled, limited English proficient (LEP), etc. Staff were able to identify residents in targeted categories, or the lack of residents in targeted groups. Interviews were conducted using the Department of Justice (DOJ) protocols to assess the offender's knowledge of PREA and the reporting mechanisms available to them. Using the interview guides, 21 residents that are not of the PREA targeted group were interviews. These interviews included resident from each living unit, each program areas and each gender. There were 5 targeted residents were privately interviewed. The targeted residents were as follows; limited English proficient-0; disclosed victimization at screening- 2; disabled- 1; reported sexual abuse-0; and LGBTI-2.

Staff Interviews:

Nine direct supervision staff (monitors) from all shifts were interviewed regarding training, their knowledge of first responder duties, reporting mechanisms for staff and residents, and their

perception of sexual safety and appropriate offender privacy issues. This was the total number of staff assigned to the center in the two day audit period.

Specialized staff members were also interviewed. This included the Director, PCM, and Investigator, Human Resource staff, Retaliation Monitor, Case Manager Supervisor, Case Managers, Security Supervisor and staff at The Alliance Center emotional support, victim advocacy and SANE examinations. All interviewed staff demonstrated an understanding of the PREA and their responsibilities under this program, relative to their position or roles with the organization and employment status.

File Review:

Eleven (11) employee training records were reviewed. Included in the employee training records included random monitors, supervisors and PREA Compliance manager.

Nine (9) background clearance files including three (3) new hired staff, three (3) staff that had been employed at GC more than five (5) years, and four (4) files of staff that had been promoted.

Fifteen (15) resident's records were reviewed. These records included the following information.

- Identification Number
- Identification Number Date of Birth
- Date of Arrival
- Date of Screening
- Date of Follow-up Screening
- Date of Initial PREA notification
- Date of PREA orientation

One (1) Volunteers file.

Ten (10) Supervisor and management daily, weekly and month security (PREA) rounds log books

Investigations

During the applicable audit period, there were two (2) allegation of PREA allegations reported. One allegation of Resident on Resident sexual harassment. One allegation was for staff on resident sexual abuse. It was determined that both allegation were unsubstantiated. The staff allegation was determined to be staff harassment and was substantiated for harassment. The staff resigned prior to the investigation. The incident was reviewed by BOP investigators was determined to not rise to the level of criminal. The investigation was referred back to the Facility investigator that completed the investigation.

Post-Onsite Phase

PREA Audit Report, V6 Page 8 of 101 Grossman Center

During this period of document review, clarifications were sought regarding PAQ entries, and discussions with the facility PREA Compliance Manager. PAQ entries were verified and any modification were corrected by center PCM.

Facility Characteristics

The auditor's description of the audited facility should include details about the facility type, demographics and size of the resident, resident or detainee population, numbers and type of staff positions, configuration and layout of the facility, numbers of housing units, description of housing units including any special housing units, a description of programs and services, including food service and recreation. The auditor should describe how these details are relevant to PREA implementation and compliance.

The Grossman Center is located on 4715 Brewer Place in Leavenworth, KS, The facility houses up to 136 residents with the present population of 53 resident assigned to the facility population and 67 resident assigned to home confinement.

The mission of the Grossman Center is to provide a safe and secure facility where residents can participate in residential, treatment and educational services. Grossman Center work in partnership with contracting agencies, community leaders and families to ensure the residents successful re-entry back to their community. GEO's mission is to develop innovative public-private partnerships with government agencies around the globe that deliver high quality, cost-efficient correctional, detention, community reentry, and electronic monitoring services while providing industry leading rehabilitation and community reintegration programs to the men and women entrusted to GEO's care.

The Grossman Center is a metal structure with two floors. There are six dormitory style-housing units in the facility. Dorms 1, 2, 3, 5 and 6 are on the first floor. Male residents are housed in Dormitory 1 through 3. The male residents use the dining/multipurpose room for watching TV and relaxing located in this areas. Dormitory 4 is on second floor along with staff offices, a monitor staff office and a resident restroom with a washer and dryer in the restroom. At the present time Dormitory 4 is being utilized to quarantine resident for the first 14 days after arriving at the facility.

Dorm 5 is a female dorm with a TV in the dorm and a small laundry room outside of the dorm.

Each dormitory has an area for resident to shower, change clothing and use the restroom without being in view of person of the other gender. Staff announce their presence prior to entering a dormitory housing resident of the other gender. There are posting in each living unit, day room, work area, visitation room and food service area that includes Zero Tolerance Policy for sexual abuse or sexual harassment, ways of reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment, victim advocacy group phone number BOP phone number and address and cooperate office address and phone number. During the facility tour, the toll-free number for the RAINN National Hotline Network was dialed from a resident pay phone. The number was found to be

PREA Audit Report, V6 Page 9 of 101 Grossman Center

accessible to residents. Resident are allowed to have personal cell phone and can utilize their own phones to contact the Community Resources including the Guidance Center.

Entering the front of the building, the front door faces the Control Center. Residents and visitors check in and out from the Control Center. Residents scan their ID card and sign in and out on a paper log. This information is also maintained electronically. Residents are pat searched when come to the facility. Residents are breathalyzed when they return to the facility from being in the community and these are tracked in a BA Book and electronically in GEO Track. Control Center staff observe camera monitors and respond to door alarms. In home clients report to the facility on a regular basis to be interviewed by staff and receive are breathalyzed.

Bulletin boards are in the front lobby area with PREA information posted in both English and Spanish. A main hallway behind the Control Center leads to living areas. There are pay phones on one side of the hallway resident reporting options listed Residents are allowed to have their own cell phones as well. Locked mail boxes are in this hallway for mail for case managers. Large bulletin boards in the mail hallway have PREA and other information displayed.

The Food Service area is a small area that includes an open work area and one room dedicated for food prep area.

The facility has cameras strategically placed inside the facility and areas outside of the facility. There were not cameras in areas where residents use the shower, toilet or dress.

There is not a separate intake area or reception areas for new arrivals. There are private rooms to conduct intake interviews. Residents coming into the facility are not strip searched and there are no areas for privacy to strip search as this practice is not acceptable at the facility.

The center has conducted yearly staffing plan. A review of the present staffing plan provides a total of 34 staff. A tour of the center, and review of the cameras system and mirrors provided assurance that the facility had addressed any past concerns of blind spots and any privacy issuers. During the last three years the facility has upgraded and added cameras and mirrors to enhance the supervision of residents. The director interviewed included a budget request to upgrade the camera monitoring and cameras during the next two years.

Summary of Audit Findings

The summary should include the number and list of standards exceeded, number of standards met, and number and list of standards not met.

Auditor Note: No standard should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA". A compliance determination must be made for each standard.

Standards Exceeded

Number of Standards Exceeded:

List of Standards Exceeded: Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator Standard 115.217: Hiring and promotion decisions Standard 115.231: Employee training; Standard 115.233: Resident education; Standard 115.251: Resident reporting; Standard 115.253: Resident access to outside confidential support services; Standard 115.287: Data collection

Standards Met			
Number of Standards Met:	34		
Standards Not Met	0		
Number of Standards Not Met: List of Standards Not Met:	Click or tap here to enter text. Click or tap here to enter text.		
PREV	ENTION PLANNING		
Standard 115.211: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator			
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report			
115.211 (a)			
 Does the agency have a written preserved abuse and sexual harassr 	policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of ment? ⊠ Yes □ No		
	e agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.211 (b)			
 Has the agency employed or des □ No 	signated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?		
Is the PREA Coordinator position□ No	in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? Yes		

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?

Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

Standard and Documents Reviewed

GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult and Community Confinement Facilities Grossman Center Local Policy 2019-1 PREA Staffing & Facility Requirements Corporate PREA Organizational Chart Grossman Center Organizational Chart

GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program, Grossman Center Policy 2019-1 PREA and company and facility organizational charts meet the requirements of this standard. The agency's zero tolerance against sexual abuse is clearly established and the policy outlines the agency's approach to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. GEO employs an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator at the corporate level. The agency's organizational chart depicts his position within the agency. The PREA Coordinator oversees the agency's efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of GEO's facilities. GEO ensures that all of its facilities have a PREA Compliance Manager with sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facilities PREA efforts. The center's organizational chart illustrates the PREA Compliance Manager's position within the center. Both the GEO Group PREA Coordinator and Center's Compliance Manager advised they have sufficient time and authority to coordinate efforts to comply with PREA standards. The center's two assistant directors and director meet on a continuous basis to review and update PREA concerns.

The GEO Group has implemented a PREA tracking system that includes tracking of PREA incidents, investigations, retaliation monitoring, resident notifications, after action forms to include all areas that must be reviewed by the incident review team, screening dates, rescreening dates, and mental health referrals from screening. All of these components of the system or protected to only allow approved staff access. However the system is available for the PREA coordinator and the Cooperate PREA team to ensure compliance with Standards.

Cooperate office is active involved in reviewing this data base including investigations, after action reports and compliance with time lines and best practices.

The agency and center policies outline a zero-tolerance policy for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Residents are informed orally about the zero-tolerance policy and the PREA program during in-processing and additional admission and orientation presentations. The orientation is offered in English and in Spanish. Additional program information is contained in the resident's manual, and postings distributed throughout the center (observed during the tour).

All written documents are available in English and Spanish. Additional interpretive services are available for residents who do not speak or read English. Both center staff and residents are provided with a wealth of opportunities to become aware of PREA policies and procedures. All employees receive initial training and annual training, as well as updates throughout the year. The agency and center exceeds the standards with all the programs they have implemented to ensure the residents and staff understand its position on zero- tolerance. Exceed Compliance was determined by review of agency organization chart, agency and center policies, both staff and resident training orientation power point presentations, posters, detainee PREA manual, and agency data base. Compliance also included interviews with staff, and residents to further provide exceed compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.212: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.21	2	(a)
---	---	---	-----	---	-----

•	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its residents with private
	agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency
	included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract
	or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not
	contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of residents.) ☐ Yes
	□ No □ NA

115.212 (b)

-	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide
	for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA
	standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities
	for the confinement of residents.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA

115.212 (c)

 If the agency has entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards, did the agency do so only in emergency circumstances after making

	confin	isonable attempts to find a PREA compliant private agency or other entity to be residents? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that comply with the PREA standards.) \Box Yes \Box No \boxtimes NA		
•	In such a case, does the agency document its unsuccessful attempts to find an entity in compliance with the standards? (N/A if the agency has not entered into a contract with an entity that fails to comply with the PREA standards.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Contract Amendment / Modification of Contract Resident Monitoring Reports

The facility does not contract with other entities to house residents. A review of the documentation submitted substantiates that the US BOP requires the entities which they contract for the confinement of residents (privatized prisons or residential reentry centers or "halfway houses") to adopt and comply with the PREA standards. Compliance was determined by review of facility contract agreement and interviews with the GEO group PREA coordinator and Agency Administrator.

Standard 115.213: Supervision and monitoring

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.2	13 (a)
•	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse?⊠ Yes □ No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The physical layout of each facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the resident population? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	13 (b)
•	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility documen and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.2	13 (c)
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to prevailing staffing patterns? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In the past 12 months, has the facility assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
Approved Staffing Plan
PREA Annual Facility Assessment
Residential Count Verification
Unannounced Supervisor Rounds Log
Security Staff Schedule Sample
Facility Floor Plan with Camera Locations

The GEO Group has developed and documented a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and uses video monitoring to protect residents against sexual abuse. The agency took into consideration the physical layout of the facility, the composition of the population and the prevalence of substantiated incidents of sexual abuse, and the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adequate staffing levels in the development of the facility's staffing plan.

In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, the Facility Director would document and justify all deviations from the plan. The Facility Director monitors the staffing plan by reviewed staff rosters. Monthly employee rosters are forwarded to BOP for their review. In interview with the Facility Director, in this audit period there were no times that there were deviations to the staffing plan. Staff vacancies are filled by the use of staff overtime to ensure the center meets the mandatory staffing as required by the contractor.

The staffing plan is reviewed annually by the Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager, the Assistant Director of Security and the Assistant Director of Programs and documented on the PREA Annual Facility Assessment form. This form is then forwarded to the Regional Director, the Director, Quality Assurance, the Divisional Vice President and the Corporate PREA Coordinator for signature and approval of any recommendations made to the established staffing plan to include the deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies or the allocations of additional resources to maintain compliance to the plan. In the January 3, 2020 PREA Annual Facility Assessments, no recommendations were made for changes to the established staffing plan. The present staff plan was submitted in January 2020.

Per policy, facility management staff and mid-level supervisors conduct unannounced rounds within their respective areas to identify and deter employee sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Management staff are required to complete, at a minimum, unannounced PREA rounds once a shift each month. These rounds are documented on the Unannounced PREA Rounds Log.

An examination of policy and supporting documentation and all interviews confirms compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.215: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5.2	15 ((a)

	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.21	15 (b)
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of

	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
•	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female residents' access to regularly available programming or other outside opportunities in order to comply with this
	provision? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.215 (c)

■ Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?

Yes

No

•	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female residents? (N/A if the facility does not have female residents). ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.2	15 (d)
•	Does the facility have policies that enable residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	Does the facility have procedures that enables residents to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an area where residents are likely to be showering, performing bodily functions, or changing clothing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	15 (e)
•	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining the resident's genital status? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	If a resident's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the resident, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	15 (f)
•	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex residents in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audit	or Overall Compliance Determination
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- □ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
Grossman Center Local Policy 2019-4 Resident Searching, Viewing and Contraband National PREA Resource Center Training
PREA Staff Training Curriculum
PREA Staff Training Logs
Statement of Fact

Pat searches are conducted in the Lobby/Control area in view of security cameras. All residents are searched when entering the facility from being in the community and any time a staff deems a search is warranted for the safety and security of the facility. Females are not restricted access to regular available programming or outside opportunities in order to comply with this provision. At all times, there is a female and a male staff member on duty.

Security monitors receive training on how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex residents. The agency's Guidance in Cross Gender and Transgender Pat Searches curriculum was provided for review. Staff sign a Cross Gender Pat Searches & Searches of Transgender & Intersex acknowledgement form upon completion of this training and sign a Training Record Sign in Log. Receipt of this training was verified through interviews with staff and review of staff training records. Strip searches are not allowed at this center. If a resident has contraband that they will not provide the facility, the resident will removed from the program and transferred to a secure facility to provide for the safety of residents, staff and the community except in exigent. In cases that would impose an immediate danger to staff, other residents or the public local law enforcement would be notified.

The agency has policies and procedures in place that enable residents to shower,

perform bodily functions and change clothing without staff viewing their breast, buttocks or genitalia. Policy requires staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when they enter resident housing and restroom areas. This practice was observed while on-site and residents and staff interviewed confirmed that this practice is being followed. Residents shared that they feel they have privacy to shower, toilet and change clothing when staff of the opposite gender are in their housing unit.

Based on GEO policy 5.1.2-A and facility Local Policy 2019-4, the facility prohibits examining transgender or intersex residents for the sole purpose of determining genital status. Transgender and intersex residents complete a Statement of Search form indicating the gender of the staff they prefer to conduct pat searches. Transgender and intersex residents shall be given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents. At the time of the audit, there was no transgender resident housed at the facility. Compliance was determined by review of policies, documentation of pat searches, interviews with residents and staff.

Standard 115.216: Residents with disabilities and residents who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.216 (a)

•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who are blind or have low vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have intellectual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including:

 Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts

Residents who have psychiatric disabilities? ⊠ Yes □ No

	to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Residents who have speech disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that residents with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other? (If "other," please explain in overall determination notes.) \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with residents who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have intellectual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Have limited reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with residents with disabilities including residents who: Are blind or have low vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	16 (b)
•	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to residents who are limited English proficient? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? $\ oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\ oxed{\square}$ No
115.2	16 (c)
•	Does the agency always refrain from relying on resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the resident's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.264, or the investigation of the resident's allegations? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities.

GEO Annual Data Reports

Grossman Center Local Policy 2019-2 PREA Intake and Orientation

PREA Education Manual for Residents (English/Spanish)

Large Print PREA Education Manual for Residents (English/Spanish)

PREA Facility Posters (English/Spanish)

Resident Reporting Options Posters (English/Spanish)

GEO PREA In-Service Training (Use of Interpreters)

Language Line Services, Inc.

TTY Device

Statement of Fact

GEO and Grossman Center policies mandates that the facility shall not discriminate against detainees with known disabilities and shall provide reasonable accommodations to ensure access to programs, activities, and services in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the provisions established in this Policy.

Through policy and practice, the facility staff ensures that residents with all disabilities listed in 115.16a have an equal opportunity to participate in and benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The ESL residents interviewed stated they were instructed about PREA compliance and felt safe from sexual abuse. All PREA related information (written

information), including postings, brochures and handouts are available in English, and Spanish. The facility has access to translation and interpretation services for access in other languages. Staff also may read information to residents when necessary. Agency and facility policies prohibit detainees to be relied on as readers or any types of assistants, except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the detainee's safety. The director provided a memo of record indicating that the center has not utilized resident interpreters, resident readers, or other types of resident assistants this accreditation period. The use of detainees under these circumstances must be justified and documented in a written investigative report. Staff interviewed knew detainees were not to be used for this purpose. Interviews with first responders, medical, mental health and monitors confirmed their awareness of the prohibition of using resident interpreters for PREA compliance functions. Interviews with three non-English proficient residents confirmed the availability and use of the staff interpreters and telephonic interpretive services. Interviews with staff and residents and an examination of policy/supporting documentation also confirm compliance with this standard. The large number of the staff members are bi-lingual in languages other than English. There were no deaf or blind resident housed at the facility during this audit period. The facility has TTY telephone and hard of hearing telephones for residents. Compliance of this standard was confirmed by review of Agency Policy, contracting services for language services and interviews with PCM, and ESL residents.

Standard 115.217: Hiring and promotion decisions

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.217 (a)

Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other center (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No
Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the

 Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup,

activity described in the question immediately above? ⊠ Yes □ No

	community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other center (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with residents who: Has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.21	17 (b)
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with residents? $\ \boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
115.21	17 (c)
•	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency: Perform a criminal background records check? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Before hiring new employees who may have contact with residents, does the agency, consistent with Federal State, and local law: Make its best efforts to contact all prior center employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.21	17 (d)
•	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with residents? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.21	17 (e)

PREA Audit Report, V6 Page 24 of 101 Grossman Center

 Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with residents or

		n place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? □ No	
115.2	17 (f)		
•	reside	the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with nts directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section ten applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? Yes No	
•	reside in any	the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with nts directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current yees? ⊠ Yes □ No	
•		the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any nisconduct? ⊠ Yes □ No	
115.2°	17 (g)		
•		the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the ion of materially false information, grounds for termination? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.217 (h)			
•	■ Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.) Yes □ No □ NA		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
	\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instru	ctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	

PREA Audit Report, V6 Page 25 of 101 Grossman Center

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon

reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations

in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and

must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities

Grossman Center Local Policy 2019-1 PREA Staffing & Facility Requirements

Employment Application Questionnaire

GEO Background Clearance

Customer Background Clearance

Employee Annual Disclosure Form

Employee Promotional Disclosure Form

Statement of Fact

All employees, contractors and volunteers have had criminal background checks completed prior to being employed by Grossman Center (GC). The facility does not hire or promote anyone who may have contact with residents, and does not enlist the services of any contractor or volunteer that may have contact with residents, who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other center; has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse, or if the person has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity. Incidents of sexual harassment are considered in determining whether to hire or promote anyone or to enlist the services of any contractor or volunteer who may have contact with residents. By contract agreement all staff at GC receive a background check each year. The facility contracts with Career Builders to conduct NCIC background checks for GC. Once the background is completed the facility notifies BOP that background was cleared and the person has been trained and assigned to the facility. At that time BOP conducts a complete Federal Employment Background check.

The facility completes staff appraisal on a yearly basis. As part of the appraisal staff are required to review PREA information and complete a questionnaire of PREA related issues. The personnel supervisor provided documentation of prior promotions and their background information.

Employees have a duty to disclose such misconduct. Material omissions regarding this type of misconduct would be grounds for termination. The submission of false information by any applicant is grounds for not hiring the applicant. The human resource manager confirmed that the agency attempts to contact prior employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or resignations which occurred during a pending investigation of sexual abuse. Interviews with staff and a review of documentation (PREA Screening Form) confirm compliance with this standard. Five new staff member and four promoted staff and five staff that have been employed at GC for

more than five years personnel files were reviewed and found to have receive background checks completed prior to employment, promotion and or working longer than five years at GC. Standard 115.218: Upgrades to facilities and technologies All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.218 (a) If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) 115.218 (b) If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect residents from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination** П **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) \boxtimes Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating

Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
Grossman Center Policy 2019-1 PREA Staffing and Facility Requirements
Annual Facility Assessment
Statement of Fact

GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) mandates the company will consider the effect of new or upgraded design, acquisition, expansion or modification of physical plant or monitoring technology might have on the facility's ability to protect detainees from sexual abuse. GC Policy 0504-1 PREA Staffing and Facility Requirements state that the facility will consider the effect of new or upgraded design, acquisition, expansion or modification of physical plant or monitoring technology might have on the facility's ability to protect detainees from sexual abuse. In interview with the agency head designee at an earlier date stated that the agency uses technology to assist the facilities in keeping residents safe from sexual abuse. If new facilities are designed or there are modifications to existing facilities, the agency's PREA Coordinator works closely with the project development team to ensure the safety of residents. The center has updated quality of cameras and monitors and has added additional cameras in areas identified by the Director, GEO team, PREA compliance manager and security supervisors. Compliance was determined by review of facility camera coverage and purchase orders for additional monitoring equipment. Further compliance was determined by touring the facility, reviewing all cameras form the director's computer and interviews with Agency Head Designee, GEO Group PREA coordinator, and center director.

·	RESPONSIVE PLANNING	·

Standard 115.221: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.221 (a)

If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)

☑ Yes □ No □ NA

115.221 (b)

 Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)
Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
115.221 (c)
■ Does the agency offer all residents who experience sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiary or medically appropriate? ☑ Yes □ No
 Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? ☑ Yes ☐ No
• If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? ☑ Yes ☐ No
■ Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? Yes □ No
115.221 (d)
■ Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? Yes □ No
• If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.) □ Yes □ No □ NA
 Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers? ☑ Yes □ No
115.221 (e)

PREA Audit Report, V6 Page 29 of 101 Grossman Center

As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the

		through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? ☐ No			
•		uested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis ention, information, and referrals? ⊠ Yes □ No			
115.221 (f)					
•	the ag	agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has ency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of raphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for cting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square			
115.22	21 (g)				
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.			
115.22	21 (h)				
•					
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative					

Ir

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

PREA Audit Report, V6 Page 30 of 101 Grossman Center The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-E Investigating Allegations of Sexually Abusive Behavior (PREA) and Evidence Collection
SAFE/SANE Provider Information
Victim Advocacy MOU
Statement of Fact

GEO policy 5.1.2-E, outlines the agency's requirements as it applies to this standard. The facility has one (1) trained facility investigators. Under the contract agreement with BOP, the director notifies BOP of all allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. BOP will conduct the investigation, refer to Office of Inspector General or refer the incident back to the facility for investigations. If the incident appears to be criminal in nature the Leavenworth Police Department and BOP are responsible for conducting criminal investigations. The center would protect the crime scene and the investigative agency would collect the forensic evidence in accordance with evidence protocols established by the Department of Justice (DOJ). The investigating entities follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence and fulfill all requirements of this standard.

Victims of sexual abuse have access to forensic medical examinations with the victims consent and without cost to the resident regardless if the victim names the abuser or cooperates with an investigation arising out of incident. Forensic exams are not performed at this facility. Victims of sexual abuse are provided Alliance Center who would provide the forensic examination at Saint Luke's Cushing Hospital, A Memorandum of Understanding with the Grossman Center and the Alliance Center includes Sane Examination and victim advocacy services for residents that have been sexually assaulted. There have been no residents who have required SANE exams. The facility does not serve youthful offenders.

The MOU stipulates that a sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) will be offered as an option to all residents seeking sexual assault services while a resident of the of the facility. Prior to the examination staff advocates from the Alliance centers or the facility will explain to the victim the services the Alliance Center will provide. The examination shall be explained to the victim in detail during initial triage. Victim consent will be a requirement for every case and will be obtained by the advocate fielding the initial response.

Residents are made aware of the confidential emotional support services available to them in the PREA Education Manual for Residents, PREA posters displayed throughout the facility and a brochure all residents receive when they arrive at the center. When interviewed, residents were aware of the confidential emotional support services available to them and how to access them. Compliance was determined by review of policies, MOU, and documentation provided from Victim Advocacy Group and interviews with the Victim Advocacy Group.

Standard 115.222: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.222 (a) Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse? ✓ Yes ✓ No Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment? ✓ Yes ✓ No 115.222 (b) Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior? ✓ Yes ✓ No Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means? ✓ Yes ✓ No Does the agency document all such referrals? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.222 (c) If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal investigations. See 115.221(a).) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA 115.222 (d) Auditor is not required to audit this provision. 115.222 (e) Auditor is not required to audit this provision. **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) П

□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

PREA Audit Report, V6

Page 32 of 101

Grossman Center

standard for the relevant review period)

Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the

 \boxtimes

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-E Investigating Allegations of Sexually Abusive Behavior (PREA) and Evidence Collection
PREA Investigation Report
Incident Tracking Logs
GEO Website (PREA Investigations)
Statement of Fact

GEO policy 5.1.2-E outline the agency's policy and procedures for investigating and documenting incidents of sexual abuse. Policy 0803-1 mandates that the facility shall report all allegations of Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment to the Federal Bureau of Prisons, Residential Reentry Manager and/or local law enforcement for investigation The facility initiates an administrative investigation and if it is determined that the allegation involved potential criminal activity, a referral is made to the Leavenworth Police Department or BOP who conducts a criminal investigation. It is the responsibility of the investigating agencies to ensure that all forensic evidence is collected and preserved in accordance with evidence protocols established by the Department of Justice.

The agency documents all referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation. All allegations are tracked on the PREA Monthly Incident Outcome Tracking Log.

In the past 12 months, there was one allegation of staff on resident sexual abuse reported. The allegation was referred to OPR and to BOP for investigation. BOP reviewed the report and determined that it was not criminal in nature and referred the incident back to the GEO Group for investigation. BOP barred the staff from the center pending the investigations. A trained sexual abuse investigator employed at Grossman Center conducted the investigation. The staff member resigned. The investigator continued the investigation and concluded that the allegation of sexual assault was unsubstantiated for sexual abuse, however it was substantiated for sexual harassment. The facility did find that misconduct on the staff behavior.

The agency policy regarding the referral of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment for criminal investigation is published on the GEO website http://www.geogroup.com/PREA (Documents and Resources Section). Compliance was determined by review of policies, all

incident reports including sexual harassment and sexual abuse and review of investigation. The Grossman Center provided the auditor a copy of investigator credentials.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.231: Employee training

ΑII

11	5.231	(a)
----	-------	-----

	.a. a. 1.0.2011				
Υe	es/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report				
5.231 (a)					
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? ⊠ Yes □ No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The right of residents and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: The common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming residents? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with residents on: How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? ☐ Yes ☐ No				

115.231 (b)						
 Is such training tailored to the gender of the residents at the employee's facility? □ No 						
 Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male residents to a facility that houses only female residents, or vice versa?						
115.231 (c)						
■ Have all current employees who may have contact with residents received such training? ☑ Yes □ No						
■ Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? Yes No						
 In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? ☑ Yes □ No 						
115.231 (d)						
■ Does the agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that employees understand the training they have received? ☑ Yes □ No						
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination						
☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)						
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)						
nstructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative						

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities GEO Staff Training Curriculum Pre-Service Training Rosters In-Service Training Record

All staff are provided an Employee handbook that includes all areas of PREA training

1 7
If for sexual abuse prevention, intervention, reporting, and protecting the protecting the processible crime scene. Training includes:
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment
How to fulfill staff responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual
harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and
procedures.
Residents' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
Residents on the right of residents and employees to be free from
retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
Dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement.
Common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims.
How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse.
How to avoid inappropriate relationships with residents.
How to communicate effectively and professionally with residents, including
lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming
residents.
How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of
sexual abuse to outside authorities.

Newly hired employees receive training relative to PREA standards during their initial training in a classroom setting. Yearly refresher training is required by all staff utilizing a Computer Based PREA training program. Contractors and volunteers are provided training relative to their duties and responsibilities by the facility PREA Compliance Manager. A review of the training curriculum, training sign-in sheets and other related documentation, as well as staff interviews, confirmed staff are required to acknowledge, in writing, not only that they received PREA training, but that they understood it. A review of documentation and staff interviews confirmed that the facility is compliant with this standard. GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention program mandates that the facility will be required to modify training to meet needs of the gender population being served at the facility. Since Grossman Center houses males and females the training curriculum includes both gender training specific topic in group interactive group discussion in Pre-employment training. The agency has conducted specialized cross gender training for staff including male on female, female on male, male on transgender/intersex and female on transgender/intersex residents.

☐ Cross Gender & Pat Searches & Searches of Transgender and Intersex

A sampling of staff annual training files (15) were reviewed and contained documentation supporting compliance with this standard. In the past 12 months, all Center staff have received PREA training as verified by review of employee training files. In interview with staff, they were able to confirm receiving this training and knew their responsibilities for preventing and responding to allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The center and assistant center directors conduct monthly staff meeting with all staff. The first agenda item in each monthly meeting is PREA with specific topic that provides additional training. The facility exceeds in this standard as was evident by review of the training curriculums, review of staff training records and the overall knowledge of staff in response to interview questions.

Standard 115.232: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.232	(a)
---	---	---	------	-----

■ Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?
☑ Yes □ No

115.232 (b)

• Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with residents been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with residents)? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.232 (c)

■ Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?

☑ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Ш	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) Orientation and Training

Volunteer Training Acknowledgment Volunteer Orientation Roster Statement of Fact

GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program mandates each facility shall ensure that all Contractors or volunteers who have contact with Individuals in a GEO Facility or Programs are trained on their responsibilities under GEO's Sexual Abuse and Harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures. All volunteer staff are required to receive PREA training annually. A review of volunteer and contractor training curriculum included all aspects of this standard. GC did not have any contracts with outside vendors during the review period. The facility conducted volunteer training on February 6 2020. The facility provided a copy of volunteer training curriculum and volunteer sign in sheets for the February training. No volunteers are currently accessing the facility to provide service to the pandemic. Compliance was determined by review if the training curriculum, sign in sheets and interviews with PCM who also serves as the volunteer coordinator.

Standard 115.233: Resident education

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.233 (a)

•	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: The agency's zero-tolerance
	policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	During intake, do residents receive information explaining:	How to report incidents of)ľ
	suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ✓ Yes	□ No	

•	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☑ Yes ☐ No
•	During intake, do residents receive information explaining: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	During intake, do residents receive information regarding agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	33 (b)
•	Does the agency provide refresher information whenever a resident is transferred to a different facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	33 (c)
•	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are limited English proficient? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are deaf? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are visually impaired? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Are otherwise disabled? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency provide resident education in formats accessible to all residents, including those who: Have limited reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	33 (d)
•	Does the agency maintain documentation of resident participation in these education sessions? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	33 (e)
•	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to residents through posters, resident handbooks, or other written formats? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Grossman Center Local Policy 2019-2 PREA Intake and Orientation PREA Education Manual for Residents PREA Education Posters Acknowledgment of Receipt of PREA Education Manual PREA Video Acknowledgment

Residents receive an education manual during the printed in both English and Spanish. There are PREA posters throughout the center and in each housing unit, and a PREA "Report Line" telephone number which may be called to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment, is posted on the unit bulletin boards. There is an interpretive language service available for limited English proficient residents. A review of Resident Handbook and PREA Brochure verified that residents received Sexual Assault/Sexual Abuse Prevention & Intervention education and relevant written materials. All residents are required to acknowledge in writing they have received PREA education. A staff member conducts an additional education program regarding the PREA for all residents within 30 days of their arrival at the facility. If a resident is transferred to another facility, policy requires that this training process be repeated at the new center, as confirmed through interviews with newly arrived resident. The program includes definitions of sexually abusive behavior and sexual harassment, prevention strategies and reporting modalities.

The auditor reviewed a random sampling of fifteen (15) A&O Checklists/Signature Sheets to verify that resident received the PREA education including relevant written materials. All residents are required to acknowledge completion of PREA education. During the interview process randomly selected residents indicated they received

information about the facility's rules against sexual abuse/sexual harassment, when they arrived at the facility. They further indicated they were advised about their right not to be sexually abused/sexually harassed, how to report sexual abuse/sexual harassment and their right not be punished for reporting sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Residents were aware of available services outside of the facility for dealing with sexual abuse. The center and assistant center directors conduct monthly resident meeting with all resident. The first agenda item in each monthly meeting is PREA with specific topic that provides additional training. Exceed Compliance was determined by review of resident documented training, training curriculum, observation of training and interviews with case managers and residents.

Standard 115.234: Specialized training: Investigations
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.234 (a)
• In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.231, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).)
115.234 (b)
■ Does this specialized training include: Techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
■ Does this specialized training include: Proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
 Does this specialized training include: Sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement

settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal

Does this specialized training include: The criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See

⋈ Yes □ No □ NA

115.234 (c)

115.221(a).)

sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

•	require agenc	the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the ed specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the y does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse igations. See 115.221(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
115.23	34 (d)		
•	Audito	or is not required to audit this provision.	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Specialized Investigator Certificate Email documenting investigator training

The above policy and directives meet the mandates of this standard. The auditor reviewed specialized training documentation to include "Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting". The facility Investigator has completed investigating sexual abuse in a confinement setting and additional online training on investigating sexual abuse. Training includes techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings, and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. There is one trained facility investigators assigned to the Grossman Center. The one allegation of sexual abuse that was investigated provided documentation of the investigator's practical

implementation of training she has received. Compliance was determined by review of sexual abuse investigation, investigators training records, review of policy and interview with GEO Group PREA coordinator and facility director.

Standard 115.235: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.	.235	(a)
------	------	-----

7 iii 100/110 Quodilono iiiude 20 7iiidwordd by tiid 7idantor to Compiete tiid Nopert				
115.235 (a)				
■ Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA				
■ Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes □ No 図 NA				
■ Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Yes No NA				
■ Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in: How and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA				
115.235 (b)				
 If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency does not employ medical staff or the medical staff employed by the agency do not conduct forensic exams.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA 				
115.235 (c)				

PREA Audit Report, V6 Page 43 of 101 Grossman Center

•		the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or
		nere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental
		care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.2	35 (d)	
•	trainin full- or	edical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive g mandated for employees by §115.231? (N/A if the agency does not have any part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.) □ No □ NA
•	agenc (N/A if	edical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the y also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.232? The agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care ioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
Audit	or Ove	rall Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instru	ctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Statement of Fact

Grossman Center does not employ medical or mental health Compliance was determined by interviews with community support teams and PREA compliance manager.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.241: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

, til. 1 C	of the questions must be full word by the fundaments complete the Report
115.2	41 (a)
•	Are all residents assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Are all residents assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or sexually abusive toward other residents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	41 (b)
•	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No
115.2	41 (c)
•	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.2	41 (d)
-	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The age of the resident? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The physical build of the resident? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? ☑ Yes ☐ No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the resident about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the resident is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess residents for risk of sexual victimization: The resident's own perception of vulnerability? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	41 (e)
•	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	In assessing residents for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior center violence or sexual abuse? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
115.2	41 (f)
•	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the resident's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the resident's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? \square Yes \square No
115.241 (g)	
•	Does the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? ⊠ Yes □ No

•		the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Request? □ No
•		the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of a labuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	additio	the facility reassess a resident's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of onal information that bears on the resident's risk of sexual victimization or veness? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.2	41 (h)	
•	disclo	he case that residents are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not sing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs, (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	41 (i)	
•	facility sensit	ne agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the y of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that tive information is not exploited to the resident's detriment by staff or other ents? Yes No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Inetru	ıctions	s for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities GC Policy 2019 – 3 PREA Screening/Admission PREA Vulnerability Reassessment Questionnaire The Guidance Center, Leavenworth, Ks.

All residents are assessed during the intake screening process for their risk of being sexually abused by other residents or being sexually abusive toward other residents. The screening is conducted by a case manager. The screening normally occurs within twentyfour hours, but no more than seventy-two hours after the resident's arrival. Any offender who scores as a "High Risk Sexual Victim" (HRSV) and/or "High Risk Sexual Aggressor" (HRSA) is referred to mental health staff for follow-up. It was evident that staff performs this assessment immediately upon admission. The facility has an MOU with the Guidance Center. The Guidance Center provides mental health services for residents who victims of sexual abuse or harassment as well as mental health services for residents determined through screening to be at risk for victimization or abusiveness. Policies and procedures require the use of a screening instrument (reviewed by auditor) to determine proper housing, bed assignment, work assignment, education and other program assignments with the goal of keeping residents at high risk of being sexually abused/sexually harassed separate from those residents who are at high risk of being sexually abusive. The Screening instrument includes all areas noted in this standard. The GEO Group PREA Coordinator provided guidance on persons conducting the screening instrument to provide their own perception on offender gender orientation by adding the phrase the offender perceive to be gender conforming or gender non-conforming.

The case managers meets with the offender to review any additional information that has been received, overall adjustment to the facility and for job placement. During the offender's risk level is reassessed. Controls are in place to ensure that information received during the screening is only available to staff on a need-to-know basis. Agency policy prohibits residents from being disciplined for refusing to answer or for not disclosing complete information in response to questions regarding their mental/physical health, developmental disability, sexual preferences, sexual victimization history and perception of vulnerability. Housing and program assignments are made on a case-by-case basis and residents are not placed in housing units based solely on their sexual identification or status.

A review of 15 initial and rescreening instruments revealed that all residents were screened and rescreened as required by standards.

Interviews and documentation revealed that intake screenings are taking place within 72 hours of arrival at the GC. Also, during intake screening, procedures require staff review available documentation (judgment and sentence, commitment orders, criminal records, investigation reports, field and medical files) for any indication that a resident has a history of sexually aggressive behavior. Housing assignments are made accordingly. The facility uses the agency Screening form and the Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness as the objective

screening instruments. The Screening for Risk of Victimization and Abusiveness include the following:

- Whether the resident has a mental, physical, or developmental disability;
- The age of the resident;
- The physical build of the resident;
- Whether the resident has previously been incarcerated;
- Whether the residents' criminal history is exclusively nonviolent;
- Whether the resident has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child;
- Whether the resident is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming;
- Whether the resident has previously experienced sexual victimization;
- The resident's own perception of vulnerability; and
- Whether the resident is detained solely for civil immigration purposes

Compliance was determined by review of the screening instrument, review of resident records with screening and rescreening instrument, review of company resident data to manage screening instruments. Compliance was further determined by interviews with 2 case managers, PREA compliance manager, and residents.

Standard 115.242: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.242 (a)

•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No

 Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized

	from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? \boxtimes Yes $\; \Box$ No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.241, with the goal of keeping separate those residents at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	42 (b)
•	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each resident? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.2	42 (c)
•	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex resident to a facility for male or female residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns residents to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex residents, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the resident's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	42 (d)
•	Are each transgender or intersex resident's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments? ☑ Yes □ No
115.242 (e)	
•	Are transgender and intersex residents given the opportunity to shower separately from other residents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	42 (f)

Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a

dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
• Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) ☑ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA		
■ Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex residents, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex residents in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I residents pursuant to a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		

Ins

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities GC Policy 2019 – 3 PREA Screening/Admission PREA Risk Assessment & Referral At Risk Logs

LGBTI Logs
Transgender Assessment Paperwork
The Guidance Center
Statement of Fact

Residents that claim history of sexual victimization are referred to the Guidance Center for support services. Residents have an option of refusing these services. Those identified to be at risk are tracked on an At-Risk Log. Residents tracked on the At-Risk Log are housed in the first cubicle as you enter the dorms or in the bunks closest to the door in open bay dorms to be more visible to staff, separating potential victims from potential predators.

GEO does not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex residents in dedicated units or wings solely based on such identification. Housing and programming assignments for transgender and intersex residents shall be reassessed every 6 months using the PREA Vulnerability Reassessment form.

Transgender and intersex residents are given the opportunity to shower alone. At the time of the on-site visit, there was no transgender female housed at the facility.

Compliance was determined by interviews with 2 case managers, PREA compliance manager, and residents.

REPORTING

Standard 115.251: Resident reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.251 (a)

- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?

 Yes □ No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Retaliation by other residents or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?

 Yes
 No
- Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for residents to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?

 Yes □ No

115.251 (b)

se	oes the agency also provide at least one way for residents to report sexual abuse or exual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? BY OUTHORSE BY NO
	that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward resident reports of exual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	oes that private entity or office allow the resident to remain anonymous upon request? Yes $\ \square$ No
115.251	(c)
	o staff members accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made erbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties? ⊠ Yes □ No
	o staff members promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual arassment? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.251	(d)
	oes the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual arassment of residents? ⊠ Yes □ No
Auditor	Overall Compliance Determination
\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Instructi	ions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult

Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities

Local Policy 2019- 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA)

Resident Reporting Options Poster

PREA Education Manual for Residents

Third Party Reporting Options Poster

Employee Handbook (Sexual Harassment)

GEO Website (Staff Reporting Info)

Bureau of Prisons RRM Office (BOP Placements only)

US Probation Supervisor (USPO Placements Only)

RAINN - National Sexual Assault Hotline (Victim Advocacy)

The agency/facility provides multiple ways for detainees to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment and retaliation by other detainees or staff for reporting. Residents can verbally report any staff member, report in writing, by telephone, submit a grievance or by a third party report. All staff carry a PREA card to help them report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Reporting avenues includes:

- Report to any staff, volunteer, contractor, or medical or mental health staff.
- Report in Writing
- Submit a Grievance
- PREA Compliance Manager:
- RAINN National Sexual Assault Hotline (Victim Advocacy)
- Guidance Center Clinical Assessments and Treatment, Individual & Group Counseling Services
- Leavenworth Police Department (Anonymous 24/7)
- Bureau of Prisons RRM Office (BOP Placements only)
- US Probation/Pre-Trial Supervisor (Western Missouri Only)
- US Probation/Pre-Trial Supervisor (District of Kansas Only)
- Report to the Cooperate PREA office at (561) 999-5827

Staff members promptly accept and document all verbal, written, anonymous, private and third-party reports of alleged abuse/sexual harassment.

Several of the reporting avenues were contacted and verified they accept reports and notify facility director of PREA coordinator. All interviewed residents confirmed awareness of the multiple methods of reporting sexual abuse/sexual harassment allegations. Residents at the facility are not detained solely for civil immigration purposes.

Interviews with staff and residents, the observation of posters addressed reporting methods. GEO Group websites were reviewed and also provided reporting opportunities. Further an examination of policy/documentation confirm the facility's Exceeds compliance with this standard.

Standard 115.252: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.2	115.252 (a)	
•	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address resident grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because a resident does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse. \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.2	52 (b)	
	Does the agency permit residents to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA Does the agency always refrain from requiring a resident to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
115.2	52 (c)	
•	Does the agency ensure that: A resident who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint?	

115.252 (d)

No □ NA

■ Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by residents in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)
Yes
No
NA

Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □

• If the agency determines that the 90-day timeframe is insufficient to make an appropriate decision and claims an extension of time (the maximum allowable extension of time to respond is 70 days per 115.252(d)(3)), does the agency notify the resident in

(N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

	writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the resident does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may a resident consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.2	52 (e)
•	Are third parties, including fellow residents, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist residents in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of residents? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of a resident, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the resident declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the resident's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.2	52 (f)
•	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Statement of Fact Policy 2019 – 5 Grievance Process

PREA Education Manual for Residents

In review of GEO policy 5.1.2-A there is a procedure in place for residents to submit grievances regarding sexual abuse and the agency has procedures for dealing with these grievances. Instructions on how to file grievances are provided in the PREA Education Manual for Residents. Residents are not required to use an informal grievance process and procedures also allow a resident to submit a grievance alleging sexual abuse/sexual harassment without submitting it to the staff member who is the subject of the complaint. Policy 2019-5 Grievance Process have procedures in place for residents to submit grievances regarding sexual abuse and the agency has procedures in place for dealing with these grievances. There is no time limit on when a resident may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse. A resident may file an emergency grievance if he/she is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. Residents are informed of the grievance process through the Resident Handbook. If a third party files a grievance on a resident's behalf, the alleged victim must agree to have the grievance filed on his behalf. Emergency grievances may be filed if a resident feels he is at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. The warden or his designee will take immediate corrective action to protect the alleged victim upon receiving an emergency grievance of this nature. An initial response will be issued to the resident filing an emergency grievance within 48 hours and final decision will be provided within five calendar days. A final decision will be issued on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing date. The facility may claim an extension of time to respond, up to 70 days, and shall notify the resident of the extension in writing. The agency may discipline a resident for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse if the agency determines that the resident filed the grievance with malicious intent. Immigration Offenders may file a complaint/grievance- complaining about the misconduct of the facility staff directly with the Department of Justice by calling 1-800-869-4499 or by writing to: Department of Justice, P.O. Box 27606, Washington, D.C. 20038-7606.

This information is posted on each living unit bulletin board and is included in the resident handbook. Disciplinary action would generally be taken if a grievance was filed in bad faith. In the past 12 months, the facility has not received any grievances alleging sexual abuse and there were no emergency grievances received. Compliance was determined by review of policies and grievance log, as well as interview with the PCM.

Standard 115.253: Resident access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.253 (a)

■ Does the facility provide residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving residents mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?

☑ Yes □ No

■ Does the facility enable reasonable communication between residents and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible? ☑ Yes □ No	
115.253 (b)	
` '	
■ Does the facility inform residents, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws? Yes □ No	
115.253 (c)	
■ Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or othe agreements with community service providers that are able to provide residents with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse? Yes □ No	
■ Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements? ✓ Yes ✓ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination	
☐ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative	

Ins

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities

Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) MOU with the Alliance Center

MOU with Guidance Center - Clinical Assessments, Individual & Group Counseling Services

The Guidance Center provides clinical assessment, individual and group counseling services to provide confidential emotional services to residents available 24 hours a day, seven days a week. Residents are provided the address and phone number for the guidance center and can utilize their personal phone to call and make an appointment to visit staff at the center for counseling. Several of the residents that are involved in the home confinement program utilized this center for history of domestic abuse. This information is provided to residents in the PREA Education Manual for Residents and on the Resident Reporting Options posters displayed throughout the facility. Residents are informed of the extent to which communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse on providing residents with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The Alliance Center also provides advocacy services for victim of sexual assault. Both organizations will forward in reports to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws. The Guidance Center provides emotional support that unless required by law is confidential and anonymous. Residents can visit the center to discuss past domestic abuse as well as past victimizations including information that may be occurring outside the facility. This resources provides residents in the in home program and resident that are transitioning to discharge a valuable resources. When interviewed, residents were aware of the outside confidential support services available to them and how to access them. One resident interviewed stated that she had been in contact with the Guidance Center for preparation in discharging from Prison. Exceed compliance was determined by review of MOU and interviews with staff of the Alliance Center and the Guidance Center.

Standard 115.254: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.254 (a)

•	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and
	sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and
	sexual harassment on behalf of a resident? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
PREA Third Party Reporting Sign
GEO Website (Reporting Sexual Abuse/Sexual Harassment)

PREA Reporting Posters and GEO website meet the requirements of this standard. PREA Reporting Posters are visible in the visitation room, lobby and is found in the inmate handbook. GEO provides reporting system on GEO Website https://www.geogroup.com/prea provides information on ways for third party reporting including anonymous reporting. Poster include anyone needed to report abuse/ sexual harassment or to report an allegation of Sexual Abuse/ Sexual Harassment on behalf of an individual who is or was housed in any GEO facility or program, may contact the Facility Administrator's Office in the facility where the alleged incident occurred or where the individual is housed. Reports can be made over the phone, in person, in writing or anonymously if desired. Persons can also contact the Corporate PREA Office directly (561) 999-5827.

Compliance was confirmed by reviewing policies, posters and GEO Website and by interviews with residents, PCM and the Director.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING A RESIDENT REPORT

Standard 115.261: Staff and agency reporting duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.261 (a)

■ Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? ☑ Yes ☐ No

•	any kr	the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy nowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against residents or staff eported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? Yes No
•	any kr respor	the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy nowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of nsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual sment or retaliation?
115.2	61 (b)	
•	reveal extent	from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, do staff always refrain from ing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and security and management decisions? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	61 (c)	
•		s otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this n? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	practit	edical and mental health practitioners required to inform residents of the ioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of es? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	61 (d)	
•	State design	alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a or local vulnerable person's statute, does the agency report the allegation to the nated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?
115.2	61 (e)	
•		the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes
Audit	or Ove	rall Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

□ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA)
Kansas Vulnerable Persons Statute
Statement of Fact

Staff, contractors and volunteers must report and respond to allegations of sexually abusive behavior, regardless of the source of the report. Interviewed staff members were aware of their duty to immediately report all allegations of sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation relevant to the PREA standards. The reporting is ordinarily made to the security supervisor on site or PCM but could be made privately or to a third party. Policy requires the information concerning the identity of the alleged inmate victim and the specific facts of the case be shared with staff on a need-to-know basis, because of their involvement with the victim's welfare and/or the investigation of the incident. Staff were aware of the many ways to receive reports from detainees, families, friends or other third parties. The staff were also aware of the several ways they may report this information to the GEO Group or the facility. GEO has developed several methods for staff to make anonymous reports: GEO website has specific instruction for employees to report directly to GEO PREA coordinator or outside resource for anonymous reporting. A review of established policy, websites and interviews with staff members support the finding that the facility compliance with this standard. GC had no incidents involving vulnerable persons, which required mandatory reporting to the State entity during the review period.

Standard 115.262: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.262 (a)

When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the resident? ☑ Yes ☐ No
 Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
 ☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
 ☑ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
 ☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA)
Statement of Fact

When the agency learns that a resident is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the resident according to GEO policy 5.1.2-A. In interview with the Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager and documentation provided, there were no times during the past 12 months that it was necessary for the agency to take immediate action in regards to a resident being in substantial risk of sexual abuse. Staff interviewed were aware of their responsibilities if they felt a resident was at risk for sexual abuse. The director indicated in interviews that he discussing feeling safe and how to address any concerns with residents during his monthly town hall meeting with residents. The Center Director indicated that the facility would maintain direct supervision of the resident and working with the facility Reentry staff would arrange for the resident to be moved to another Reentry program within the Kansas area. Compliance was determined by review of policy and interview with Center Director.

Standard 115.263: Reporting to other confinement facilities

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.263 (a)			
■ Upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? ☐ No			
115.263 (b)			
Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation? ⊠ Yes □ No			
115.263 (c)			
■ Does the agency document that it has provided such notification? ☑ Yes ☐ No			
115.263 (d)			
■ Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards? ☑ Yes □ No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative			

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA)

Statement of Fact

GEO policy 5.1.2-A, mandates that upon receiving an allegation that a resident was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the allegation will be documented and the Facility Director or his designee shall notify the head of the facility where the sexual abuse was alleged to have occurred and document that notification was provided. This notification is to occur as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours of receiving the allegation and should include all actions taken regarding the incident. Copies of this documentation will be forwarded to the PREA Coordinator and the Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager. In interview with the Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager and in review of documentation provided, in the past 12 months, no residents of Grossman Center alleged that sexual abuse had occurred while they were confined to another facility.

If a report is received from another facility regarding alleged sexual abuse occurring at the Grossman Center the allegation will be reported and investigated according to PREA standards. In interview with the Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager, there were no allegation of sexual abuse received from other facilities in the last 12 months. Compliance was determined by review of GEO policy, interviews with intake staff, PCM and facility director.

Standard 115.264: Staff first responder duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.264 (a)

	Ψ· (ω)
•	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? ☒ Yes ☐ No

Upon learning of an allegation that a resident was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or

	_	, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of cal evidence? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.2	64 (b)			
•	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
Audit	Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
Grossman Center Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA)
Statement of Fact Staff
First Responder Cards

GC policies and directives establishes mandates for staff, volunteer and contractor's role for inmate allegation of sexual abuse. Policy and several documents (such as the PREA card provided to all staff-interviewed on how to respond to allegations of sexual assaults) provide initial guidance to employees regarding the expected coordinated actions to take place in response to an incident of sexual abuse/sexual harassment. Four random staff including administrative, program and support staff were interviewed. All persons interviewed had received PREA training and all responded they would tell the inmate to not destroy any evidence, would remain with the resident and notify the closest correctional staff. There have

been no allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment reported to non-correctional staff. Compliance was determined by review of the policy and interviews with non-correctional staff.

Standard 115.265: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.265 (a)

■ Has the facility developed a written center plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse?

Yes
No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds re-	quirement of standards)
---	-------------------------

- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- □ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Local Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program PREA Coordinated Response Plan

GEO policy and Grossman Center's PREA Coordinated Response Plan establishes a plan to coordinate actions in response to an incident of sexual abuse. The plan provides written guidance to staff and administration regarding actions to take and notifications to be made. The plan is includes initial responses; notifications required when Sexual Abuse is alleged; evidence protocol; and responsibilities when sexual harassment is alleged. A PREA After-Action Checklist for Incidents of Sexual Abuse and Harassment is completed to ensure that all steps of the plan and proper notifications are made. This checklist is filed with the completed

investigative packet. The final report is forwarded to cooperate PREA office for review and recommendations. The Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager, the Assistant Director of Security and the Assistant Facility Director for Programs are responsible to ensure compliance to the plan. Staff interviewed confirmed that they are knowledgeable of the plan and the necessary actions to be taken in response to an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment.

Standard 115.266: Preservation of ability to protect residents from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.2	66	(a)
---	---	---	----	----	-----

• Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any residents pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.266 (b)

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult

Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
GEO Group Policy 5.1.2-E Investigating Sexually Abusive Behavior
Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA)

GEO policies mandates that in every case where the alleged abuser is an Employee, Contractor or Volunteer, there shall be no contact between the alleged abuser and the alleged victim pending the outcome of an investigation. Separation orders requiring "no contact" shall be documented by facility management via email or memorandum within 24 hours of the reported allegation. The email or memorandum shall be printed and maintained as part of the related investigation file. In all cases, the abuser would be subject to disciplinary sanctions for violating GEO policies on sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

GEO shall not enter into any collective bargaining agreement at any of its facilities that would limit the facility's ability to remove an alleged sexual abuser from contact with residents pending the outcome of an investigation Grossman Center does not have a collective bargaining unit. Compliance was determined by review of the policies and review of investigative report where staff member was placed barred from entering the facility pending an investigation. Further compliance was determined by interviews with PCM and center director.

Standard 115.267: Agency protection against retaliation

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.267 (a)

- Has the agency established a policy to protect all residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other residents or staff?

 Yes

 No
- Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?

 Yes

 No

115.267 (b)

■ Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for resident victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or resident abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for residents or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.267 (c)

Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency:

	Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of residents who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by residents or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any resident disciplinary reports? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident housing changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor resident program changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need? ☑ Yes ☐ No
115.20	67 (d)
•	In the case of residents, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks? ☑ Yes □ No
115.20	67 (e)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities
Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program
Retaliation Log (Resident)
Retaliation Log (Staff)
Statement of Fact

GEO policy 5.1.2-A and facility policy 2019 -1 establishes compliance to this standard. Residents and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations will be protected from retaliation from other detainees and staff. The PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for monitoring for retaliation. Weekly monitoring is to begin the week following the incident for a minimum of 90 days or longer if warranted. Monitoring will terminate if the allegation is determined to be unfounded. Monitoring for retaliation is documented on the Protection from Retaliation Log. In the past 12 months, there were three incidents of retaliation monitoring for residents that occurred. There were no retaliation for staff required during this auditing period. Compliance

was determined by interview with the PREA Compliance Manager and review of retaliation logs.

	INVESTIGATIONS
Stand	ard 115.271: Criminal and administrative agency investigations
All Ye	s/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.27	71 (a)
•	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
-	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.27	71 (b)
•	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.234? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.27	71 (c)
•	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
•	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.27	71 (d)
•	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.271 (e)		
 Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as resident or staff?		
■ Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring a resident who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding? ■ Yes □ No		
115.271 (f)		
■ Do administrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse? ☑ Yes □ No		
■ Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings? ☑ Yes □ No		
115.271 (g)		
• Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
115.271 (h)		
 Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?		
115.271 (I)		
■ Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.271(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
115.271 (j)		
■ Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?		
115.271 (k)		

Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

115.271 (I)

•	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with
	outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the
	investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal
	sexual abuse investigations. See 115.221(a).) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
⊠	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

5.1.2-E Investigating Allegations of Sexually Abusive Behavior (PREA) and Evidence Collection
PREA Investigation Reports
Incident Tracking Logs
Statement of Fact

An administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment at the GC including third party and anonymous reports. The facility has a trained facility investigators on staff.

The supervisor receiving the report of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment immediately notifies the Facility Director who notifies the PREA Coordinator and the BOP Residential Reentry Manager. According to the BOP Statement of Work for Residential Reentry Centers the facility is not allowed to conduct any investigation of misconduct without the Reentry technical Representative approval. Criminal investigations are investigated by the BOP or by the Leavenworth Police Department pursuant to the requirements of this standard.

If an allegation involves a staff member, notification is made to GEO's OPR. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment are documented on the Monthly PREA Incident Tracking Log.

The administrative investigation will include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse. The administrative investigation shall be documented in a written report and include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments and investigative facts and findings. The facility shall cooperate with outside investigators and remain informed of the progress of the investigation through communication with outside investigators. A criminal investigation shall be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial and documentary evidence. The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness is assessed on an individual basis and is not determined by the person's status as resident or staff. A resident who alleges sexual abuse is not required to submit to a polygraph examination. GEO retains all written reports pertaining to administrative investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or staff member is employed by the agency, plus five years. In the last 12 months there was one allegations of sexual abuse that was referred back to GEO for investigation by BOP. The investigated was conducted by staff member at the facility. The allegation did not rise to the level of criminal. The allegation of sexual abuse was unsubstantiated. Misconduct by an employee (harassment) was determined to be substantiated. Employee resigned prior to the investigation being conducted. The incident was still investigated. Compliance was determined by review of the investigative report, review of the monthly PREA incident logs and interviews with PREA compliance manager and Director.

Standard 115.272: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.272 (a)

•	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of
	the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment
	are substantiated? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

5.1.2-E Investigating Allegations of Sexually Abusive Behavior (PREA) and Evidence Collection

PREA Investigation Reports

The evidence standard is a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether administrative allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated by policy, training, and review of investigative report. Investigators training programs provide in-depth clarification of this standard. Compliance was determined by review of policy, investigation, investigator training curriculum.

Standard 115.273: Reporting to residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.273 (a)

•	Following an investigation into a resident's allegation that he or she suffered sexual
	abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the resident as to whether the
	allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?
	Yes □ No

115.273 (b)

-	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into a resident's allegation of sexual
	abuse in the agency's facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the
	investigative agency in order to inform the resident? (N/A if the agency/facility is
	responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) ⊠ Yes □ No
	□NA

115.273 (c)

 Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently

	inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the resident's unit? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following a resident's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.27	73 (d)
•	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?
•	Following a resident's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another resident, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \square Yes \square No
115.27	73 (e)
•	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.27	73 (f)
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	or Overall Compliance Determination
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	·

- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
 Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
- **Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative**

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Grossman Center Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA)

Notifications of Outcome of Allegation

The policies indicate that following an investigation of sexual abuse of a resident, the resident shall be informed as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. The Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager is responsible to present to the resident the Notification of Outcome of Allegation form which the resident signs. This form is retained in the investigative file of the corresponding PREA incident. If the facility did not conduct the investigation, the facility shall request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the resident. The policy further states that following a resident's allegation that an employee has committed sexual abuse against the resident the facility is required to inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation, unless the facility has determined that the allegation was unfounded. Following a resident's allegation that another resident sexually abused him/her, the agency shall inform the resident of the outcome of the investigation. The facility's obligation to notify the resident shall terminate if the resident is released from custody. There was one allegation of sexual abuse or sexual assault in the last 12 months. The PREA compliance manager notified the resident of the outcome of the investigations. A review of policies, notification to residents and interview with the PCM verified compliance with this standard.

DISCIPLINE

Standard 115.276: Disciplinary sanctions for staff

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

	·
115.276 (a)	
	taff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating cy sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.276 (b)	
	mination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in al abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.276 (c)	
sexua the na histor	isciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or all harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with ature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary y, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar ies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.276 (d)	
or res	Il terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies signations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, ted to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? ⊠ □ No
or res	Il terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies signations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, ted to: Relevant licensing bodies? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Auditor Ove	erall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action

recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Employee Handbook (Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment) Statement of Fact

Staff members are subject to disciplinary sanctions for violating Agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Discipline would be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history and the sanctions There has been one staff placed on administrative leave pending allegations of sexual abuse during the last twelve months. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, investigative report, notification memo from the Director, statement of fact, and interview with Director.

Standard 115.277: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.277 (a)

•	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with residents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal? ⊠ Yes □ No
-	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.277 (b)

• In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with residents? ⋈ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
 Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities Policy 5.1.2-E Investigating Allegations of Sexually Abusive Behavior (PREA) and Evidence Collection Statement of Fact

Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse/sexual harassment would be prohibited from contact with inmates and would be reported to the appropriate investigator and law enforcement or relevant professional licensing/certifying bodies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal in nature. In cases that were not criminal in nature, the facility would take appropriate remedial measures and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates. During the previous year, there were no incidents where a contractor or volunteer was accused of, suspected or found guilty of sexual abuse or sexual harassment at GC. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, volunteer/contractor training files and volunteer supervisor interviews.

Standard 115.278: Interventions and disciplinary sanctions for residents

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.278 (a)

•	Following an administrative finding that a resident engaged in resident-on-resident
	sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for resident-on-resident sexual
	abuse, are residents subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary
	process? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.27	78 (b)				
•	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the resident's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other residents with similar histories? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.27	78 (c)				
•	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether a resident's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.27	78 (d)				
•	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending resident to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.27	78 (e)				
•	Does the agency discipline a resident for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.27	78 (f)				
•	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? Yes No				
115.27	78 (g)				
•	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between residents to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between residents.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA				
Audito	or Overall Compliance Determination				
	□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				

□ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities GC Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) Resident Handbook Statement of Fact

According to facility policy 0803-1, BOP and the USPO are the supervising authorities over all residents at the Grossman Center. If a resident is found guilty of engaging in sexual abuse involving another resident, it will be reported to the BOP Residential Reentry Manager who will determine whether to subject the offender to formal disciplinary sanctions. Residents are made aware of sexual misconduct they will be disciplined for and the sanctions that will be imposed in the Resident Handbook. The disciplinary process may consider whether an individual's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed. The BOP will determine if the resident will be required to participate in counseling or other interventions designed to address the reasons or motivations for the abuse. Disciplining a resident for sexual contact with an employee is prohibited unless it is found that the employee did not consent to the contact. The agency prohibits all sexual activity between residents. Facilities may not deem that sexual activity between residents is sexual abuse unless it is determined that the activity was coerced. In the past 12 months, there were no disciplinary sanctions imposed related to resident sexual misconduct. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, and interviews with PCM and Security Supervisor.

MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.282: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.282 (a)

•	Do resident victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? ⊠ Yes □ No					
115.2	82 (b)					
•	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.262? ☑ Yes ☐ No					
•		curity staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental practitioners? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No				
115.2	82 (c)					
•	Are resident victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No					
115.2	82 (d)					
•	 Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? 					
Audit	or Ove	erall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)				
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
Instru	ıctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative				

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities.

GC Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) Guidance Center MOU
Leavenworth PD MOU
Saint John Hospital MOU
Statement of Fact – Emergency
Services
Alliance Center MOU

Resident victims are referred to The Alliance Center for Advocacy and SANE examinations at no cost to the resident. The Alliance Center utilizes Saint Luke Crushing Hospital. The center also has a MOU with Saint John Hospital for emergency treatment and SANE exams at no cost to the resident. Counseling and victim advocacy services would be provided by referral to the Guidance Center. SANE nurse and Advocacy services are provided through the Alliance Center.

Resident victims are offered information about access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, where medically appropriate. All services are provided without financial cost to the victim, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

In the past 12 months, there have been no referrals for emergency medical or mental health services required. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, and interviews with PCM and Alliance Center.

Standard 115.283: Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.283 (a)

■ Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all residents who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?
✓ Yes
□ No

115.283 (b)

 Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following

	their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	83 (c)
•	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	83 (d)
•	Are resident victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all-male" facility. <i>Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.</i>) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.2	83 (e)
•	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.283(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all-male" facility. <i>Note: in "all-male" facilities, there may be residents who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.</i>) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.2	83 (f)
•	Are resident victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.2	83 (g)
•	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
115.2	83 (h)
•	Does the facility attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known resident-on-resident abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
×	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
П	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities.

Local Policy 0803-1 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program Mental Health Provider MOU

Statement of Fact

The facility will offer ongoing medical and mental health care to all the residents of the Grossman Center who have been victimized by sexual abuse. The evaluation and treatment will include follow-up services, treatment plans and referrals for continued care upon transfer or release consistent with the community level of care. Victims will also be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections. Female victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration shall be offered pregnancy tests. If pregnancy results shall receive timely and comprehensive information about access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services. All services will be provided without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. Referrals are made to Saint John Hospital for emergency and ongoing medical services. The alliance center provides post-traumatic stress counseling and the Guidance Center provides assessment and mental health support. Staff at the Guidance Center and the Alliance Center provide follow-up services for resident that remain in the Leavenworth area when released from the center. Their programs presently serve some of the female staff that are assigned to the center's in home supervision program.

The facility attempts to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate. Mental health services are provided by the Guidance Center services. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, and interviews with PCM and Guidance Center.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standa	Standard 115.286: Sexual abuse incident reviews					
All Ye	s/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report					
115.28	36 (a)					
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? ☒ Yes ☐ No					
115.28	36 (b)					
•	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? ☑ Yes □ No					
115.28	36 (c)					
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? ⊠ Yes □ No					
115.28	36 (d)					
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No					
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? ☒ Yes ☐ No					
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? ☑ Yes ☐ No					
•	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? ⊠ Yes □ No					
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or					

-	limited recom	to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.286(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any mendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and compliance manager?			
115.28	36 (e)				
•		he facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its as for not doing so? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities.

Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA)

Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) After Action Review Reports

Statement of Fact

The Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager and the Assistant Director of Programs make up the facility's Incident Review Team. The team meets and the PREA Coordinator may attend via telephone or in person. The team considers whether the incident was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, perceived status or gang affiliation. The team examines the area where the incident was alleged to have occurred and assesses whether physical barriers in the area may have contributed to the abuse, whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented and whether the staffing levels at the time of the incident were adequate.

Incident reviews are documented on a PREA after Action Review Report and forwarded to the PREA Coordinator no later than 10 working days after the review. The facility will implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so. The Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager maintains copies of all completed PREA after Action Review Reports and a copy is retained in the corresponding investigative file.

In the past 12 months, there were one incident review required. When interviewed, the Facility Director/PREA Compliance Manager knew their responsibilities as they relate to the review of sexual abuse incidents. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy, After Actions Reports and interviews with PCM and Director.

Standard 115.287: Data collection

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report			
115.287 (a)			
 Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? ☑ Yes □ No 			
115.287 (b)			
 Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? ☑ Yes □ No 			
115.287 (c)			
■ Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice? Yes □ No			
115.287 (d)			
■ Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? ☑ Yes □ No			
115.287 (e)			
■ Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its residents? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its residents.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA			
115.287 (f)			

•	to the	the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested by data.) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ NA
Audit	or Ove	rall Compliance Determination
	\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	П	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities.

GC Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) Incident Tracking Logs

PREA Portal Summary Report

GEO Annual Data Reports

A review of documentation supports the finding that the GEO and has collected accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control (including two contract facilities), using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The incident-based data collected includes information required to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice. The agency data has been aggregated at least annually for the last two years. Upon request, the agency would provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30. The facility provides the required data for the preparation of the report. A review of documentation and staff interviews confirmed compliance to this standard. The data collected includes the information necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence, conducted by the Department of Justice. The Agency aggregates and reviews all data annually. Upon request, the Agency would provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than

June 30 of each year. Exceeding Compliance with this standard was also determined by a review of policy/documentation and an interview with the PCM and GEO Group PREA coordinator. The computerized data collections system allows the GEO Group with access of continuous and instant uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control (including two contract facilities), using a standardized instrument and set of definitions.

Standard	115 288	Data review for	corrective action
Otalidald	1 10.200.	Data I CVICW I OI	COLLECTIVE action

All Y	es/No	Questions	Must Be	Answered	by the	Auditor	to Com	plete th	e Report
-------	-------	-----------	---------	----------	--------	---------	--------	----------	----------

115.288	(a)
---------	-----

All res/No Questions Must be Answered by the Additor to Complete the Neport		
115.288 (a)		
■ Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
■ Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? ☑ Yes □ No		
■ Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.287 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
115.288 (b)		
■ Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.288 (c)		
Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☑ Yes ☐ No		
115.288 (d)		

Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility? ☑ Yes ☐ No
 Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
 ☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)

□ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities.

GEO Annual Data Reports

GEO Log of incident in 2019

The agency and facility review and assess all sexual abuse/sexual harassment data at least annually to improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response policies, to identify any trends, issues or problematic areas and to take corrective action if needed. The PREA Compliance Manager forwards data to the agency PREA Coordinator. A review of Grossman Center Residential Reentry Center 2019 Report revealed the facility had no allegations of sexual abuse that was determined to be unsubstantiated, substantiated or unfounded. There was one allegation of inmate on inmate that was unsubstantiated and one allegation of staff on resident that was substantiated. The facility after action report recommended that the facility enhance its safe boundaries training program. The facility director conducts monthly staff meeting will all staff. The first item on each monthly meeting is PREA standards and safe boundaries discussions. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of the annual report and interviews with PREA compliance manager and the GEO Group PREA coordinators.

Standard 115.289: Data storage, publication, and destruction

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.289 (a)				
 Does retained 	the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.287 are securely ed? ☐ No			
115.289 (b)				
 Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ☒ Yes ☐ No 				
115.289 (c)				
	■ Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available? ✓ Yes ✓ No			
115.289 (d)				
■ Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.287 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ☑ Yes ☐ No				
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities. GEO Annual Data Reports

All PREA files and related data is retained in a secure filing system. The final report does not contain any personal identifiers and policy requires that the statistical data be retained for a period of no less than 10 years, unless federal, state or local law requires otherwise. The agency makes the information available on the GEO website. The reports cover all data required in the elements of this standard. Staff interviews and a review of documentation confirmed compliance with this standard. The required reports cover all data required in this standard and are is retained in a file. Compliance with this standard was determined by a review of policy/documentation and interviews with PCM and Director.

AUDDING AND WORK COLOR	AUDITING	AND CORRECTIVE ACTION	
------------------------	----------	-----------------------	--

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)

■ During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (*Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.*) ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.401 (b)

- Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.) ☐ Yes ☒ No
- If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is **not** the second year of the current audit cycle.) □ Yes ⋈ No □ NA
- If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least twothirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf

	of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the <i>third</i> year of the current audit cycle.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA			
115.40)1 (h)			
•				
115.40)1 (i)			
•	 Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?			
115.40)1 (m)			
•	Was th No	ne auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with residents?		
115.40)1 (n)			
•	 Were residents permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?			
Audito	or Ove	rall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Instru	ctions	for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative		

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The following polices, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Policy 5.1.2-A Sexually Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention (PREA) for Adult Prison and Jail and Adult Community Confinement Facilities.

GC Policy 2019 - 6 Sexual Abusive Behavior Prevention and Intervention Program (PREA) GEO Annual Data Reports

PREA Audit Final Summary Report

This is the third audit for this facility. The last PREA audit was conducted July 5, 2017. This audit was scheduled for April 2020, postponed by order of the governor until June 2020. Was rescheduled until August 2020 by another order of the governor. Another auditor scheduled the audit for September 13, 2020 but was unable to complete the audit due to HIPPA reasons. This auditor received request to complete the audit as soon as possible. Due to prior commitments and need for 30 day initiation period the audit was scheduled for October 21, 2020.

The auditor was allowed access to all areas of the facility and had access to all required supporting documentation. The auditor was able to conduct private interviews with both inmates and staff. The auditor was provided supporting documentation before and during the audit. Notifications of the audit were posted on September 10, 2020 throughout the center to allowed inmates and staff to send confidential letters to the auditor. There were no correspondences from residents or staff during this audit period received by the prior auditor or this auditor.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

•	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made
	publicly available. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three
	years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal
	pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision.
	(N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the
	case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

☐ **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

- Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
- □ **Does Not Meet Standard** (*Requires Corrective Action*)

Instructions for Overall Compliance Determination Narrative

The narrative below must include a comprehensive discussion of all the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or non-compliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet the standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

The center has fully implemented all policies, practices and procedures outlined in the PREA standards. The auditor reviewed applicable standards and, through the review of supporting documentation, interviews with staff and inmates and the observation of physical evidence, concluded that this facility fully meets and substantially complies in all material ways with the PREA standards for the relevant review period. The agency and facility's leadership are fully committed to eliminating sexual abuse/sexual harassment, as evidenced in the realistic staffing analysis and the recommendations for enhanced supervision techniques. The facility director and PREA compliance manager has added components to staff and residents educations and community support systems. The Director conducts monthly meeting will all staff to discuss PREA related topic. The PCM and Director jointly conduct at a minimum once a month a town hall meeting will all residents and staff to discuss PREA related topic. A diary maintained by the director included staff and resident's meeting around PREA topics. The director has a long tenure as a warden and has utilized his experiences to develop systems to implement programs and measure the success of these programs.

The center has signed an MOU with the Alliance Center for victim advocacy program, the Guidance Center for confidential support and counseling and Leavenworth Police Department for investigations and additional support.

Allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are processed in accordance with the standards, to include incident reviews, disciplinary actions, if required, outcome notifications and incident review team.

PREA training for staff and inmates is documented and all stakeholders receive the appropriate level of training and are knowledgeable of the intent of the PREA and the tools available to ensure prevention, detection, reporting and response to sexual abuse incidents. Sexual abuse and victimization propensity screening is well established and tracked in an organized fashion. Referrals for mental health counseling are integrated in the intake and allegations of sexual abuse processes. Medical networks for the inmates are established in the community. The public has access to reporting mechanisms and PREA trends data via the website. The Grossman Center currently meets or exceeds all

applicable PREA standards.

AUDITOR CERTIFICATION

I certify that:

- No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and
- I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any resident or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.

Auditor Instructions:

Type your full name in the text box below for Auditor Signature. This will function as your official electronic signature. Auditors must deliver their final report to the PREA Resource Center as a searchable PDF format to ensure accessibility to people with disabilities. Save this report document into a PDF format prior to submission. Auditors are not permitted to submit audit reports that have been scanned. See the PREA Auditor Handbook for a full discussion of audit report formatting requirements.

Robert L. Manville	<u>November 12, 2020</u>	
Auditor Signature	Date	

PREA Audit Report, V6 Page 101 of 101 Grossman Center

 $^{^{1}\,\}text{See additional instructions here:}\,\underline{\text{https://support.office.com/en-us/article/Save-or-convert-to-PDF-d85416c5-7d77-4fd6-a216-6f4bf7c7c110}\,.$

² See PREA Auditor Handbook, Version 1.0, August 2017; Pages 68-69.