Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit Report **Adult Prisons & Jails** ☐ Interim Date of Report May 29, 2019 **Auditor Information** David Andraska ddafalls@hotmail.com Name: Email: Andraska Consulting, LLC **Company Name:** P.O. Box 191 Melrose, WI 54642-01915 **Mailing Address:** City, State, Zip: 715 896-2648 **Date of Facility Visit:** 3/13-15/2019 Telephone: **Agency Information** Name of Agency: Governing Authority or Parent Agency (If Applicable): The GEO Group Inc. 4955 Technology Way Boca Raton, Florida 33431 Physical Address: City, State, Zip: Mailing Address: City, State, Zip: 561 999-5827 Telephone: Is Agency accredited by any organization? ✓ Yes The Agency Is: Private for Profit ☐ Private not for Profit Military ☐ State ☐ Federal ☐ Municipal County GEO's mission is to develop innovative public-private partnerships with government Agency mission: agencies around the globe that deliver high quality, cost-efficient correctional, detention, community reentry, and electronic monitoring services while providing industry leading rehabilitation and community reintegration programs to the men and women entrusted to GEO's care. https://www.geogroup.com/PREA Agency Website with PREA Information: **Agency Chief Executive Officer** Chairman of the Board, CEO and Founder George C. Zoley Name: Title: gzoley@geogroup.com 561 893-0101 Telephone: Email:

PREA Audit Report Page 1 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator						
Name: Phebia L. Moreland	Name: Phebia L. Moreland			Title: Director, Contract Compliance, PREA Coordinator		
Email: pmoreland@geogr	oup.com	Telepho	one: 561 9	999-5827		
PREA Coordinator Reports to: Daniel Ragsdale, Executive Contract Compliance	e Vice President,	Number Coordin	-	ce Managers who report to t 8	he PREA	
	Facilit	ty Informa	ition			
Name of Facility: Arizona	State Prison- Pho	enix West				
Physical Address: 3402 W	est Cocopah, Phoe	enix, AZ 850	009			
Mailing Address (if different than	above): PO Box 1	18640, Phoe	enix, AZ 850	005-8640		
Telephone Number: 602 3	52-0350					
The Facility Is:	☐ Military	Private f	or profit	☐ Private not for	profit	
☐ Municipal	☐ County	State		☐ Federal		
Facility Type:	☐ Ja	il		⊠ Prison		
Facility Mission: To manage and operate a safe, humane and secure correctional facility that protects the public. In addition, we provide inmates with training, education and treatment programs designed to promote personal growth, individual accountability and responsibility while incarcerated and to carry pro-social values into the community upon release.						
Facility Website with PREA Information: www.azcorrections.gov and https://www.geogroup.com/PREA						
	Warde	n/Superinten	ıdent			
Name: Wayne A. Phillips		Title: War	rden			
Email: Wphillips@geogro	up.com	Telephone:	phone: 602 352-0350			
Facility PREA Compliance Manager						
Name: LaKenya Moses		Title: Case Manager				
Email: Lmoses@geogroup.com Tele			602 352-	0350		
Facility Health Service Administrator						
Name: Dayna LeValley			Ith Service	s Administrator		
Email: DLevalley@correctcaresolu	Telephone: 5	20 868-425	 51			

	Facilit	ty Char	acteristic	s		
Designated Facili	ty Capacity: 519	Currer	nt Populatio	n of Facility: 4	94	
Number of inmate	s admitted to facility during the past 1	2 month	s			568
facility was for 3	tes admitted to facility during the pa O days or more:			_	_	521
Number of inmate was for 72 hours	es admitted to facility during the past 1	2 month	s whose len	igth of stay in t	he facility	514
	es on date of audit who were admitted t	to facility	prior to Au	igust 20, 2012:		0
Age Range of Population:	Youthful Inmates Under 18: N/A			Adults: 1	8 and older	•
Are youthful inma	tes housed separately from the adult p	oopulatio	on?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ NA
Number of youthf	ul inmates housed at this facility durin	g the pa	st 12 month	s:		N/A
Average length of	stay or time under supervision:					2.5 years
Facility security le	evel/inmate custody levels:					Minimum
Number of staff c	urrently employed by the facility who n	nay have	contact wi	th inmates:		124
Number of staff h	ired by the facility during the past 12 m	nonths w	ho may hav	e contact with	inmates:	53
Number of contracts in the past 12 months for services with contractors who may have contact with inmates:				1		
	Р	hysica	l Plant			
Number of Buildings: 1 Number of Single Cell Housing Units: 1						
Number of Multiple Occupancy Cell Housing Units:						
Number of Open Bay/Dorm Housing Units: 8						
Number of Segregation Cells (Administrative and Disciplinary:						
Description of any video or electronic monitoring technology (including any relevant information about where cameras are placed, where the control room is, retention of video, etc.): ASP-Phoenix West employs a video camera system for video surveillance. Cameras are placed strategically throughout the institution to ensure the safety and security of both inmates and staff. The facility currently has 32 cameras.						
Medical						
Type of Medical F	acility:		On site 2	24/7 medica	l unit	
Forensic sexual assault medical exams are conducted at: Scottsdale Osborn Hospital						
Other						
Number of volunt authorized to ente	eers and individual contractors, who ner the facility:	nay have	contact wit	th inmates, cur	rently	46
Number of investi	gators the agency currently employs t	o investi	igate allegat	Number of investigators the agency currently employs to investigate allegations of sexual abuse: 3 ADC Investigators		

Audit Findings

Audit Narrative

The Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Audit for the Arizona State Prison-Phoenix West (ASP-Phoenix West) owned and operated by The GEO Group Inc. (GEO) was conducted on March 11-13, 2019 by Department of Justice (DOJ) Certified PREA Auditor David Andraska. This was the second PREA audit for the facility. ASP-Phoenix West contracts with the Arizona Department of Corrections (ADC). A line of communication was developed between the GEO PREA Coordinator, ASP-Phoenix West PREA Compliance Manager and the auditor to discuss the posting of audit notice, Pre-Audit Questionnaire (PAQ), internal review and logistics.

The pre-audit preparations consisted of a thorough review of all documentation and materials submitted by the facility to include the PAQ, agency and ADC policies, procedures, forms, posters, pamphlets, brochures, handbooks, educational materials, training curriculums, organizational charts, and other PREA related materials the facility provided to demonstrate compliance with each PREA standard. The auditor also viewed the GEO and ADC websites. The auditor contacted Just Detention International (JDI) in reference to any information previously submitted by inmates. Prior to the onsite audit, ASP-Phoenix West conducted an internal review (mock audit) to prepare for the PREA audit. Results of the review and corrective action taken were discussed with the auditor prior to the start of the audit. The recommendations per the review were implemented prior to the on-site audit.

The Auditor arrived at ASP-Phoenix West at approximately 1:00 p.m. on March 13, 2019 to begin the auditing process. An entrance meeting was held in the Warden's conference room with the Auditor and the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, and the Agency PREA Coordinator. The tour of the facility began at approximately 1:30 p.m. The auditor was escorted by the Warden and PREA Compliance Manager throughout the tour. The auditor was previously provided with a schematic layout of the facility that included building and camera location. During the tour, the auditor reviewed staffing; logs; physical plant; sight lines; camera coverage; security mirrors and tested the inmate PREA hotline phone system. It was noted that several mirrors in the housing unit and kitchen needed to be readjusted to eliminate blind spots. Also one mirror in the kitchen was recommended to be replaced with a larger mirror. Inmates were able to shower, dress and use the toilet facilities without exposing themselves to staff of the opposite gender. Informal and formal conversations with employees and inmates regarding the PREA standards were conducted. Areas visited during the tour included administration, all housing units with attached segregation housing, kitchen/dining hall, education, medical unit, recreation, laundry, chapel, library, commissary and visitation. An overview of the camera system and camera views was shown to the auditor after the tour was completed.

PREA posters and notification of PREA audit visit was observed posted throughout all areas accessible to inmate and staff in both English and Spanish. The notification of the PREA audit visit was documented as posted on February 11, 2019. No correspondence was received by the auditor from inmates at ASP Phoenix West. In addition to a complete tour of the facility,

PREA Audit Report Page 4 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

the site visit consisted of a thorough review of inmate files, training records, personnel files, investigation reports, supporting documentation and formal interviews with staff and inmates.

ASP Phoenix West employs 124 staff that may have contact with inmates. ASP-Phoenix West contracts for medical/mental health services. A total of 24 staff/contractors and one volunteer were interviewed during the audit. 10 staff were selected for random interviews that included security staff (Lieutenant, Sergeant and officers) on all three shifts, substance abuse counselor, maintenance and food service staff. 14 facility and Agency specialized staff and two ADC staff were interviewed. The specialized staff interviewed included: Agency Head, GEO PREA Coordinator, PREA Compliance Manager, intake and risk screening staff, retaliation monitor, investigator, incident review team member, contract medical/mental staff and the ADC PREA Coordinator and ADC Captain (contract monitor). All facility staff served as a first responder and all staff interviewed were knowledgeable of their first responder duties and the agency's zero policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

The PREA Compliance Manager provided the auditor with housing unit rosters that identified inmates alphabetical and by bed assignments along with their race. The inmate count was 494 on the first day of the site visit. The auditor conducted 26 formal interviews. 13 inmates were selected for random interviews. Inmates were chosen by a random selection from each housing unit with various ages and race. 13 inmates that were identified from the target group were interviewed as follows: six (6) inmates who are LEP, two (2) inmates who identify as gay or bi-sexual, two (2) inmates with physical disabilities, two (2) inmates who reported sexual abuse one (1) inmate who identify as transgender. There were no inmates at ASP-Phoenix West who were identified as meeting the following categories; youthful offender, blind, inmates with cognitive disabilities, or inmates in segregated housing for high risk of sexual victimization. All inmates interviewed were knowledgeable of the agency's zero tolerance of sexual abuse/harassment and the procedures for reporting. Overall, inmates stated they felt safe at the facility.

All investigative files were reviewed during the on-site visit and appeared to thoroughly document the investigation process per agency policy. All investigations are the responsibility of the ADC Criminal Investigation Unit (CIU). There was one offender on offender sexual harassment allegation, one staff on offender sexual harassment allegation and one staff on offender sexual abuse. The administrative findings of the alleged offender on offender sexual harassment was unsubstantiated, the alleged staff on offender sexual harassment was unsubstantiated. The staff on offender sexual abuse allegation is still open.

Upon completion of the on-site visit, an exit briefing was held to discuss the audit observations and findings. This briefing was held in the Warden's conference room with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, Training Officer/Compliance Manager and the Agency PREA Coordinator. The facility staff was found to be cooperative and professional. Staff morale appeared to be good and the observed staff/inmate relationships were determined to be good. The corrective action plan discussed and the audit report process was discussed. The auditor thanked the Warden and staff for their hospitality and hard work and dedication to the PREA audit process.

Facility Characteristics

ASP-Phoenix West is located at 3402 Cocopah Street, Phoenix, Arizona in the industrial area of Phoenix. ASP-Phoenix West began operating in 1996 and formerly was an industrial warehouse. GEO assumed operations of the facility during the acquisition from Correctional Services Corporation (CSC) in November 2005. ASP-Phoenix West is a minimum custody DUI facility designed to integrate the delivery of programs, related services and operations for effective custody and control of inmates.

There is one building located inside a secure perimeter fence that sits on approximately 4 acres and encompasses 96,200 square feet. The one building houses all offices, services, programs and inmate housing units. Outside buildings include one small storage shed. The security staff work three, eight hour shifts. The control center is staffed with two officers on day and swing shifts and one officer on the graveyard shift.

The facility has eight dormitories, all with double bunks, with an adjacent common space dayroom. All eight dorms are located at the back of the facility and run the length of the building. Dorms one through seven house 64 inmates in each for a total of 448 inmates; dorm eight houses 52 inmates. Inmates have free movement between all eight dorms. The shower areas in each dorm allow inmates to shower separately and there are two shower stalls with PREA curtains for additional privacy. There are two urinals with a partial wall on each side and three toilets with partial walls dividing them. A partial wall in half of the restroom entrance allows for privacy in the restroom area.

A special housing unit referred to as "Detention" has nine cells with double-bunks and one single cell that with the capacity for 19 administrative, disciplinary or protective custody beds. Cells in this special housing unit include a toilet and washbasin and one common shower with a curtain covering half of the shower door for privacy. Officers posted in Detention are required to make 30 minute rounds.

ASP-Phoenix West inmates are required to attend and complete specific programming during their incarceration as part of the Earned Incentive Program. Required programming is determined by their individual corrections plan. Part of the corrections plan is the earned incentive program designed to reward positive programming and behavior and choices. There are three phase levels and as established criteria are met, inmates advance. The phase level affects an inmate's job placement, the amount of visitation they receive, the number of telephone calls that can be made and the amount of money that can be spent at the commissary.

Summary of Audit Findings

Number of Standards Exceeded: 4

115.11, 115.17, 115.31,115.88

Number of Standards Met: 41

Number of Standards Not Met: 0

Summary of Corrective Action (if any)

The Interim Audit Report, dated April 26, 2019, indicated that there were three Standards (115.41, 115.42 and 115.81) that were non-compliant at ASP-Phoenix West Therefore, a required corrective action period not to exceed 180 days began. The Auditor recommended corrective action for the facility which they agreed to and began immediate corrections of those Standards found to be in non-compliance. Documentation of corrective action was received by the Auditor on May 24, 2019. The Auditor reviewed the submitted documentation to determine if full compliance was achieved. A summary of the evidentiary basis for determining full compliance is discussed within each standard that was originally non-compliant. As a result of successful corrective action, the Auditor determined that ASP-Phoenix West has achieved full compliance with the PREA Standards.

PREVENTION PLANNING

Standard 115.11: Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by The Auditor to Complete the Report

All 16	5/14U Q	destions must be Answered by The Additor to Complete the Report		
115.11	(a)			
•		he agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual and sexual harassment? $\ oxtimes$ Yes $\ oxtimes$ No		
•		he written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding all abuse and sexual harassment? $\ oxdot$ Yes $\ oxdot$ No		
115.11	(b)			
•	Has the	e agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator? 🛛 Yes 🗆 No		
•	Is the F	PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	overse	he PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and e agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities? $\hfill \square$ No		
115.11	(c)			
•		agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance er? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
•	 ■ Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA 			
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination		
	\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

ADC Department Order 125 - Sexual Offense Reporting (DO 125), GEO Organization chart and the ASP-Phoenix West Organization chart were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. The written policy mandate zero tolerance towards all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and outline the agency's and facility's approach to preventing, detecting and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The policies include definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual assault and sexual harassment of inmates with sanctions for those found to have participated in these prohibited behaviors. GEO also has a zero tolerance policy. Due to contractual requirements between ADC and GEO, ADC policies are required to be followed at this facility.

During interviews with the selection of random staff and specialty staff, each confirmed receiving PREA training and was knowledgeable of their responsibilities. PREA training is provided to staff during initial training and annually as outlined in policy. Those individuals interviewed shared their understanding of the agency's zero tolerance toward sexual abuse and sexual harassment within the guidelines of the PREA standards. PREA posters and literature describing the agency's zero tolerance toward sexual abuse and sexual harassment were observed by the auditor to be strategically located and accessible throughout the facility for staff and inmate awareness.

GEO employs an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator who is a Director in the Contract Compliance Division for GEO. She is very knowledgeable of PREA standards and has the authority to develop, implement, and oversee PREA compliance. She is very active in coordinating PREA, sending updates to facilities, especially as Frequently Asked Questions are posted on the PREA website. She conducts training and meetings to keep PREA Compliance Managers up to date on any changes and best practices. She is consistently looking for ways to improve GEO's PREA program. The GEO organization chart demonstrates the PREA Coordinator is in a position of authority. She indirectly supervises 108 PREA compliance managers through three regional coordinators, one community corrections coordinator, and one juvenile coordinator.

ASP-Phoenix West's PREA Compliance Manager is the Case Manager. She is very knowledgeable of PREA standards and is actively involved in PREA activities at the facility. Per interviews with the PREA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Manager, both stated they have sufficient time and authority to manage their PREA-related responsibilities.

The review of established policies and procedures, staff PREA training, Inmate PREA screening, education and information, interviews with staff and inmates, observation of bulletin boards, posters and PREA material during the tour of the facility, the designation of an Agency-wide PREA Coordinator, facility PREA Compliance Manager as well as oversight from the ADC PREA Coordinator, it is apparent that ASP-Phoenix West is committed to zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and exceeds the requirement of this standard.

Standard 115.12: Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates

PREA Audit Report Page 9 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.12 ((a)				
• ()	If this a or othe obligati or after	gency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies rentities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's on to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other for the confinement of inmates.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA			
115.12 ((b)				
(■ Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates OR the response to 115.12(a)-1 is "NO".) □ Yes □ No 図 NA				
Auditor	Overa	all Compliance Determination			
[Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
[Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
[Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
GEO is a private agency and does not contract with other private agencies or entities for the confinement of inmates.					
Stand	lard 1	15.13: Supervision and monitoring			
All Yes/	/No Qu	estions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report			
115.13 ((a)				
a	adequa	he agency ensure that each facility has developed a staffing plan that provides for ite levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
a	adequa	he agency ensure that each facility has documented a staffing plan that provides for ite levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
		ne agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the generally ed detention and correctional practices in calculating adequate staffing levels and			

PREA Audit Report Page 10 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

	determining the need for video monitoring? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any judicial findings of inadequacy in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration all components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated) in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the composition of the inmate population in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the number and placement of supervisory staff in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the institution programs occurring on a particular shift in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration the prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency ensure that each facility's staffing plan takes into consideration any other relevant factors in calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.13	s (b)
•	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.) □ Yes □ No □ NA

 In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? ☑ Yes ☐ No In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator. 			
In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
• In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan? ⋈ Yes □ No			
115.13 (d)			
Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts? \square Yes \square No			
Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
·			
Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
☐ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

GEO ensures each institution develops, documents, and make its best efforts to comply on a regular basis with a staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing, and where applicable, video monitoring, to protect residents against sexual abuse by monitoring and reviewing the staffing plans. GEO in collaboration with ADC (client) determines the staffing plan and the client also monitors compliance with the plan. GEO Policy 5.1.2-A establishes

procedures to develop and monitor staffing plans and uses the criteria found in Standard 115.13 (a) to include generally accepted correctional practices; any judicial findings of inadequacy; any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies; any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies; all components of the institution's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated); composition of the inmate population; number and placement of supervisory staff; institution programs occurring on a particular shift; any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards; prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and other relevant factors to develop and review the staffing plan.

The contract that the agency has with ADC outlines in the Scope of Work, that the agency must maintain full staffing based on the 100% occupancy regardless of the actual level of occupancy. The design capacity of the facility is 519. The facility covers vacancies of security posts by utilizing overtime. Staff reports are submitted to and monitored by the ADC Contract Monitor to ensure that contract requirements are met.

The auditor reviewed ASP-Phoenix West's Annual PREA Facility Assessment dated 8/30/18. The PREA facility assessment is reviewed annually by the facility, GEO Corrections Division, and PREA Coordinator. The signature of the PREA Coordinator on the assessment confirmed that this was done in consultation with her. GEO has established a form to conduct the annual assessment to ensure all eleven criteria are properly reviewed and addressed. The plan follows generally accepted correctional practices. There have been no findings of inadequacy from any external or internal oversight bodies. All components of the facility's physical plant are considered and blind-spots are acknowledged and steps are taken to fix the blind spots. There is a brief description of the resident population and the times programs are occurring. The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse is also mentioned. The Annual PREA Facility Assessment determines, and documents whether adjustments are needed to the staffing plan, the facility's deployment of video monitoring and other monitoring technologies, and the resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan. During the tour of the facility. It was noted that several mirrors in the housing unit and kitchen needed to be readjusted to eliminate blind spots. Also one mirror in the kitchen was recommended to be replaced with a larger mirror. The auditor re-checked these areas and confirmed that the mirrors were properly adjusted and the mirror in the kitchen was replaced.

ADC's DO #703, state that Wardens, Deputy Wardens, Associate Deputy Wardens and supervisory staff will conduct and document inspections on all shifts to deter employee sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Warden, Deputy Warden of Operations, the Deputy Wardens and Assistant Deputy Wardens of each unit perform unannounced PREA rounds at a minimum of twice each shift per month. These rounds are documented on the ADC-Inspection/Tour Report (Form 703-1) which were provided for review prior to the onsite visit and a random sample reviewed while onsite. Employees are prohibited from alerting other employees that these rounds are being conducted. Entries of these rounds are also found in the Correctional Services Log found in all dorms. The practice of these rounds being conducted was confirmed by interview with inmates and staff who reported numerous rounds on all shifts and upon review of the ADC-Inspection/Tour Reports.

PREA Audit Report Page 13 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

The review of policies, unannounced rounds logs, staffing plan, annual facility assessment, staff and inmate interviews, observation while on site of camera placement, and interview with the Warden demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.14: Youthful inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

•	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight,
	sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other
	common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful
	inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA

115.14 (b)

115.14 (a)

- In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA</p>
- In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA</p>

115.14 (c)

- Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)
 ☐ Yes
 ☐ No
 ☒ NA
- Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].) □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA
- Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates [inmates <18 years old].)
 ☐ Yes
 ☐ No
 ☒ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

PREA Audit Report Page 14 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

ASP – Phoenix West houses adult male inmates only and does not house youthful inmates.

Standard 115.15: Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered	by the Auditor to Complete the Report
All 103/140 Questions must be Answered	by the Additor to Complete the Report

11	5.	1	5	(a)

All Tes/No Questions must be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.15 (a)
 ■ Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners? ☑ Yes □ No
115.15 (b)
■ Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates in non-exigent circumstances? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20,2017.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
■ Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A here for facilities with less than 50 inmates before August 20, 2017.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.15 (c)
 Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity
searches? ⊠ Yes □ No
 ■ Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates? □ Yes ⋈ No
115.15 (d)
■ Does the facility implement a policy and practice that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks? Yes □ No
■ Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit? Yes □ No
115.15 (e)

•		he facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex s for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status? ⊠ Yes □ No			
•	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.15	(f)				
•	in a pro	he facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches of security and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent ecurity needs? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

DO 125, Department Order 704 – Inmate Regulations (DO 704), Department Order 708 – Searches (DO 708), Department Order 810 – Management of LGBTI Inmates (DO 810), Unit Specific Post Orders, PREA training curriculum, staff training rosters and unit journals were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Staff and inmate interviews and direct observation determined the facility does not conduct cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches. ASP – Phoenix West is an all-male facility. Staff members are prohibited from and do not search transgender or intersex inmates to determine an inmates' genital status. The facility reported there were no cross gender strip searches or cross gender visual body cavity searches conducted in the past 12 months.

Based on interviews with staff and inmates and personal observation, it was determined inmates are able to shower, perform bodily functions and change clothes without female non-medical staff observing their genitalia or buttocks, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. Interviews with staff and inmates and direct observation determined that staff members of the opposite gender announce their presence and document the announcement in the unit journal when entering a housing area. Additionally, there is signage that female staff routinely work and visit inmate housing areas.

PREA Audit Report Page 16 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

Review of PREA training curriculums and training rosters demonstrated staff had been trained on how to conduct cross-gender pat-down searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates, in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs. Interviews with staff members demonstrated they had been trained and were knowledgeable of the proper procedures to conduct pat down searches of transgender and intersex inmates. An interview with a transgender inmate indicated pat-down searches were conducted in a professional respectful manner.

The review of policies, training curriculums, training rosters, journal entries and observation along with interviews with staff and inmates demonstrated ASP- Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.16: Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.1	6	(a)

	· \ - ')
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No

 Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect,

		spond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain rall determination notes)? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
•		ch steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who af or hard of hearing? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
•	effectiv	ch steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret vely, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary lized vocabulary? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	ensure	he agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have ctual disabilities? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	ensure	he agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	ensure	the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Are blind e low vision? \boxtimes Yes \square No
15.16	(b)	
•	agency	he agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the y's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to so who are limited English proficient? \boxtimes Yes \square No
• 115.1	imparti ⊠ Yes	se steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and ially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary? \Box No
•	Does to types obtaining	he agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in ing an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-use duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

PREA Audit Report Page 18 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

DO 125, DO 174, Department Order 108 - Americans with Disabilities Act Compliance (DO 108) Department Order - 906 Inmate Recreation/Arts & Crafts (DO 906) and the PREA training curriculum were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. The policies ensure inmates with disabilities and who are limited English proficient (LEP) have access to PREA information and programs. ASP-Phoenix West has taken appropriate steps to ensure that inmates who are limited English proficient or disabled have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. GEO has a contract with Language Line Services to provide foreign language translation. The facility also maintains a list of staff translators.

PREA informational material is available in English and Spanish. PREA posters are available throughout the facility for inmates, staff and visitors. Per policy, memo and staff interviews, inmates are not used as interpreters, when addressing sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. Informational and educational materials for inmates with physical and mental disabilities are provided in ways that will enable the inmates to understand the PREA zero tolerance policy, related material and how to report allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. Interviews with six LEP inmates indicated PREA information was provided in a format they could understand.

The review of policies, PREA brochures and posters, resources available and supporting documentation, as well as staff and inmate interviews demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.17: Hiring and promotion decisions

the question immediately above?

✓ Yes

✓ No

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5.	.1	7	(a)
----	----	----	---	-----

PREA Audit Report

•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Does the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in

GEO ASP-Phoenix West

Page 19 of 86

•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the question immediately above? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	(b)
-	Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates? $\ \boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
115.17	(c)
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: perform a criminal background records check? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
•	Before hiring new employees, who may have contact with inmates, does the agency: consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (d)
•	Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (e)
•	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.17	' (f)
•	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions? \boxtimes Yes \square No

PREA Audit Report Page 20 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

•	about	the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written valuations conducted as part of reviews of current employees? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such nduct? $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\oxed{\square}$ No
115.1	7 (g)	
•		the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of ally false information, grounds for termination? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.1	7 (h)	
•	harass emplo substa	the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual sment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional yer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on antiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is itted by law.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
Audit	or Over	all Compliance Determination
	\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO 125, DO 126, Department Order 601 – Administrative Investigations and Employee Discipline (DO 601) Department Order 602 – Background Investigations (DO 602), the employment application and personnel files were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. In addition to the ADC policies, GEO also follows its own policies as they relate to hiring and promotional decisions. The policies ensure staff and contractors are not hired or promoted who have engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement, juvenile, or other penal type institutions; or who have been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse; or been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in sexual activity facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion.

The policy require the facility to consider any incidents of sexual abuse/sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates. ADC performs ACIC/NCIC background checks and DPS checks on all potential employees. Additionally all GEO staff have a criminal background check

conducted by Career Builder System (CBS). Applicants, who answer on their application for employment that they have worked previously in a confinement setting, receive a PREA verification by CBS. During the conditional offer phase, applicants are asked to disclose any incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment either in an institutional setting or in the community. The auditor reviewed a sample of staff application packets and background clearance checks for new hires, employee promotions and contractors. Through review of staff files and interview with the Human Resource Manager, it was determined the facility does not hire or promote staff and contractors are not hired who have engaged in sexual abuse as outlined in policies. The documents and interviews also demonstrated ADC and the facility considers incidents of sexual abuse/sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone, or to enlist the services of any contractor, who may have contact with inmates.

The policies require background checks for staff and contractors prior to hiring or enlisting services of a contractor and again every five years. Volunteers require a background check before entering the facility and annually, thereafter. The auditor reviewed background clearance checks for a random sample of employees, contractors and volunteers.

ASP-Phoenix West does ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous sexual misconduct, as described in PREA Standard 115.17 (a), in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions and in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees; and impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct.

Policy requires information be provided on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work. Policies state material omissions regarding sexual misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, shall be grounds for termination. GEO will provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied at.

The review of policies and procedures, documentation and employee personnel files, the interview with the Human Resources Administrator and that there is a dual system of background checks (GEO and ADC) demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West exceeded the requirement of this standard.

Standard 115.18: Upgrades to facilities and technologies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.18 (a)

 If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition,

PREA Audit Report Page 22 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.18 (b)
■ If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
When designing or acquiring any new facility and in planning any substantial modification of existing facilities, ASP- Phoenix West will consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. Since the last PREA audit, ASP-Phoenix West has not acquired any new facility or made expansions or modifications to the existing facility.
When installing or updating a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system or other monitoring technology, ASP-Phoenix West will consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse. ADC DO #125, states that assessment will be made whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement the supervision of inmates by staff.
During interviews with the Agency Head and Warden, both stated they did consider how technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse prior to implementing the video enhancements/upgrades.
RESPONSIVE PLANNING

Standard 115.21: Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations

PREA Audit Report Page 23 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.21	(a)
•	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.21	(b)
•	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
•	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.21	(c)
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate? ⊠ Yes □ No Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible? ⊠ Yes □ No
•	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs? $oximes$ Yes \odots No
115.21	(d)
•	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•		e agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?
115.21	(e)	
•	qualifie	uested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or d community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews? Yes □ No
•	-	uested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, ation, and referrals? $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $\oxed{\square}$ No
115.21	(f)	
•	agency (e) of the	gency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the requested that the investigating entity follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through his section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND strative sexual abuse investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.21	(g)	
•	Auditor	is not required to audit this provision.
115.21	(h)	
∎ Audito	member to server issues availab	gency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff or the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination in general? [N/A if agency attempts to make a victim advocate from a rape crisis center le to victims per 115.21(d) above.] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
DO #1	25, De	partment Order 601 - Administrative Investigations and Employee Discipline (DO

DO #125, Department Order 601 - Administrative Investigations and Employee Discipline (DO #601) Department Order 608 - Criminal Investigations (DO #608), documented MOU attempts, Advocate Training Certificates and the Wellpath Healthcare Policy, B-05 Response to Sexual Abuse were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Per DO 125, all allegations of sexual abuse shall be investigated according to DO #608 and DO #601. Neither GEO nor ASP-Phoenix West is responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. It is the responsibility of the ADC Criminal Investigation Unit (CIU) to conduct all PREA investigations and to ensure that all evidence is

collected and preserved according to evidence protocol established by the Department of Justice. If an allegation of sexual abuse is reported, ADC CIU is immediately notified and will report to the facility to conduct an investigation, to include evidence collection.

The auditor interviewed a CUI investigator Supervisor and he stated Investigators are required to follow uniform evidence protocols that maximize the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for criminal prosecutions as required by policy. Interviews with random staff indicated they know and understand the department's protocols for obtaining and preserving useable evidence. ASP-Phoenix West does not house inmates under the age of 18.

The facility sends inmate victims of sexual assault to an outside hospital for forensic exams by a Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SAFEs/SANEs). There were no forensic exams conducted by SAFEs/SANEs during the past 12 months. Emergency healthcare as well as forensic examinations by SAFEs/SAFEs are provided at no cost to the inmate

GEO has attempted to enter into a Memorandum of Understanding (MOU) with a rape crisis center to provide a victim advocate. These attempts have been documented. ASP-Phoenix West has trained four staff to provide victim advocate services. Documentation of the staff training records was reviewed and the advocates were interviewed. If requested by the victim, a trained staff member would accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals. There have been no requests for a victim advocate during the past 12 months.

The review of policies, procedures and documentation as well as interviews with the Warden, Investigator and advocates demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.22: Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.22 (a)

- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?

 ☑ Yes □ No
- Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?

 Yes

 No

115.22 (b)

 Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to

		ct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal or? $oxed{\boxtimes}$ Yes $oxed{\square}$ No
•		e agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy ble through other means? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does t	he agency document all such referrals? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.22	2 (c)	
•	descri	parate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does such publication be the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? [N/A if the y/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).] \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.22	2 (d)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
115.2	2 (e)	
•	Audito	r is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
reviev or cri	ved and minal sment.	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action) O #601, DO #608, ADC website, GEO website and the PREA tracking log were address the requirements of this standard. The policies require an administrative investigation be completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual The procedure and process regarding investigations are posted on the agency
Signif track condu	icant Ir all incid Icted b	en notified of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment ensures that a acident Report (SIR) is generated. A GEO PREA Incident Tracking Log is used to dents that occur at the facility. In the past 12 months, there were 3 investigations by CIU of allegations received at the ASP- Phoenix West. There were no pat appeared to be criminal requiring referral for prosecution.

The agency's policy regarding referral of allegations for sexual abuse and sexual harassment is available on the GEO website (www.geogroup.com/PREA) and the ADC policy can be found on their website (www.azcorrections.gov) under the Constituent Services section.

The review of policies, PREA tracking logs, ADC and GEO websites and interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager and CIU Supervisor demonstrates ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

TRAINING AND EDUCATION

Standard 115.31: Employee training

115.31 (a)

٠			
Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report			
5.3 1	I (a)		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates? \square Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities? Yes No		

Page 28 of 86 PREA Audit Report GEO ASP-Phoenix West

115.31	(b)			
•	Is such	training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility? $oxtimes$ Yes \odots No		
•		employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male s to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.31	(c)			
•		all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training? \Box No		
•	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	•	is in which an employee does not receive refresher training, does the agency provide her information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.31	(d)			
•		he agency document, through employee signature or electronic verification, that vees understand the training they have received? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination		
	\boxtimes	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

DO #106, DO #125, Department Order 509- Employee Training and Education (DO #509), PREA Training Curriculum and staff acknowledgments and electronic verifications were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. The training curriculum demonstrated the training covered: the zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment; how staff should fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures; the resident's right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment; the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment; the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims; how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse; how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates; how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender,

intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates; how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities; and transgender and intersex searches.

ASP-Phoenix West staff members received initial PREA training at the Correctional Officers Training Academy (COTA) for all newly hired correctional staff and non-correctional staff at New Employee Orientation. In-service PREA training is provided annually through scheduled on-line training and staff meetings. The PREA training curriculum was reviewed and the auditor verified that the training provided to employees is very comprehensive. Employees electronically sign an acknowledgement form that they have received and understood the PREA training. Staff interviewed were well versed in the PREA policy; their responsibilities in reporting sexual abuse/sexual harassment and staff negligence; their first responder duties; evidence preservation; and transgender and intersex searches. Employees also carry a PREA Action card. All staff at the facility received both ADC and GEO PREA training within the past 12 months. Staff indicated training was very comprehensive and PREA information and reminders are always being provided.

The review of policies, the staff training curriculum, rosters and signed training acknowledgments, as well as interviews with the Training officer, PREA Compliance Manager and staff demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West exceed the requirement of this standard.

Standard 115.32: Volunteer and contractor training

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.32 ((a)	١
----------	-----	---

Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.32 (b)

■ Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)? ☑ Yes ☐ No

115.32 (c)

•	■ Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received? ✓ Yes ✓ No					
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination				
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)					
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)				
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)				
were in PREA service that we sexual comple a volu tolerar trained signed contra. The rewith that Asservice is the property of	reviewed training they blunteed on the trace poly of the track of the trace poly of the track of	REA training curriculum, training acknowledgments and electronic verifications and and address the requirement of this standard. Contractors attend the same g as ASP-Phoenix West employees. The volunteer curriculum is based on the provide and level of contact they have with inmates to include training to ensure reside are notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sement and are informed on how to report such incidents. Volunteer training is n-line for all volunteers and volunteers sign an acknowledgement form upon this training that they submit to their supervisor. Interviews with contractors and emonstrated their knowledge of PREA, their responsibilities and the agency zero icy. All volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been eir responsibilities per ADC policy. The auditor reviewed the training records, owledgments and electronic verification confirming that all volunteers and electived and understood the PREA training. If policy, training curriculums and supporting documentation, as well as interviews and compliance Manager, Training officer, volunteer and contractors demonstrated benix West is compliant with this standard. 15.33: Inmate education				
All Yes	s/No Qu	estions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report				
115.33	(a)					
•	_	intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy ng sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No				
•	•	intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of abuse or sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No				
115.33	(b)					

•	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.33	s (c)
•	Have all inmates received such education? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.33	3 (d)
•	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
•	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.33	s (e)
•	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.33	s (f)
•	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

DO #125, DO #108, DO #704, Department Order 802 – Inmate Grievance Procedure (DO #802), PREA Sexual Assault Awareness pamphlet, Inmate Handbook and signed inmate acknowledgement forms were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. During intake, inmates are provided information through the Awareness pamphlet and Inmate Handbook, available in English and Spanish, which explains the agency's zero tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment; and how to report such incidents while at ASP-Phoenix West. On the day of arrival, all inmates sign an Inmate Acknowledgement for receipt of the Inmate Handbook/Rule Book and the Awareness Pamphlet. The Inmate Handbook and the Awareness pamphlet are available in both English and Spanish.

Inmates receive comprehensive PREA education as part of the facility orientation process. Inmate orientation is held every Friday for new arrivals and attendance is mandatory. A case manager facilitates the inmate orientation and the Speaking Up: Discussing Prison Sexual Assault video is played and discussed. Inmates sign an Orientation Roster, Policy on Sexual Assault acknowledgement, Orientation acknowledgement and completion of PREA education is documented electronically in the Adult Inmate Management System (AIMS).

PREA posters and pamphlets are posted throughout the facility in formats accessible to all inmates to ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates. Information on the posters and in the pamphlet include: the zero-tolerance policy; inmate rights; how to report; what to expect after you report; and how to protect yourself against sexual assault. The policies require information be provided in formats accessible to all inmates, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, or otherwise disabled, as well as to inmates who have limited reading skills.

During interviews, inmates acknowledged the information being provided upon the day of arrival at intake and during orientation and have seen posters displayed throughout the institution. The inmates interviewed knew the zero-tolerance policy; how and who to report to; and that they have the right to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents. The auditor reviewed signed inmate acknowledgements, which demonstrated inmates received and understood the PREA information and AIMS records. The auditor also observed the intake process. The facility reported that all 568 inmates admitted during the past twelve months received PREA information upon arrival.

The review of policies, procedures and documentation, observation of the intake process and posted pamphlets and posters, as well as interviews with staff and inmates demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.34: Specialized training: Investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

1	1	5	.3	4	(a)	١
---	---	---	----	---	-----	---

In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators have received training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) ⋈ Yes ⋈ NA

115.34 (b)

- Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).]

 ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- Does this specialized training include proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).]

 ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] ☐ Yes ☐ No ☒ NA
- Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] □ Yes □ No ⋈ NA

115.34 (c)

■ Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? [N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).]
□ Yes □ No ⋈ NA

115.34 (d)

PREA Audit Report Page 34 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

Auditor is not required to audit this provision. **Auditor Overall Compliance Determination** \Box **Exceeds Standard** (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) XMeets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) П **Does Not Meet Standard** (Requires Corrective Action) DO #125, PREA Specialized Investigator Training curriculum and Training certificates were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Neither GEO nor ASP-Phoenix West conducts sexual abuse investigations. The ADC Criminal Investigation Unit (CIU) investigates all PREA allegations. The policy states that the CIU investigators will receive training in conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. CIU investigators from across the state receive National Institute of Corrections (NIC) training. The curriculum for the PREA: Investigating Sexual Abuse in a Confinement Setting was provided for review. Completion of this training is maintained electronically on the investigator's training transcript and investigators receive a certificate of completion. Per an interview with the CIU Supervisor, he confirmed this training included techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or referral for prosecution. The CIU Investigator Supervisor acknowledged receiving specialized investigation training and was knowledgeable of his duties in conducting investigations, sexual abuse evidence collection and the evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. The review of policy and procedure, training curriculum and records and the interview with the CIU investigator Supervisor demonstrated that ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard. Standard 115.35: Specialized training: Medical and mental health care All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.35 (a)

abuse and sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual

•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
•	who we	he agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners ork regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to respond effectively and sionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
115.35	(b)				
•	receive	ical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff e appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the do not conduct forensic exams.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA			
115.35	(c)				
•	receive	he agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have ed the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? \Box No			
115.35	(d)				
•		dical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training sted for employees by §115.31? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by and volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
	Ш	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

DO #125, PREA-Specialized Medical and Mental Health training curriculum and training records were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. ASP-Phoenix West contracts for medical/mental health services with Wellpath (formerly Correct Care Solutions). All full-time and part-time medical and mental health staff receive specialized training to detect signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence, how to

PREA Audit Report Page 36 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

GEO's Specialized Medical and Mental Health training was provided to all health care staff and verification of this training is documented. The specialized training curriculum was provided for review and was found to contain all topics required in 115.35(a). The health care staff also receives specialized PREA on-line training from Wellpath.

Medical staffs do not perform forensic exams. SANE exams are performed at a local hospital. Medical and mental health staff interviewed verified receiving specialized training in addition to general PREA training and knew their responsibilities in responding to victims of sexual abuse, proper reporting and how to preserve evidence.

The review of policy, lesson plan, training records, documents and interviews with medical and mental health staff demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

SCREENING FOR RISK OF SEXUAL VICTIMIZATION AND ABUSIVENESS

Standard 115.41: Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

11	5	.41	(a)

115.41	(a)
•	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(b)
•	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No
115.41	(c)

• Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective screening instrument?

115.41	· ,
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non-conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10) Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.41	(e)
7.5171	
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening

PREA Audit Report Page 38 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

consider, when known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse? oximes Yes oximes No

•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
•	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, when known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.41	(f)			
•	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.41	(g)			
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Referral? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No			
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Request? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No			
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Incident of sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No			
•	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a: Receipt of additional nformation that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
115.41	(h)			
•	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs (d)(1), (d)(7), (d)(8), or (d)(9) of this section? ⋈ Yes □ No			
115.41	(i)			
•	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

ADC Department Order 811- Individual Inmate Assessments and Reviews (DO 811) was reviewed and addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy indicates inmates shall receive assessments during the reception process at intake or at the permanent facility to include PREA screening. This information is maintained in the Adult Inmate Management System (AIMS). AIMS is an automated computerized system containing information regarding all inmates confined in the ADC. This information follows the inmate as long as he is in the custody of ADC. In April 2018, ADC advised private prisons that the assessments are not to be completed upon arrival at their facilities as this was done at the ADC Reception Center. In February 2019 ADC approved GEO facilities to start the risk assessment upon arrival and conduct 30 day re-assessments. The assessments are to be implemented using GEO paper form/tools and not entered into AIMS unless the information received does not match the DC91. As a result of this change, GEO developed a 2019 Arizona initial PREA risk screening, 30-day reassessment, use of screening information and 14 day mental health referral protocol and a risk screening tool. All case managers at ASP-Phoenix West were trained on this new protocol on March 14, 2019. The auditor observed initial assessment completed after the case managers were trained.

Corrective Action Plan: For a 60 day period, provide documentation for all inmates that arrive at the facility were screened using the 2019 AZ protocol and that 30 day reassessments are completed as required by this standard. Determine method to review inmates currently at the facility to identify any inmates at risk for victimization or abusiveness. Provide documentation to ensure all inmates at the facility were assessed.

Verification of Corrective Action since the on-site Audit:

The Auditor was provided supplemental documentation on May 24, 2019 to evidence and demonstrate corrective action taken by ASP-Phoenix West regarding this Standard. This documentation is discussed below.

Additional Documentation Reviewed:

- 1. Count Movement Sheets from 3/9/2019 thru 5/22/2019
- 2. PREA Intake Risk Screening Forms
- 3. PREA Vulnerability Reassessment Questionnaire
- 4. PREA Assessment Log
- 5. PREA LGBTI Tracking Log
- 6. PREA Victim Tracking Log
- 7. PREA Predator Tracking Log

ASP-Phoenix West demonstrated that for all inmates received during the corrective action period a PREA risk screening was completed and if the inmate was still at the facility a 30 day reassessment was completed. The PREA Tracking logs demonstrated that inmates at the facility prior to the corrective action period were identified as victims, predators or LGBTI. This Standard is now fully compliant.

Standard 115.42: Use of screening information

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.42	2 (a)
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.42	2 (b)
•	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.42	2 (c)
•	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider on a case-by-case basis whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems? \boxtimes Yes \square No

115.42	15.42 (d)				
•	reasse	scement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate ssed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate? \Box No			
115.42	(e)				
•	serious	ch transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given some consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming ments? $\ oxdot \ Yes \ \Box$ No			
115.42	(f)				
•		nsgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other s? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No			
115.42	(g)				
	consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, ga bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis such identification or status? ⊠ Yes □ No ■ Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, ga bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? ⊠ Yes □ No ■ Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a				
	consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gas bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? \boxtimes Yes \square No				
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination					
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)			
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			

DO #811, DO #810, DO #801 were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. DO #811 indicates the facility will use information from the risk screening to make housing, bed, work, education and program assignments to keep inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive.

Guidelines on housing and program assignments and for the management of transgender and intersex inmates are outlined in ADC DO #810. The agency does not place LGBTI inmates in housing units solely based on their sexual orientation. Housing and program decisions for transgender and intersex inmates are considered on a case-by-case basis whether the placement would pose a management or security problem. Transgender and intersex inmates are given the opportunity to shower alone.

Corrective Action Plan: For a 60 day period, provide documentation that an "at risk" log is generated that lists all victims, abusers and duals from the initial PREA risk screening assessment. Provide documentation ensuring at a minimum victims and abusers are not housed together.

Verification of Corrective Action since the on-site Audit:

The Auditor was provided supplemental documentation on May 24, 2019 to evidence and demonstrate corrective action taken by ASP-Phoenix West regarding this Standard. This documentation is discussed below. This Standard is now fully compliant.

Additional Documentation Reviewed:

- 1. Count Movement Sheets from 3/9/2019 thru 5/22/2019
- 2. PREA Intake Risk Screening Forms
- 3. PREA Assessment Log
- 4. PREA LGBTI Tracking Log
- 5. PREA Victim Tracking Log
- 6. PREA Predator Tracking Log

ASP-Phoenix West demonstrated that PREA Tracking logs are generated that lists all victims, predators and LGBTI from the initial PREA risk screening assessment. The log also demonstrated that victims and predators are not housed together.

Standard 115.43: Protective Custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.43 (a)

■ Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?

☑ Yes □ No

	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment? ☑ Yes □ No
115.43	(b)
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The opportunities that have been limited? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The duration of the limitation? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document: The reasons for such limitations? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.43	(c)
	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged? ☑ Yes □ No
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.43	(d)
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety? \boxtimes Yes \square No
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.43	(e)

■ In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS? ☑ Yes ☐ No				
Auditor Overall	Compliance Determination			
	exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)			
	leets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the tandard for the relevant review period)			
	Ooes Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)			
DO #125, Department Order 804- Inmate Behavior Control (DO #804) and Department Order 805-Protective Custody (DO #805) were reviewed and meet the requirement of this standard Inmates at high risk for sexual victimization are not placed in the involuntary segregate housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers. ASP-West Phoenix will assign such inmates to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged, not exceed a period of 30 days. If involuntary segregated housing is needed for longer than 3 days, the facility will review the status of the inmate every 30 days to determine if ongoir involuntary segregated housing is needed. Inmates placed in segregated housing for the purpose have access to programs, privileges, education and work opportunities to the extent possible. The facility will document and justify any restrictions imposed. There were no inmate placed in involuntary segregation due to being at a high risk of sexual victimization in the passible. The review of policies, observation during tour and interviews with the Warden and Capta demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.				
	REPORTING			
Standard 115.51: Inmate reporting				
All Yes/No Que	stions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report			
115.51 (a)				
	e agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse harassment? $oximes$ Yes \odots No			

•	other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Yes No			
•		he agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or on of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.51	(b)			
•		he agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual ment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•		private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual and sexual harassment to agency officials? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
•		hat private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request? $\hfill\Box$ No		
•	contac	mates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to t relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland ty? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.51	(c)			
•				
•	 ■ Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? ☑ Yes □ No 			
115.51	(d)			
•	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No			
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination				
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

DO #125, DO # 802, DO #805, Department Order 501- Employee Professionalism, Ethics and Conduct (DO #501), Department Order-916 Staff-Inmate Communications (DO #916), Inmate Handbook and PREA posters and pamphlets were reviewed and address the requirements of

PREA Audit Report Page 46 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

this standard. The policy mandates that staff accept all reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously and from third parties and that they must promptly document any verbal reports. The Inmate Handbook, Inmate phone system, PREA Awareness pamphlet and multiple posters throughout the facility provide specific internal and external ways for inmates to report sexual abuse, sexual harassment and retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment. This information is available in English and Spanish.

Interviews with inmates verified they knew of multiple internal and external ways to report incidents of abuse or harassment, and retaliation. The facility provides inmates many multiple ways to privately report; verbally and in writing to staff; through a third party, by a grievance, or to the ADC Inspector General Bureau (a public office that is not part of the Agency). Inmates at ASP-Phoenix West are not detained solely for immigration purposes.

When using the inmate phones, a recorded message is played telling inmates they can call the PREA hotline by dialing 1-9-7732. Calls to this number are answered by the ADC Inspector General Bureau at the ADC's Central Office in Phoenix, AZ. A recording of these calls are sent to, the Deputy Inspector General, a CIU Supervisor, the PREA Coordinator and to the facility PREA Manager. During the tour, the PREA hotline was checked and found to be in working order.

Staff can privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates in writing or by calling the Employee Hotline or telephoning, e-mailing or in writing to the GEO PREA Coordinator. Information on staff reporting is available on the GEO website and in the Employee Handbook and reviewed in the PREA training curriculum. Staff interviewed were knowledgeable of methods of reporting available to them. .

The review of policies and PREA information provided to inmates, observation during the tour of the Facility and interviews with staff and inmates demonstrated that ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.52: Exhaustion of administrative remedies

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.52 (a)

•	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not
	have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This
	does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not
	ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter o
	explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual
	abuse. ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA

115.52 (b)

•	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	2 (c)
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	2 (d)
•	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per $115.52(d)(3)$ when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.52	2 (e)
-	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
•	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third-party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA

PREA Audit Report Page 48 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

•	docum	nmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency ent the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \square No \square NA	
115.52	(f)		
•	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that are inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
•	■ After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.). ☑ Yes □ No □ NA		
•	• After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ N		
•	 After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA 		
•	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
•	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
•		he agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the ency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
115.52	(g)		
•	do so (igency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? agency is exempt from this standard.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

PREA Audit Report Page 49 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

DO #802 and the Inmate Handbook were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. ASP-Phoenix West does not impose a time limit on when an inmate may submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse; does not require an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse; ensures that an inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint, and such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint; and issues a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance.

Third parties, including other inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, are permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse, and shall also be permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates. When an emergency grievance is received alleging that an inmate is at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse the grievance will be immediately forwarded to the level of review that immediate corrective action can be taken. An initial response is provided to the inmate within 48 hours and the Warden or his designee is required to issue a final decision within five calendar days. The Warden or his designee will document the initial response and the final decision and determine if the inmate is at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse and what action was taken in response to the emergency grievance. The agency may discipline an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse only where the facility demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith. Inmates are informed of grievance procedures in the Inmate Handbook and during orientation. In the past 12 months there were no grievances filed.

The review of the grievance policy and procedures, Inmate Handbook and interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager and inmates demonstrated that ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.53: Inmate access to outside confidential support services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.53 (a)

•	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? ⊠ Yes □ No

•	onable communication between inmates and these organizations tial a manner as possible? ⊠ Yes □ No	
115.53 (b)		
communications will be monit	es, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such tored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to a mandatory reporting laws? Yes No	
115.53 (c)		
agreements with community	attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential elated to sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No	
■ Does the agency maintain co into such agreements? ⊠ Ye	pies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter s $\ \square$ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
☐ Exceeds Standard (S	Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
Meets Standard (Substandard for the relevant	ostantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the ant review period)	
☐ Does Not Meet Stand	dard (Requires Corrective Action)	
· •	14-Inmate Mail (DO #914), Department Order 915-Inmate	

DO #125, Department Order 914-Inmate Mail (DO #914), Department Order 915-Inmate Phone Calls (DO #915 and the Inmate Handbook were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Inmates have access to outside advocacy services and addresses and telephone numbers of state and national crisis centers are available to inmates. This information is provided by the Arizona State Coalition to End Sexual and Domestic Violence. Inmates are made aware of this information on bulletin board posters and in the Inmate Handbook. Requests for victim advocacy services can be made to the PREA Compliance Manager. Inmates are provided with phone number of national and state advocates.

Inmates are informed of the extent to which communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws.

The facility and ADC has attempted to enter into MOU's with several of the agencies listed on the information provided by the Arizona State Coalition to End Sexual and Domestic Violence with no success. These service providers make available services free of charge and do not require MOU's or other written agreements for services. ADC and the facility continue in their efforts to seek outside victim advocacy services.

Four staff at the facility are trained victim advocates, who upon request can provide victim advocacy services. Inmates interviewed were aware of the confidential support services available to them and how to access them.

ASP-Phoenix West does not house inmates solely for immigration purposes.

The review of policy, attempt to enter into a MOU, Inmate Handbook, trained facility victim advocates and interviews of staff and inmates demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.54: Third-party reporting

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.54 (a)

•	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual
	harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No

■ Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?

Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO #125, the ADC website, The GEO website and PREA posters were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. The procedure establishes a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment and to distribute publicly, information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an Inmate. The websites outline methods to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate. Inmates can accomplish third-party reporting by having a friend or family member call the PREA hotline. Posters on display at the facility provide the visitors, staff and Inmates with third party reporting options. Interviews with inmates demonstrated they knew how third-party reporting could be accomplished.

PREA Audit Report Page 52 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

The review of policy, ADC website, GEO website, PREA posters as well as interviews with staff and inmates demonstrated that ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

OFFICIAL RESPONSE FOLLOWING AN INMATE REPORT

Standard 115.61: Staff and agency reporting duties All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report 115.61 (a) Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency? \boxtimes Yes \square No Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment? ⊠ Yes □ No Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation? 115.61 (b) Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions? ⊠ Yes □ No 115.61 (c) Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section? Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services? \boxtimes Yes \square No 115.61 (d)

PREA Audit Report Page 53 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws? ⊠ Yes □ No

If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State

115.61 (e)	
	the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including thirdand anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Auditor Ove	erall Compliance Determination
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
DO #125 and the PREA training curriculum were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. The policy require all employees, contractors and volunteers (staff) to repoint immediately any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse of sexual harassment; any retaliation against inmates or staff who reported such an incident; and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of retaliation; and for staff not to reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary. ASP-Phoenix West does not house inmates under the age of 18. There were no PREA incidents involving vulnerable adults as defined by Arizon. State Statutes.	
designated abuse or sea a sexual at health care report account the initia	with staff verified they were aware they must immediately report to the facility's staff any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual exual harassment; and that they are prohibited from revealing information related to use report other than to people authorized to discuss the report. The contracted agency (Wellpath) also requires its medical and mental health practitioners to rding to DO #125. Healthcare staff are required to reveal the limits of confidentiality tion of services. All allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including and anonymous reports, are reported to the PREA Compliance Manager and the

Standard 115.62: Agency protection duties

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

demonstrated that ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

115.62 (a)

CIU investigators.

When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?

⊠ Yes □ No

The review of the policies, documentation and the training curriculum and interviews with staff

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
require protec Intervi risk of the inf immed assign reporte	ements t any ews wi immine ormatic diate a ments; ed bein	of this standard. Policy and training require staff to take immediate action to inmate they learn is subject to substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse. It staff demonstrate they know the steps to take to protect an Inmate subject to ent sexual abuse. Security personnel immediately employ protection measures as on is passed to the PREA Compliance Manager. Per interview with the Warden, action includes separation; monitoring; changing the housing and/or work and placing the abuser in another facility or requesting a transfer. No inmates g at substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, during the past 12 months. If the policies and the training curriculum and interviews with the Warden and staff 2-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.
Stand	dard 1	15.63: Reporting to other confinement facilities
		estions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.63	(a)	
•	facility,	eceiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or riate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.63	(b)	
•		notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the ion? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.63	(c)	
•	Does tl	ne agency document that it has provided such notification? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
115.63	(d)	

•		he facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation stigated in accordance with these standards? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
When institution occurred a SIR. regard investion knowled that minmate Addition sexual.	an allection, the period and allection and allection and allection and allection and allection a	d DO #608 were reviewed and addresses the requirements of this standard. It is received that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another the procedure requires the Warden to notify the Warden, where the alleged abuse in 72 hours after receiving the allegation. The notification shall be documented on olicy also requires that all sexual abuse allegations reported by another institution y inmate that was confined at ASP-Phoenix West to reported to CIU and be fully An interview with the Warden and PREA Compliance Manager confirmed their if the procedure and their responsibility to report and investigate any allegations we occurred at ASP-Phoenix West. There were no allegation reported that an sexually abused while confined at another institution in the past 12 months. The facility did not receive information from another facility that an inmate alleged while housed at ASP-Phoenix West in the past 12 months. Of the policy and interviews with the Warden and PREA Compliance Manager of the policy and interviews with this standard.
Stand	dard 1	15.64: Staff first responder duties
		uestions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.64	(a)	
•	membe	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staffer to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser? \Box No
•	membe	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staffer to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until riate steps can be taken to collect any evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No

•	member actions changi	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any is that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, ing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? Yes No
•	member actions changi	earning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff er to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any sthat could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, ng clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.64	(b)	
•	that the	irst staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request e alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify y staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO #125 and the PREA training curriculum were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. The policy directs how to respond to an allegation of sexual abuse for both security and non-security staff. Random interviews with staff confirmed they were very knowledgeable about what to do upon learning an inmate was sexually abused, to include separating the alleged victim and abuser and preserving and protecting the crime scene. If the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence, staff would request that the alleged victim and alleged abuser not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing their teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking or eating. They are to immediately notify the on-duty or on-call supervisor and remain on the scene until relieved by responding personnel. If necessary, staff are to initiate an Incident Command System (ICS). Staff also carries a PREA 1st Responder card. ASP-Phoenix West reported it had no allegations which required implementing first responder duties, during the past 12 months.

The review of the policy, PREA training curriculum, staff PREA cards and interviews with staff demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.65: Coordinated response

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.65	5 (a)	
•	respor	e facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first iders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken onse to an incident of sexual abuse? \square Yes \square No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO #125, the facility Coordinated Response Plan and the PREA Incident Checklist were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. ASP-Phoenix West has developed a written facility plan to coordinate actions taken in response to an incident of sexual abuse, among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators and facility leadership. The policy and Coordinated Response Plan is comprehensive in describing required actions by security and specialized staff. An attachment to the response plan, PREA Incident Checklist, ensures that all steps of the plan are carried out. Interviews with the Warden, Shift Supervisors, first responders, medical/mental health, and investigators confirmed staff members were knowledgeable about the Response Plan and their specific responsibilities as it relate to responding to sexual abuse allegations and their coordinated duties and collaborative responsibilities.

The review of policy, procedure, the facility's Coordinated Response Plan and interviews with staff demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.66: Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.66 (a)

• Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted? ⋈ Yes ☐ No		
115.66 (b)		
 Auditor is not required to audit this provision. 		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination		
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Neither GEO nor ASP-Phoenix West has a collective bargaining agreement or entered into or renew any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits the facility's ability to remove alleged employee sexual abusers from contact with any inmate in a GEO facility or program pending the outcome of an investigation. In the past 12 months, there was one alleged PREA incident where staff had to be separated from an inmate. The auditor reviewed documentation of the alleged staff abuser being placed on leave pending the outcome of the investigation.		
The review of documentation and interviews with Agency Head and Warden confirms ASP Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.		
Standard 115.67: Agency protection against retaliation		
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report		
115.67 (a)		
■ Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff? Yes □ No		

•	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.67	' (b)
٠	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.67	" (c)
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No

PREA Audit Report Page 60 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

•		he agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a ling need? $oximes$ Yes \oxin No
115.67	' (d)	
•		case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?
115.67	' (e)	
•	the age	other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does ency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation? \Box No
115.67	' (f)	
•	Auditor	r is not required to audit this provision.
Audito	or Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO #125 and Department Order 811- Individual Inmate Assessment and Review (DO #811) and Retaliation Monitoring records were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. ASP-Phoenix West designated the PREA Compliance Manager and as the person responsible for monitoring retaliation of inmates and the Human Resource Manager for staff. The policies also state facilities shall provide multiple protection measures for inmates or staff members who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse/sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations. Monitoring will occur for at least 90 days following the report of the allegation and may go beyond the 90 days, if the monitoring indicates a continuing need. All monitoring meetings are conducted face to face with the first monitoring meeting occurring 10 days after the report of the allegation and every 30 days following for a minimum of 90 days, or longer if warranted. Monitoring for retaliation is documented electronically in AIMS. The facility's obligation to monitor shall terminate if the agency determined that the allegation was unfounded.

Interviews with the Warden and PREA Compliance Manager indicated ASP-Phoenix West uses multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff members or inmate abusers from contact with the victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual

abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations. Per the PAQ, statement of fact and interview with the PREA Compliance Manager, there were no incidents of retaliation in the past 12 months.

The review of the policies, procedures and monitoring records log and interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager and Human Resource Manager demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.68: Post-allegation protective custody

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.68 (a)

Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43? ⋈ Yes □ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO #125, DO #804 and DO #805 were reviewed and address the requirement of this standard. The policies prohibits the placement of inmates who alleged to have suffered sexual abuse in involuntary protective custody unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and there is no available means of separation from the likely abuser. The use of segregated housing to protect inmates who allege to have suffered sexual abuse is subject to those requirements outlined in 115.43.

In the past 12 months no inmates were placed in involuntary segregated housing for this purpose.

A review of policies and interviews with the Warden demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

INVESTIGATIONS

Standard 115.71: Criminal and administrative agency investigations

All Yes	No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.71	(a)
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? [N/A if the agency/ See 115.21(a).] \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
•	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? [N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).] \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA
115.71	(b)
•	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(c)
•	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses? $\hfill \boxtimes$ Yes $\hfill \square$ No
•	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No
115.71	(d)
•	When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(e)
•	Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a

condition for proceeding? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.71	(f)	
•		inistrative investigations include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to tributed to the abuse? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No
•	physica	ninistrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the I evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and ative facts and findings? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(g)	
•	of the p	ninal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description hysical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary where feasible? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(h)	
•	Are all s ⊠ Yes	substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?
115.71	(i)	
•		be agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.71	(j)	
•		be agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment ol of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?
115.71	(k)	
•	Auditor	is not required to audit this provision.
115.71	(I)	
•	investig an outsi	In outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside ators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if ide agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See a).) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
Audito	r Overa	II Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)



DO #106, DO #125, DO #501, DO #601, DO#608 and the PREA Incident Tracking Log were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Neither GEO nor ASP-Phoenix West is responsible for conducting any form of criminal or administrative sexual abuse investigations. It is the responsibility of the ADC Criminal Investigation Unit (CIU) to conduct all PREA investigations. The policies require that an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The Investigator indicated during his interview, a uniform evidence protocol is followed during investigations of sexual abuse and sexual harassments. Sexual abuse investigations are conducted by investigators who have been specially trained in sexual abuse investigation and the training documentation was reviewed by the auditor.

The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness is assessed on an individual basis and not determined by the person's status as an inmate or staff and a preponderance of evidence is enough to substantiate an investigation. Inmates who allege sexual abuse are not required to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth telling devices as a condition for proceeding with the investigation of such an allegation. The investigation is continued when a victim who alleges sexual abuse or sexual harassment or an alleged abuser leaves the facility prior to the completion of an investigation. All investigations include efforts to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse; and are documented in written reports that include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings. The interview with the Investigator, confirmed both administrative and criminal investigations are documented. They confirmed substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal are referred for prosecution. The investigative process was articulated by the Investigators during the interview. The facility only receives a summary of the investigation and the CIU unit retains the official file and is responsible for retaining all written reports and documents.

There was one offender on offender sexual harassment allegation, one staff on offender sexual harassment allegation and one staff on offender sexual abuse. The administrative findings of the alleged offender on offender sexual harassment was unsubstantiated, the alleged staff on offender sexual harassment was unsubstantiated. The staff on offender sexual abuse allegation is still open. Substantiated allegations of conduct that appears criminal are referred for prosecution. In the past 12 months there were no allegations referred for prosecution. The facility fully cooperates with CIU and remains informed of the progress of the investigations.

The review of policies, procedures, PREA tracking log, the training curriculum, investigation files and interviews with an investigator and the PREA Compliance Manager demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.72: Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.72 (a)
----------	----

•	eviden	e that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the ce in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are ntiated? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	r Overa	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO #125 was reviewed and addresses the requirement of this standard. The policy states, the facility shall impose no standard higher than the preponderance of evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. Interviews with the Investigator and Warden found that ASP-Phoenix West does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. There were no substantiated findings for administrative investigations in the past 12 months.

The review of the policy and interviews with the Warden and Investigator confirms ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.73: Reporting to inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.73 (a)

Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded? ⋈ Yes □ No

115.73 (b)

If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency

	in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA
115.73	s (c)
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
-	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the inmate, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the inmate whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.73	s (d)
-	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.73	s (e)
•	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications? ⊠ Yes □ No
115.73	s (f)
•	Auditor is not required to audit this provision.

PREA Audit Report Page 67 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
CIU is resinvestigativallegation inmate in unsubstantinform the longer empthe institutif the inmate alleged abbeen convolute in the review or verbally investigation.	and investigation files were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. ponsible for investigation of PREA incidents and the notification of inmates. The reprocedures and processes include reporting to the inmates. Per the policy, every is investigated and every investigated allegation finding will be reported to the writing or verbally and documented as to whether it was substantiated, tiated or unfounded. If the allegation is against a staff member, the facility shall inmate whenever the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit, no ployed at the facility, has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within on, or has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the institution; te allegation is against an inmate, the facility shall inform the inmate whenever the user has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility, or has acted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility, or has acted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. The reported that he usually provides the notifications in writing through regular mail and notes this action on the case closure. Victims are notified of the outcome of one unless the victim had been released prior to the conclusion of the investigation. The of policy, investigative files and interview with the PREA Compliance Manager and or demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.
	DISCIPLINE
Standard	I 115.76: Disciplinary sanctions for staff
All Yes/No	Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.76 (a)	
	staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency all abuse or sexual harassment policies? $oximes$ Yes $oximes$ No
115.76 (b)	

Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse? ⊠ Yes □ No	
115.76 (c)	
• Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories? ☑ Yes ☐ No	
115.76 (d)	
 Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	
■ Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies? ⊠ Yes □ No	
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination	
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
DO #125 was reviewed and addresses the requirements of this standard. Staff members as subject to disciplinary sanctions for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Termination is the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged sexual abuse; and disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policy relating to sexual	nt in

DO #125 was reviewed and addresses the requirements of this standard. Staff members are subject to disciplinary sanctions for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies. Termination is the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse; and disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policy relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories. The policy also states that staff, volunteers and contractors will cooperate during an investigation. Failure to cooperate may mean disciplinary action, including dismissal, for the staff member.

Staff are made aware of the zero-tolerance policy and the penalties for violating the policy in the Employee Handbook and PREA training.

The review of policy and interviews with the Warden and PREA Coordinator confirms ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.77: Corrective action for contractors and volunteers

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.77	' (a)	
•	-	contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with es? $\ oxed{oxed}$ Yes $\ oxed{\Box}$ No
•	•	contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement es (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•		contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing $? \boxtimes \text{Yes} \ \Box \text{No}$
115.77	' (b)	
•	contra	case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a ctor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider er to prohibit further contact with inmates? \boxtimes Yes \square No
Audito	or Over	all Compliance Determination
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO #125, Department Order 200 -Volunteer Services (DO #200) and Department Order 205 - Contractor Security (DO #205) were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Policy prohibit contractors or volunteers who engaged in sexual abuse to have contact with inmates and require they be reported to law enforcement agencies, unless the activity was clearly not criminal, and to relevant licensing bodies.

The Warden stated during interview that if an allegation of sexual misconduct by a volunteer or contractor is reported, the person would not be allowed back on the facility until the completion of the investigation and only if the allegation is found to be unsubstantiated or unfounded. In the past 12 months there have been no contractors or volunteers who have been reported to CIU or licensing bodies for engaging in sexual abuse of inmates. Interviews with contractors and volunteers confirmed they were aware the punishment for engaging in sexual abuse or sexual harassment of inmates.

PREA Audit Report Page 70 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

The review of policy and interviews with the Warden, PREA Compliance Manager, contractors and volunteer demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.78: Disciplinary sanctions for inmates

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report		
115.78 (a)		
■ Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process? Yes □ No		
115.78 (b)		
■ Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories? ✓ Yes ✓ No		
115.78 (c)		
When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior? ⋈ Yes □ No		
115.78 (d)		
• If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits? ⋈ Yes □ No		
115.78 (e)		
 Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?		
115.78 (f)		
For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.78 (g)		

PREA Audit Report Page 71 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

 Does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.) ☑ Yes □ No □ NA
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
DO #125, Department Order 801- Inmate Classification (DO #801), Department Order 803- Inmate Disciplinary Procedure (DO #803) and Department Order 809- Earned Incentive Program (DO #809) were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Inmates are subject to disciplinary sanctions following an administrative finding that the inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse; sanctions are commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories. The disciplinary process takes into consideration whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior. The facility will only discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact. Policy states a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred will not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation. In the past 12 months, there has been no administrative or criminal inmate on inmate sexual abuse findings. ASP-Phoenix West prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and disciplines inmates for such activity. Interviews with mental health staff indicates the facility offers therapy and/or counseling to inmate for inmate sexual abusers. No inmates were found guilty of filing a false PREA report in the past 12 months. The review of policies, practice, supporting documentation and interviews with the Warden demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.
MEDICAL AND MENTAL CARE

Standard 115.81: Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse

PREA Audit Report Page 72 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.81 (a)
• If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)
115.81 (b)
If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.) ⊠ Yes □ No □ NA
115.81 (c)
• If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? ☒ Yes ☐ No
115.81 (d)
■ Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law? ☑ Yes □ No
115.81 (e)
■ Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18? Yes □ No
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination
☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)

Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

DO #125 and Department Order 1104- Inmate Medical Records were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Per policy, mental health staff will see any inmate who is assessed to be at risk for sexual victimization or abusiveness or who has previously experienced prior sexual victimization or previously perpetrated sexual abuse within 14 days of the intake screening.

Medical and mental health staff obtains informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institution setting. Any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness in an institutional setting is limited. only to medical and mental health practitioners and other employees as necessary to inform about treatment plans, security and management decisions or otherwise required by federal, state or local law.

Corrective Action Plan: For a 60 day period, provide documentation for all inmates, if the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that an inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization or has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community are referred for a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening.

Verification of Corrective Action since the on-site Audit:

The Auditor was provided supplemental documentation on May 24, 2019 to evidence and demonstrate corrective action taken by ASP-Phoenix West regarding this Standard. This documentation is discussed below. This Standard is now fully compliant.

Additional Documentation Reviewed:

- 1. Count Movement Sheets from 3/9/2019 thru 5/22/2019
- 2. PREA Intake Risk Screening Forms
- 3. PREA Assessment Log
- 4. Email from PCM to Mental Health Staff indicating an inmate accepted referral.

ASP-Phoenix West demonstrated risk assessments were completed as required per standard 115.41 and subsequent referrals to mental health were made within 14 days. This Standard is now fully compliant.

Standard 115.82: Access to emergency medical and mental health services

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.82 (a)

treatmo medica	nate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical ent and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by all and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment? \square No	
115.82 (b)		
sexual	ualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the pursuant to § 115.62? ⊠ Yes □ No	
	curity staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health oners? \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No	
115.82 (c)		
emerge	nate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to ency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with sionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No	
115.82 (d)		
 Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☑ Yes □ No 		
Auditor Overa	all Compliance Determination	
	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	
DO #125 and	d DO #608 were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Policy	

DO #125 and DO #608 were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Policy state inmate victims of sexual abuse shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment; and inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated shall be offered timely information about sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. ASP-Phoenix West only houses male inmates. ASP-Phoenix West medical department is staffed 24/7 and mental health staff is on-call after normal business hours. Forensic exams are provided off-site at a local hospital. The services are provided at no cost to inmates regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

Medical and mental Health Staff interviewed reported the required information and services would be provided immediately and unimpeded. The auditor reviewed investigation reports which document mental health staff were notified when a sexual abuse allegation is received. In the past 12 months, no access to emergency medical and mental health services was required due to an incident of sexual abuse.

The policies and supporting documentation as well as interviews with Medical and Mental Health staff demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

victims and abusers		
All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report		
115.83 (a)		
■ Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility? Yes □ No		
115.83 (b)		
■ Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.83 (c)		
■ Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care? ⊠ Yes □ No		
115.83 (d)		
■ Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if all-male facility.) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA		
115.83 (e)		
• If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if all-male facility.) □ Yes □ No ☒ NA		
115.83 (f)		

PREA Audit Report Page 76 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

infections as medically appropriate? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted

	 Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident? ☑ Yes □ No 		
115.83	(h)		
	• If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.) □ Yes □ No □ NA		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

DO #125 and Wellpath Policy B-04 were reviewed and addresses the requirements of this standard. Policy and interviews with Medical and Mental Health staff indicate ASP-Phoenix West offers medical and mental health evaluations and, as appropriate, follow-up services and treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse. Inmates will be offered all; prophylactic treatment and follow-up for sexually transmitted or other communicable diseases; counseling and testing; and will be referred to the mental health staff for crisis intervention as necessary. ASP-Phoenix West only houses male inmates. Medical and Mental Health staff when asked, considered the level of care comparable to (or better than) the community level of care. Mental health evaluations are conducted on all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse. Victims are provided services without cost whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation. In the past 12 months, there were no allegations of inmate sexual abuse requiring treatment services.

The review of policy, procedures and interviews with medical and mental health staff demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

DATA COLLECTION AND REVIEW

Standard 115.86: Sexual abuse incident reviews

115.83 (g)

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.86	5 (a)
•	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.86	(b)
•	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation? $\ \boxtimes$ Yes $\ \square$ No
115.86	(c)
•	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.86	5 (d)
•	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts? $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
•	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1) - (d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager? \boxtimes Yes \square No
115.86	(e)
•	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so? \boxtimes Yes \square No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

PREA Audit Report Page 78 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

	Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)
	Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
requirements and covers the uses. The for- policy or practal allegation we transgender, motivated or barriers in the different shift	d completed PREA After-Action Review Reports were reviewed and address the of this standard. The policy identifies the minimum members of the review team process for sexual abuse incident reviews, to include the form the review team addresses whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change ctice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; whether the incident or has motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the institution; whether physical he area may enable abuse; the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during tes; and whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supervision by staff.
of every invest the PREA Co assisted by auditor revie None of the r Warden shal	West conducted a sexual abuse incident review within 30 days of the conclusion stigation that was substantiated or unsubstantiated. The review team is chaired by empliance Manager and includes other upper level management members and is line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners. The wed all PREA After-Action Review Reports completed in the past 12 months. reviews suggested recommendations for improvements. The policy does state the I implement recommendations made or document reason for not implementing. We team members were interviewed and were knowledgeable of the process.
	of the policy, completed PREA After-Action Review Reports and interviews with incident review team members demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant dard.
Standard 1	15.87: Data collection
All Yes/No Qu	uestions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report
115.87 (a)	
	he agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities ts direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions? Yes No

115.87 (b)

•	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually? $\ oxdot$ Yes $\ oxdot$ No		
115.87	(c)		
•	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all question from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Departn Justice? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.87	(d)		
•	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews? \boxtimes Yes \square No	-based	
115.87 (e)			
•	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.) \square Yes \square No \boxtimes NA		
115.87	(f)		
•	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data \boxtimes Yes $\ \square$ No $\ \square$ NA		
Audito	r Overall Compliance Determination		
	☐ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
	□ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		

DO #125, Department Order 105-Information Reporting (DO #105), Survey of Sexual Violence Report, Monthly PREA Incident Tracking Logs, ADC PREA Annual Report and GEO PREA annual Report were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. Policy requires uniform data be collected for every incident of sexual abuse alleged to have occurring at ASP-Phoenix West using a standardized instrument and set of definitions. The PREA Compliance Manager at ASP-Phoenix West is responsible for collecting specific PREA data and submitting it to ADC and GEO central office. The Agency PREA Coordinator is responsible for collecting data from the agency institutions/facilities and ensuring that such data includes the information necessary to complete the Federal Bureau of Justice Statistics "Survey of Sexual Victimization (SSV) form.

The data is used to assist the department in prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training. Interview with the ADC and GEO PREA Coordinators indicated the data is aggregated and an annual report prepared and compared to prior year reports, thus assisting in identifying problem areas, and taking corrective actions.

A review of the policy and supporting documentation, ADC PREA Annual Report, GEO Annual Report as well as an interview with the ADC and GEO PREA Coordinator and PREA Compliance Manager, demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

Standard 115.88: Data review for corrective action

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.88 ((a)
----------	-----

	(w)
•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis? \boxtimes Yes \square No
•	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole? \boxtimes Yes \square No
.88	(b)

115.

Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse ⊠ Yes □ No

115.88 (c)

Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? ⊠ Yes □ No

115.88 (d)

Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?

✓ Yes

✓ No

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

PREA Audit Report Page 81 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

⊠ Exceed	ds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)
	Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the rd for the relevant review period)
☐ Does N	lot Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)
Policy 5.1.2-A, ADC and address the recassess and improve policies, practices a corrective action on corrective actions f	artment Order 201-Legal Services Information Release (DO #201), GEO Annual PREA Report and the GEO Annual PREA Report were reviewed quirements of this standard. GEO reviews all data collected in order to the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection and response and training. The agency does this by identifying program areas, taking an ongoing basis and preparing an annual report of its findings and for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole. Before making abuse data publicly available, all personal identifiers are removed.
each GEO facility. Corrective action with addressing sexual at President of Operation	ator prepares an annual report which includes corrective actions taken for The annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and h those from prior years to provide an assessment of GEO's progress in buse. The GEO PREA Coordinator forwards the annual report to the Vice ons for his signature and approval. The report is made public annually on ww.geogroup.com/PREA.
statistics for their factorized Corrections website semi-annually provide	tment of Corrections also prepares an annual report of sexual abuse cilities. That report is available to the public on the Arizona Department of at www.azcorrections.gov . DO #125, states that the Inspector General des written reports to the Director and Deputy Director outlining incidents of ether by staff or inmates.
Report which provide	icies, interview with the PREA Coordinator and The GEO Annual PREA es an excellent overview of the agency's efforts in the prevention of sexual arassment in its facilities demonstrated ASP=Phoenix West exceeded the standard.
Standard 115.89	: Data storage, publication, and destruction
All Yes/No Questions	s Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

PREA Audit Report Page 82 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?

115.89 (a)

⊠ Yes □ No

115.89	(b)		
•	and pri	he agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control vate facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually h its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Yes No	
115.89	(c)		
•		he agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data y available? $oxtimes$ Yes \oxtimes No	
115.89 (d)			
•	■ Does the agency maintain sexual abuse data collected pursuant to § 115.87 for at least 10 years after the date of the initial collection, unless Federal, State, or local law requires otherwise? ☑ Yes □ No		
Auditor Overall Compliance Determination			
		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)	
		Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)	
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)	

DO #125, DO #201, Department order 103-Correspondence Record Control (DO #103), ADC Annual PREA and website and the GEO Annual PREA Report and website were reviewed and address the requirements of this standard. All sexual abuse data collected pursuant to this standard is retained and properly stored and secured. Sexual abuse data collected is retained by the agency for ten (10) years or longer if required by state statue. Access to data is controlled.

ADC and GEO make all aggregated sexual abuse data from all facilities under their control readily available to the public in an annual report. Before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available, all personal identifiers are removed. The most current annual reports are available on GEO's website at www.geogroup.com/PREA. The ADC annual report of sexual abuse statistics for their facilities and private facilities with which it contracts is available to the public on the Arizona Department of Corrections website at www.azcorrections.gov.

A review of the policies, ADC and GEO PREA Annual Report and websites and interview with the PREA Coordinator demonstrated ASP-Phoenix West is compliant with this standard.

AUDITING AND CORRECTIVE ACTION

PREA Audit Report Page 83 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

Standard 115.401: Frequency and scope of audits

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.401 (a)			
•	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (<i>Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.</i>) \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.401 (b)			
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (<i>Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.</i>) □ Yes □ No		
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.) \square Yes \boxtimes No \square NA		
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the <i>third</i> year of the current audit cycle.) \boxtimes Yes \square No \square NA		
115.401 (h)			
•	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.401 (i)			
•	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.401 (m)			
•	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees? \boxtimes Yes \square No		
115.401 (n)			
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel? \boxtimes Yes \square No		

PREA Audit Report Page 84 of 86 GEO ASP-Phoenix West

□ Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards) □ Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period) □ Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)

GEO policy 5.1.2-A, was reviewed and meets the requirement of this standard. The policy states during the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and each three-year period thereafter, GEO's Contract Compliance Department ensures that each facility is audited at least once by a PREA auditor who has been certified through the Department of Justice. The GEO website has PREA audit reports posted for 115 facilities which are either the first or a recertification audit.

The auditor had been provided with extensive files prior to the audit, for review to support a conclusion of compliance with PREA standards. While at the facility, the auditor reviewed a sufficient sampling based on the size of the facility of case records, training records, investigative reports, additional program information and documents. The auditor interviewed the required number of staff and inmates based on the population and all were knowledgeable regarding PREA requirements. The auditor was given access to and an opportunity to tour and visit all areas of the facility. The auditor was provided with an office that ensured privacy in conducting interviews with inmates and staff during the site visit. Notice of PREA audit was posted on February 11, 2019. Interviews with inmates stated they have seen posting. No inmates contacted the auditor prior to the audit.

Standard 115.403: Audit contents and findings

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

All Yes/No Questions Must Be Answered by the Auditor to Complete the Report

115.403 (f)

The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports within 90 days of issuance by auditor. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AGENCY AUDIT. In the case of single facility agencies, the auditor shall ensure that the facility's last audit report was published. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or in the case of single facility agencies that there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.) ⋈ Yes □ No □ NA

Auditor Overall Compliance Determination

		Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standards)		
	\boxtimes	Meets Standard (Substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the standard for the relevant review period)		
		Does Not Meet Standard (Requires Corrective Action)		
Per the ADC and GEO policies and standard requirements, the ADC and GEO ensure that this final report will be published on their respective websites to be available to the public. The GEO website has PREA audit reports posted for 115 facilities which are either the first or a recertification audit. The most recent audit report appearing on the website was completed on March 31, 2019, well within the 90-day requirement.				
AUDITOR CERTIFICATION				
I certify	that:			
	\boxtimes	The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.		
	\boxtimes	No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review, and		
		I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.		
David AndraskaP51155/29/2019Auditor SignatureDate				