# **PREA Facility Audit Report: Final**

Name of Facility: Riverbend Correctional Facility Facility Type: Prison / Jail Date Interim Report Submitted: NA Date Final Report Submitted: 08/01/2023

Auditor Certification	
The contents of this report are accurate to the best of my knowledge.	
No conflict of interest exists with respect to my ability to conduct an audit of the agency under review.	
I have not included in the final report any personally identifiable information (PII) about any inmate/resident/detainee or staff member, except where the names of administrative personnel are specifically requested in the report template.	
Auditor Full Name as Signed: Robert Manville	<b>Date of</b> <b>Signature:</b> 08/01/ 2023

AUDITOR INFORMATION			
Auditor name:	Manville, Robert		
Email:	robertmanville9@gmail.com		
Start Date of On- Site Audit:	06/06/2023		
End Date of On-Site Audit:	06/08/2023		

FACILITY INFORMATION			
Facility name:	Riverbend Correctional Facility		
Facility physical address:	196 Laying Farm Road, Milledgeville, Georgia - 31061		
Facility mailing address:			

Primary Contact		
Name:	Keith Kelly	
Email Address:	kekelly@geogroup.com	
Telephone Number:	r: 478-414-2394	

Warden/Jail Administrator/Sheriff/Director		
Name:	Angela Phams	
Email Address:	areaves@geogroup.com	
Telephone Number:	: 478-414-2325	

Facility PREA Compliance Manager		
Name:	Keith Kelly	
Email Address:	KeKelly@geogroup.com	
Telephone Number:	O: (478) 414-2394	
Name:	Pamela Parham	
Email Address:	pparham@geogroup.com	
Telephone Number:	O: (478) 414-2300	

Facility Health Service Administrator On-site		
Name:	Charles Coleman	
Email Address:	: ccoleman@geogroup.com	
Telephone Number:	: 478-414-2343	

Facility Characteristics		
Designed facility capacity:	1500	
Current population of facility:	1495	

Average daily population for the past 12 months:	1490
Has the facility been over capacity at any point in the past 12 months?	No
Which population(s) does the facility hold?	Males
Age range of population:	18-82
Facility security levels/inmate custody levels:	Minimum/Medium
Does the facility hold youthful inmates?	No
Number of staff currently employed at the facility who may have contact with inmates:	227
Number of individual contractors who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	19
Number of volunteers who have contact with inmates, currently authorized to enter the facility:	1

AGENCY INFORMATION		
Name of agency:	The GEO Group, Inc.	
Governing authority or parent agency (if applicable):		
Physical Address:	4955 Technology Way, Boca Raton, Florida - 33431	
Mailing Address:		
Telephone number:		

Agency Chief Executive Officer Information:		
Name:	Jose Gordo	
Email Address:	jgordo@geogroup.com	

Agency-Wide PREA Coordinator Information			
Name:	Manuel Alvarez	Email Address:	Manuel.Alvarez@geogroup.com

## Facility AUDIT FINDINGS

#### Summary of Audit Findings

The OAS automatically populates the number and list of Standards exceeded, the number of Standards met, and the number and list of Standards not met.

Auditor Note: In general, no standards should be found to be "Not Applicable" or "NA." A compliance determination must be made for each standard. In rare instances where an auditor determines that a standard is not applicable, the auditor should select "Meets Standard" and include a comprehensive discussion as to why the standard is not applicable to the facility being audited.

Number of stand	dards exceeded:
7	<ul> <li>115.11 - Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator</li> <li>115.17 - Hiring and promotion decisions</li> <li>115.18 - Upgrades to facilities and technologies</li> <li>115.35 - Specialized training: Medical and mental health care</li> <li>115.41 - Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness</li> <li>115.86 - Sexual abuse incident reviews</li> <li>115.88 - Data review for corrective action</li> </ul>
Number of standards met:	
38	

Number of	standards not met:
	0

POST-AUDIT REPORTING INFORMATION		
GENERAL AUDIT INFORMATION		
On-site Audit Dates		
1. Start date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-06-06	
2. End date of the onsite portion of the audit:	2023-06-08	
Outreach		
10. Did you attempt to communicate	• Yes	
with community-based organization(s) or victim advocates who provide services to this facility and/or who may have insight into relevant conditions in	No	
the facility?		
a. Identify the community-based organization(s) or victim advocates with whom you communicated:	Southern Crescent Sexual Assault Center	
AUDITED FACILITY INFORMATION		
14. Designated facility capacity:	1500	
15. Average daily population for the past 12 months:	1490	
16. Number of inmate/resident/detainee housing units:	7	
17. Does the facility ever hold youthful	Yes	
inmates or youthful/juvenile detainees?	No	
	• Not Applicable for the facility type audited (i.e., Community Confinement Facility or Juvenile Facility)	

### Audited Facility Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

Inmates/Residents/Detainees Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit

36. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of onsite portion of the audit:	1494
38. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a physical disability in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	2
39. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
40. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Blind or have low vision (visually impaired) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
41. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Deaf or hard-of-hearing in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
42. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	8
43. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	12

44. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who identify as transgender or intersex in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	1
45. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who reported sexual abuse in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
46. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	3
47. Enter the total number of inmates/ residents/detainees who were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	0
48. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of inmates/residents/detainees in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit (e.g., groups not tracked, issues with identifying certain populations):	No text provided.
Staff, Volunteers, and Contractors Population Characteristics on Day One of the Onsite Portion of the Audit	
49. Enter the total number of STAFF, including both full- and part-time staff, employed by the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	227
50. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	1

51. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS assigned to the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit who have contact with inmates/residents/detainees:	19
52. Provide any additional comments regarding the population characteristics of staff, volunteers, and contractors who were in the facility as of the first day of the onsite portion of the audit:	No text provided.
INTERVIEWS	
Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
Random Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	5
53. Enter the total number of RANDOM INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who were interviewed:	30
54. Select which characteristics you considered when you selected RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees: (select all that apply)	<ul> <li>Age</li> <li>Race</li> <li>Ethnicity (e.g., Hispanic, Non-Hispanic)</li> <li>Length of time in the facility</li> <li>Housing assignment</li> <li>Gender</li> <li>Other</li> <li>None</li> </ul>
55. How did you ensure your sample of RANDOM INMATE/RESIDENT/DETAINEE interviewees was geographically diverse?	Interviewed inmates from each of the housing units.
56. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of random inmate/ resident/detainee interviews?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>

57. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews,	No text provided.
barriers to ensuring representation): Targeted Inmate/Resident/Detainee Interviews	
58. Enter the total number of TARGETED	15

**INMATES/RESIDENTS/DETAINEES who** were interviewed:

As stated in the PREA Auditor Handbook, the breakdown of targeted interviews is intended to guide auditors in interviewing the appropriate cross-section of inmates/residents/detainees who are the most vulnerable to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. When completing questions regarding targeted inmate/resident/detainee interviews below, remember that an interview with one inmate/resident/detainee may satisfy multiple targeted interview requirements. These guestions are asking about the number of interviews conducted using the targeted inmate/ resident/detainee protocols. For example, if an auditor interviews an inmate who has a physical disability, is being held in segregated housing due to risk of sexual victimization, and disclosed prior sexual victimization, that interview would be included in the totals for each of those questions. Therefore, in most cases, the sum of all the following responses to the targeted inmate/resident/detainee interview categories will exceed the total number of targeted inmates/ residents/detainees who were interviewed. If a particular targeted population is not applicable in the audited facility, enter "0".

60. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a physical disability using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	2
61. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees with a cognitive or functional disability (including intellectual disability, psychiatric disability, or speech disability) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	0

a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	<ul> <li>Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</li> <li>The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</li> </ul>
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	By contract the facility does not house disabled inmates. The facility does house some mental health inmates. The facility HSA and mental health director, who also serves as the PREA compliance manager were interviewed and indicated they had no inmates that were cognitive or functionally disabled inmates.
62. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Blind or have low vision (i.e., visually impaired) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
63. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Deaf or hard-of- hearing using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	1
64. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are Limited English Proficient (LEP) using the "Disabled and Limited English Proficient Inmates" protocol:	2
65. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as lesbian, gay, or bisexual using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	4

66. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who identify as transgender or intersex using the "Transgender and Intersex Inmates; Gay, Lesbian, and Bisexual Inmates" protocol:	1
67. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who reported sexual abuse in this facility using the "Inmates who Reported a Sexual Abuse" protocol:	1
68. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who disclosed prior sexual victimization during risk screening using the "Inmates who Disclosed Sexual Victimization during Risk Screening" protocol:	3
69. Enter the total number of interviews conducted with inmates/residents/ detainees who are or were ever placed in segregated housing/isolation for risk of sexual victimization using the "Inmates Placed in Segregated Housing (for Risk of Sexual Victimization/Who Allege to have Suffered Sexual Abuse)" protocol:	0
a. Select why you were unable to conduct at least the minimum required number of targeted inmates/residents/ detainees in this category:	<ul> <li>Facility said there were "none here" during the onsite portion of the audit and/or the facility was unable to provide a list of these inmates/residents/detainees.</li> <li>The inmates/residents/detainees in this targeted category declined to be interviewed.</li> </ul>
b. Discuss your corroboration strategies to determine if this population exists in the audited facility (e.g., based on information obtained from the PAQ; documentation reviewed onsite; and discussions with staff and other inmates/ residents/detainees).	The facility administrator, PREA compliance manger and RHU Lt. were interviewed and each said they were none. During the audit there were no inmates that were in the restrictive housing unit on involuntary segregation status.

70. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing targeted inmates/residents/detainees (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews):	There were three (3) inmates that had made allegation of sexual harassment that were interviewed by the auditor as a target population.
Staff, Volunteer, and Contractor Interv	views
Random Staff Interviews	
71. Enter the total number of RANDOM STAFF who were interviewed:	26
72. Select which characteristics you	Length of tenure in the facility
considered when you selected RANDOM STAFF interviewees: (select all that apply)	Shift assignment
abhià)	Work assignment
	Rank (or equivalent)
	Other (e.g., gender, race, ethnicity, languages spoken)
	None
73. Were you able to conduct the minimum number of RANDOM STAFF interviews?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>
74. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing random staff (e.g., any populations you oversampled, barriers to completing interviews, barriers to ensuring representation):	No text provided.
Specialized Staff, Volunteers, and Contractor Interviews	
Staff in some facilities may be responsible for more than one of the specialized staff duties. Therefore, more than one interview protocol may apply to an interview with a single staff member and that information would satisfy multiple specialized staff interview requirements.	
75. Enter the total number of staff in a SPECIALIZED STAFF role who were interviewed (excluding volunteers and	14

contractors):

• Yes
No
• Yes
No
• Yes
No
• Yes
No
NA (NA if the agency is a single facility agency or is otherwise not required to have a PREA Compliance Manager per the Standards)
-

80. Select which SPECIALIZED STAFF roles were interviewed as part of this	Agency contract administrator
audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	Intermediate or higher-level facility staff responsible for conducting and documenting unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment
	Line staff who supervise youthful inmates (if applicable)
	Education and program staff who work with youthful inmates (if applicable)
	Medical staff
	Mental health staff
	Non-medical staff involved in cross-gender strip or visual searches
	Administrative (human resources) staff
	Sexual Assault Forensic Examiner (SAFE) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiner (SANE) staff
	Investigative staff responsible for conducting administrative investigations
	Investigative staff responsible for conducting criminal investigations
	Staff who perform screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Staff who supervise inmates in segregated housing/residents in isolation
	Staff on the sexual abuse incident review team
	Designated staff member charged with monitoring retaliation
	First responders, both security and non- security staff
	Intake staff

	Other
81. Did you interview VOLUNTEERS who may have contact with inmates/ residents/detainees in this facility?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>
a. Enter the total number of VOLUNTEERS who were interviewed:	1
b. Select which specialized VOLUNTEER role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	<ul> <li>Education/programming</li> <li>Medical/dental</li> <li>Mental health/counseling</li> <li>Religious</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
82. Did you interview CONTRACTORS who may have contact with inmates/ residents/detainees in this facility?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>
a. Enter the total number of CONTRACTORS who were interviewed:	4
b. Select which specialized CONTRACTOR role(s) were interviewed as part of this audit from the list below: (select all that apply)	<ul> <li>Security/detention</li> <li>Education/programming</li> <li>Medical/dental</li> <li>Food service</li> <li>Maintenance/construction</li> <li>Other</li> </ul>
83. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting or interviewing specialized staff.	Commissary

## SITE REVIEW AND DOCUMENTATION SAMPLING

#### **Site Review**

PREA Standard 115.401 (h) states, "The auditor shall have access to, and shall observe, all areas of the audited facilities." In order to meet the requirements in this Standard, the site review portion of the onsite audit must include a thorough examination of the entire facility. The site review is not a casual tour of the facility. It is an active, inquiring process that includes talking with staff and inmates to determine whether, and the extent to which, the audited facility's practices demonstrate compliance with the Standards. Note: As you are conducting the site review, you must document your tests of critical functions, important information gathered through observations, and any issues identified with facility practices. The information you collect through the site review is a crucial part of the evidence you will analyze as part of your compliance determinations and will be needed to complete your audit report, including the Post-Audit Reporting Information.

84.	Did you	have	access	to a	ll areas	of
the	facility?					

🕑 Yes

🕖 No

Was the site review an active, inquiring process that included the following:

85. Observations of all facility practices in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., signage, supervision practices, cross- gender viewing and searches)?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
86. Tests of all critical functions in the facility in accordance with the site review component of the audit instrument (e.g., risk screening process, access to outside emotional support services, interpretation services)?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
87. Informal conversations with inmates/ residents/detainees during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<ul><li>Yes</li><li>No</li></ul>
88. Informal conversations with staff during the site review (encouraged, not required)?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>

89. Provide any additional comments regarding the site review (e.g., access to areas in the facility, observations, tests of critical functions, or informal conversations). The site visit was conducted over a two-day period in order to interview staff and test the telephone equipment. The inmate phone system is not operational until 12:00 p.m. During the initial site visit the staff were actively involved with staff that accompanied the auditor on the initial visit. Therefore, the auditor revisited the housing units with fewer staff accompanying the auditor. During the site reviews the locations of cameras and mirrors, room layout, restroom, and the placement of PREA posters and information was observed. Facility Notices in English and Spanish provided to the facility during the Pre-Onsite Audit Phase were found displayed in various locations throughout the facility. PREA reporting information and other PREA posted information are in both English and Spanish and posted in all housing units and in numerous locations throughout the facility. Reminders of opposite gender announcements are stenciled near the entries of housing units. The speed dial inmate telephone was tested in several living units. Inmates are not required to utilize a PIN to contact the Georgia Tip Line, or the Victim Advocate. During the site review, the auditors spoke informally to inmate questioning them about their overall knowledge of the agency's zero-tolerance policy and methods of reporting available to them. Several inmates were able to show me how to utilize the telephone system. Most inmates were talkative and could articulate the agency policies about zero- tolerance. Every inmate interviewed by the auditor during the tours, and in the formal interview process indicated they felt safe at this facility. Staff interviewed were engaging with the auditor and it was noted that they were also engaging inmates. Riverbend Correctional Facility is located at 196 Laying Farm Road in Milledgeville, GA. Riverbend CRF opened in 2011 under contract with GDC to provide secure care, custody, and control for minimum and medium security male offenders. The facility has a capacity for 1,500 offenders and employs 287 staff. The

facility consists of 14 buildings with 277,635 square feet located on approximately 70 acres. There are four dormitory style housing units with four pods each, housing 250 offenders; one housing unit with two dormitory style pods and two-man cell housing pods, housing 250 offenders; one housing unit with four two-man cell housing pods, for 250 total offenders, and one lockdown unit with 50 segregation beds and 25 isolation beds.

The Receiving/Discharge area has holding cells with partitions for detainees to use restrooms in privacy. There is also an area for strip searches and for detainees to change clothes in privacy. There are offices located in this area which allow private interviews to be conducted. There were zero-tolerance posters displayed in the intake area. The auditor watched the intake process. When inmates arrive at the facility, they sign their facility property and the offender handbook. The auditor was concerned that the inmates utilize the handbook information as the initial orientation, and they sign for a handbook prior to receiving the actual handbook. The facility has corrected the issuing of the handbook and utilizing the handbook as the initial orientation to the facility. The facility is now providing an initial orientation and providing the inmates with a handbook that they then sign they received the handbook and an initial orientation. During the intake, mental health staff were conducting the PREA screening in a private office. It was notes that the Mental Health professional was carrying on a conversation with the inmate during the screening process. The conversation was in a private office and the auditor could not hear what was being said.

The medical area consists of Examination rooms, observation rooms, dental examination room and pharmacy. The examination rooms had a screen tripod that is utilized when the examination includes any form of nudity by the inmates. The Food Service Department has a large

foodservice preparation area. Except for the staff dining room, all areas of foodservice are under constant surveillance by cameras, mirrors, or staff supervision. There are zerotolerance posters in all food service areas. The Laundry is supervised by correctional staff and employs inmate workers. The laundry is under continuous supervision and is monitored by cameras and mirrors. There are recreation areas located on this compound, including an activity center, exercise equipment, hobby craft rooms, and an outside recreation area. There is a computer area that detainees can utilize to access learning programs. Zero-tolerance posters are located throughout the recreation area. The restrooms in each of the recreational areas include partitions to provide privacy. There were PREA information boards in each of the recreational areas. The Psychology Department contains a small conference room and individual offices for staff. There is a bulletin board that includes several information posters for inmates and a hotline number for PREA complaints. The Religious Services Department consists of a chapel area, group rooms, music area, and offices. There were PREA posters located in the religious services hallway and the front entrance area. There were no blind spots noted in this area. The facility has replaced some of the cameras with high-definition cameras that were reviewed by the auditor. The new highdefinition cameras were extremely impressive with the clarity and ability to scan in and out for a complete view of the areas. The auditors overall impression of the facility

was that it is a clean and sexually safe environment in which the PREA standards are reviewed and rehearsed by staff and inmates on a continuous basis.

Documentation Sampling	

Where there is a collection of records to review-such as staff, contractor, and volunteer training records; background check records; supervisory rounds logs; risk screening and intake processing records; inmate education records; medical files; and investigative files-auditors must self-select for review a representative sample of each type of record.

90. In addition to the proof documentation selected by the agency or facility and provided to you, did you also conduct an auditor-selected sampling of documentation?

es
es

No

91. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting additional documentation (e.g., any documentation you oversampled, barriers to selecting additional documentation, etc.).	Inmate Files Reviewed: 22 inmate records were reviewed. These records included the following information. •Identification Number • Date of Birth • Date of Arrival • Date of Screening • Date of Follow-up Screening • Date of Initial PREA notification • Date of PREA orientation/ education: All screening, rescreening, orientation and comprehensive education acknowledgements were located in the inmates' file. Background Checks: A total of twenty-eight (28) employee files were reviewed. All files contained an accurate background check and a GDC background clearance notification. Five (5) Contractor files; Eight (8) newly hired staff; ten (10) five-year tenured staff; five (5) promoted staff. Reviewed 19 staff training files including 10 direct care and 9 support staff including medical and investigator and contract staff. All training has been completed in the last 12 months: Interviews with random staff indicated they had received refresher training during the last quarter. The correctional staff indicated during the tour they are provided weekly briefings about PREA. This was confirmed by interviews with shift supervisors and daily briefing logs. Investigations: The auditor reviewed seven (7)
	and daily briefing logs.

# SEXUAL ABUSE AND SEXUAL HARASSMENT ALLEGATIONS AND INVESTIGATIONS IN THIS FACILITY

#### Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Allegations and Investigations Overview

Remember the number of allegations should be based on a review of all sources of allegations (e.g., hotline, third-party, grievances) and should not be based solely on the number of investigations conducted. Note: For question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, or detainee sexual abuse allegations and investigations, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

92. Total number of SEXUAL ABUSE allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual abuse allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate- on- inmate sexual abuse	3	1	3	1
Staff- on- inmate sexual abuse	2	1	2	1
Total	5	2	5	2

93. Total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT allegations and investigations overview during the 12 months preceding the audit, by incident type:

	# of sexual harassment allegations	# of criminal investigations	# of administrative investigations	# of allegations that had both criminal and administrative investigations
Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment	2	1	2	1
Total	2	1	2	1

#### **Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

#### **Sexual Abuse Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently (i.e., if a criminal investigation was referred for prosecution and resulted in a conviction, that investigation outcome should only appear in the count for "convicted.") Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual abuse investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited.

# 94. Criminal SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on- inmate sexual abuse	2	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual abuse	1	0	0	0	0
Total	3	0	0	0	0

95. Administrative SEXUAL ABUSE investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse	2	0	1	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse	1	0	0	1
Total	3	0	1	1

#### **Sexual Harassment Investigation Outcomes**

Note: these counts should reflect where the investigation is currently. Do not double count. Additionally, for question brevity, we use the term "inmate" in the following questions. Auditors should provide information on inmate, resident, and detainee sexual harassment investigation files, as applicable to the facility type being audited. 96. Criminal SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Referred for Prosecution	Indicted/ Court Case Filed	Convicted/ Adjudicated	Acquitted
Inmate-on- inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0	0
Staff-on- inmate sexual harassment	1	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	0	0

**97.** Administrative SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation outcomes during the 12 months preceding the audit:

	Ongoing	Unfounded	Unsubstantiated	Substantiated
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment	0	0	0	0
Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment	1	0	1	0
Total	1	0	1	0

Sexual Abuse and Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review

#### Sexual Abuse Investigation Files Selected for Review

98. Enter the total number of SEXUAL	5
ABUSE investigation files reviewed/ sampled:	

99. Did your selection of SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include a cross- section of criminal and/or administrative	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>
investigations by findings/outcomes?	
	NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual abuse investigation files)
Inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation	files
100. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files reviewed/sampled:	3
101. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-	• Yes
INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation	
files include criminal investigations?	No
	NA (NA if you were unable to review any
	inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation
	files)
102. Did your sample of INMATE-ON-	• Yes
INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation	
files include administrative investigations?	No
investigations.	NA (NA if you were unable to review any
	inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation
	files)
Staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation fil	es
103. Enter the total number of STAFF-	2
ON-INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation	
files reviewed/sampled:	
104. Did your sample of STAFF-ON-	• Yes
INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include criminal investigations?	No
	• NA (NA if you were unable to review any
	staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)
	,

105. Did your sample of STAFF-ON- INMATE SEXUAL ABUSE investigation files include administrative investigations?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual abuse investigation files)</li> </ul>	
Sexual Harassment Investigation Files Selected for Review		
106. Enter the total number of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2	
107. Did your selection of SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include a cross-section of criminal and/or administrative investigations by findings/outcomes?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any sexual harassment investigation files)</li> </ul>	
Inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files		
108. Enter the total number of INMATE- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	0	
109. Did your sample of INMATE-ON- INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT files include criminal investigations?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</li> </ul>	
110. Did your sample of INMATE-ON- INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any inmate-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</li> </ul>	

Staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files		
111. Enter the total number of STAFF- ON-INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files reviewed/sampled:	2	
112. Did your sample of STAFF-ON- INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include criminal investigations?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</li> </ul>	
113. Did your sample of STAFF-ON- INMATE SEXUAL HARASSMENT investigation files include administrative investigations?	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> <li>NA (NA if you were unable to review any staff-on-inmate sexual harassment investigation files)</li> </ul>	
114. Provide any additional comments regarding selecting and reviewing sexual abuse and sexual harassment investigation files.	No text provided.	
SUPPORT STAFF INFORMATION		
DOJ-certified PREA Auditors Support Staff		
115. Did you receive assistance from any DOJ-CERTIFIED PREA AUDITORS at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre- onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	

Non-certified Support Staff		
116. Did you receive assistance from any NON-CERTIFIED SUPPORT STAFF at any point during this audit? REMEMBER: the audit includes all activities from the pre- onsite through the post-onsite phases to the submission of the final report. Make sure you respond accordingly.	<ul> <li>Yes</li> <li>No</li> </ul>	
AUDITING ARRANGEMENTS AND COMPENSATION		
121. Who paid you to conduct this audit?	• The audited facility or its parent agency	
	My state/territory or county government employer (if you audit as part of a consortium or circular auditing arrangement, select this option)	
	A third-party auditing entity (e.g., accreditation body, consulting firm)	
	Other	

#### Standards

#### Auditor Overall Determination Definitions

- Exceeds Standard (Substantially exceeds requirement of standard)
- Meets Standard (substantial compliance; complies in all material ways with the stand for the relevant review period)
- Does Not Meet Standard (requires corrective actions)

#### **Auditor Discussion Instructions**

Auditor discussion, including the evidence relied upon in making the compliance or noncompliance determination, the auditor's analysis and reasoning, and the auditor's conclusions. This discussion must also include corrective action recommendations where the facility does not meet standard. These recommendations must be included in the Final Report, accompanied by information on specific corrective actions taken by the facility.

115.11	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Policy 5.1.2-A Sexual Abuse/Assault Prevention and Intervention GEO Policy 5.1.2-E PREA Investigation Procedure
	GEO Organizational Chart
	Riverbend Correctional Facility (RCF) Organizational Chart
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA)
	Employee Handbook
	Inmate Handbook

115.11 (a): GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2. A -and GEO Policy 5.1.2.E- exceed the requirements of this standard. The agency's zero tolerance against sexual abuse is clearly established and the policy outlines the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment allegations. The agency and institution policies outline a zero-tolerance policy for all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

GEO Policies includes definitions of prohibited behaviors regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and sanctions for those found to have participated in prohibited behaviors. Inmates are informed orally about the zero-tolerance policy and the PREA program during in-processing and additional admission and orientation presentations. The orientation is offered in English and in Spanish. Additional program information is contained in the Inmate manual, and postings distributed throughout the facility (observed during the tour). All written documents are available in English and Spanish. Additional interpretive services are available for Inmates who do not speak or read English. Both institution staff and inmates are provided with a wealth of opportunities to become aware of PREA policies and procedures. All employees receive initial training and annual training, as well as updates throughout the year. Newly hired correctional officers attend the Georgia Department of Corrections Academy where they received additional training on PREA.

The institution meets the standards with all the programs they have implemented to ensure the inmates and staff understand its position on zero tolerance. Yearly GEO conducts a PREA review of the facility to determine level of compliance and to identify concerns that need to be addressed by the PREA compliance manager and the facility administrator.

115.11 (b): GEO employs an upper-level, agency-wide PREA Coordinator at the corporate level. The agency's organizational chart depicts the position within the agency. The PREA Coordinator oversee the agency's efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of GEO's facilities. GEO has a team of staff that support the PREA coordinator efforts in meeting all PREA standards. The administrative team meets on a regular basis to develop strategies to establish a culture that provides a safe environment for staff and inmates. The PREA Coordinator's team are deeply knowledgeable about PREA and best practices to carry out the Agency's approach to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse or sexual allegations.

115.11 (c): GEO ensures that all its facilities have a PREA Compliance Manager with sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facilities PREA efforts. The facility's organizational chart illustrates the PREA Compliance Manager's position within the facility. At Riverbend, the PREA compliance manager is supervised by the Facility Administrator. RCF provides support staff for assisting the PREA compliance manager with his task in carrying out the PREA mandates from GEO. The administrative team meets on a regular basis to develop strategies to establish a culture that provides a safe environment for staff and inmates. Though out the tour, staff, and es new the PREA compliance managers name and role at the facility. Both the GEO Group PREA Coordinator and Facility Compliance Manager advised they have sufficient time and authority to coordinate efforts to comply with PREA standards. The facility provides

additional support for PREA related investigations, policy reviews, mock audits and follow up to corporate PREA coordinator's office with questions or concerns.
Compliance was determined by review of agency organization chart, agency, and facility policies, both staff and inmate training orientation power point presentations, posters, offender manual and interviews with staff, contractors, volunteer, and inmates further provided exceed compliance with this standard.

115.12	Contracting with other entities for the confinement of inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation was reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	Riverbend Correctional Facility Contract Statement of Work
	Statement of Fact
	GEO is a private provider and does not contract for the confinement of their inmates; therefore, this standard is not applicable to this facility. The contracting statement of work mandates that Riverbend Correctional Facility remain in full compliance with PREA standards.

115.13	Supervision and monitoring
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	Daily unit logbooks
	PREA Annual Facility Assessment Staff Rosters
	Riverbend Correctional Facility Schematics
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Unannounced Rounds

115.13 (a)(b): Geo Policy 5.1.2-A requires the facility to review the staffing plans on an annual basis. Interviews with the facility administrator and executive staff revealed compliance with the PREA, and that other safety and security issues are always a primary focus when they consider and review their respective staffing plans. The facility has a Staffing Report that is developed for each pay period. The facility provides the bimonthly reports that includes mandatory post and hold over staffing to meet the mandatory posts. This report also includes the number of positions authorized, the number filled, the number vacant, recruitment efforts and any staffing concerns during the pay period. The Facility Administrator meets weekly with his executive staff, including Chief of Security, Lieutenant, and the Human Resource Manager (HRM) to address staffing issues as they relate to the PREA. Georgia Department of Corrections requires the facility to review the staffing plans on an annual basis. Interviews with the facility administrator and executive staff revealed compliance with the PREA, and that other safety and security issues are always a primary focus when they consider and review their respective staffing plans. The staffing plan is based on a population of 1500 inmates.

The staffing plan is based on the following criteria:

- Generally accepted detention and correctional practices.
- Judicial findings of inadequacy.
- Findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies.
- Findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies.
- All components of the facility's physical plant.
- The composition of the inmate population.
- The number and placement of supervisory staff.
- Institution programs occurring on a particular shift.
- Applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards.

- The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse; and – Other relevant factors.

The institution has been provided with all necessary resources to support the programs and procedures to ensure compliance with the PREA standards. The audit included staff interviews, and rosters. Supervisory and Administrative staff members routinely make unannounced rounds. These rounds are documented in Unit Logs located in each housing unit.

There have been no judicial findings of inadequacy from federal investigative agencies or findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies relevant to this standard. All essential posts are filled each shift and no essential posts are kept open for salary savings. When programs are offered, staffing is increased to provide additional supervision. There has been no deviation from the staffing plan based on interviews with facility administrator and PAQ.

115.13 (c): GEO mandates that whenever necessary and no less than annually, the staffing plan is reviewed and documented during the Annual PREA Facility Assessment. This completed form is submitted to the Corporate PREA Coordinator and the Corporate Divisional Vice President for signature and approval of any recommendations made to the established staffing plan to include the deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies or the allocations of additional resources to maintain compliance to the plan. In interview with GEO's PREA Coordinator, he reported being consulted regarding assessments or adjustments to the staffing plan through incident reviews of substantiated and unsubstantiated allegations and through the Annual PREA Facility Assessments he reviews and approves for each of the agency facilities annually. The latest staffing assessment was in September 7, 2022.

13 (d): According to facility policy and practice requiring department heads, facility executive staff and intermediate and higher-level custody supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds on all shifts within their respective areas to identify and deter employee sexual abuse and sexual harassment. Policy also mandates that other staff are prohibited from telling other staff when supervisors are making unannounced rounds. The review of Institution Duty Officer (IDO) unannounced PREA rounds.

The facility housing unit logs confirmed that intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors, including shift supervisors and department heads, conduct and document such visits throughout the institution, during the day, at night and on the weekends. Additionally, the intermediate staff were interviewed. Each shift supervisor visits areas of the facility during the days, evenings, and weekend. The Shift Supervisor documents the visits on logbooks located in housing unit control room.

Staff members are prohibited from alerting other employees regarding unannounced rounds. Interviews with housing unit officers also confirmed that supervisory staff document these visits on the unit log. The auditor reviewed logbooks during the tour and found multiple signs in documentation on each shift. While touring the control room, the control room officers showed her computerized PREA rounds documentation. The facility provided a page on each housing unit logs books for a 24-hour period of time.

Exceed Compliance was further determined by staff interviews conducted during the tour of all areas of the facility and interviews with the Chief of Security, Facility Administrator, PCM, Human Resource Manager and Correctional Staff; reviews of documented staffing rosters, daily supervisory checks, and facility workforce meeting records; pay period staffing reports and the examination of the video monitoring system. A comprehensive tour of the facilities was conducted during the audit that included looking for blind spots, reviewing cameras coverage and available staff in areas that inmates are assigned. A detailed review of the cameras was conducted by the auditor during the onsite tour. It was determined that the additional cameras and mirrors has enhanced the facility and reduced the blind spots where possible. The facility has made additional funding available to enhance in recruiting staff.

115.14	Youthful inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	Georgia Correctional Statue
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	Riverbend Correctional Facility (RCF) Contract for Service
	Statement of Fact
	Riverbend Correctional Facility does not house youthful inmates. Compliance was determined by review of Contract for Services, policy and interviews with intake staff, facility administrator and agency designee. The facility administrator provided a statement of fact that the facility is not allowed to house youthful offenders.

115.15	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Video Camera/Housing Unit Fixed Camera Digital Video Maintenance and Retention
	Training Curriculum
	RCF Staff Training
	Shift Logs- Announced gender presence Housing Unit Logbooks
	Unannounced Rounds
	Transgender Lists with Shower and Pat Down Search Preference
	Statement of Fact
	PAQ

115.15 (a): RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act mandates that cross-gender strip or cross-gender body cavity searches are prohibited, except in emergency situations or when performed and documented by a medical practitioner. Officers are required to document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches. All security staff receive training on how to conduct cross-gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner. training on how to conduct cross-gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner. Training on how to conduct cross-gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner. The lesson plan of this training was provided for review. Staff sign a PREA Basic

Acknowledgement form acknowledging receipt and understand of the training received. Review of random staff training records and in interview with security staff, revealed staff are receiving this training at pre-service and annually. Interviews with staff confirmed that they were aware of the prohibition of visual body cavity or strip searches of the inmates of the opposite sex except in exigent circumstances.

115.15 (b): The facility houses male inmates. It does have one transgender inmates that has requested hormone therapy. Each transgender meets with the Transgender Care Committee and are allowed to provide staff preference for pat down searches.

115.15 (c): All staff are required to document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches. The facility also maintains a log of all strip searches. This log indicates date, time, purpose and inmate's gender and gender of persons conducting the strip searches. The auditor reviewed the log which contained no entries of cross-gender searches.

115.15 (d): RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their Breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks. The living areas showers have curtains that provide for inmate privacy while showering. Toilet areas have partitions with doors to allow inmates to use the restroom without being viewed by staff. The medical area has a wraparound curtain for privacy during examinations. All toilets in the living units have partitions and a wall in front of the toilet to provide privacy. All other areas that were reviewed during the tour had partitioned or single toilets with a door to provide privacy. All residents stated they can shower, use the toilettoilet, and change clothes without being seen by staff members.

The facility has implemented a policy that all opposite gender staff working the units will announce themselves prior to walking the range to allow inmates the opportunity to prepare themselves from a privacy perspective. Inmates interviewed acknowledged they were allowed to shower, dress, and use the toilet without being viewed by staff of the opposite gender.

Postings are located throughout the living units that female staff are assigned to work in housing units.

115.15 (e): RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act address searches of transgender and intersex inmates. Facilities shall not search or physically examine a transgender or intersex inmate solely to determine their genital status. If the genital status is unknown, it may be determined during private conversation with the individual, by reviewing medical records or by learning this information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner. At the time of the onsite audit, there was one(1) inmate who disclosed being transgender or intersex. 115.15 (f): All staff at RCF receive training on how to conduct cross-gender pat searches and searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner. Staff receive Limits to Cross Gender Viewing & Searches training. The lesson plan of this training was provided for review. Staff sign a PREA Basic Acknowledgement form acknowledging receipt and understand of the training received. Review of random staff training records and interviews with security staff, revealed staff are receiving this training at pre-service and annually. Interviews with staff confirmed that they were aware of the prohibition of visual body cavity or strip searches of the inmates of a different sex except in exigent circumstances. Interviews with transgender inmate indicated she is allowed to shower by herself . and are provided a preference sheet upon arrival as to what gender she preferred to conduct pat search. Based on documentation in the logbooks of shower times, interviews with random staff and transgender inmate that stated she is allowed to shower by herself it was determined that transgender inmates are allowed to shower by themselves. The transgender inmate indicated that staff and other inmates have been respectful and use the female pronoun the majority of the time when she is in a conversation with them. She indicated that she feels safe at the facility. Based on the review of policies, training, and notices regarding the presence of female staff in the units, observation of the showering/dressing areas and interviews with staff and inmates it has been determined that RCF is in compliance with this standard.

115.16	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in determining compliance with this standard: GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A

RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act

PREA Language Line Service Directions

PREA Language Line Services Facility Translator List

MOU RCF and Southern Crescent Rape Crisis

PREA Posters (English, Spanish, Creole, French, German, and Russian) ADA training

Statement of Fact

Inmate Handbook

TTY Tablet

115.15 (a): RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act mandates that the facility shall not discriminate against inmate with known disabilities and shall provide reasonable accommodations to ensure access to programs, activities, and services in accordance with the Americans with Disabilities Act and the provisions established in this Policy. The center has several bilingual staff at the facility and interviews with residents and staff indicated they knew staff members that were bilingual and utilized their services in communicating with the inmate population. Through policy and practice, the facility staff ensures that inmates with all disabilities listed in 115.16a have an equal opportunity to participate in and benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment. The facility has TTY machines for hard or hearing inmates.

115.15 (b)(c): The targeted inmates interviewed stated they were instructed about PREA compliance and felt safe from sexual abuse. All PREA related information (written information), including postings, Brochures and handouts are available in English, and Spanish. The facility has access to translation services and written access in other languages. Staff also may read information to inmates when necessary. Agency and facility policies prohibit inmates from being relied on as readers or any types of assistants, except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety. The facility maintains a list of staff that are bilingual. During the onsite audit there was one hard of hearing inmates, one blind inmate and one visually impaired inmate interviewed. All indicated they were aware of PREA, had received training on PREA and were aware of the auditor being at the facility during the onsite audit. The facility provided a list of inmates that were Spanish speaking. Interviews with the randomly selected target inmates indicated there was only one inmate at the facility that did not speak Spanish and the targeted inmates provided the name of this inmate. He was interviewed using the language line to determine the accessibility of the service. The language line staff were extremely fluent and provided the appropriate service to the auditor. Most of the other targeted inmates indicated they received their orientation and comprehensive PREA education brochures and handbook in Spanish. One inmate indicated he could speak some English but had limited reading in English and was provided a English handbook. The auditor reviewed the process where inmate sign for the handbook at the time they get their facility clothing. It was recommended that the clothing be issued separate from the handbook. The inmate should receive the handbook prior to signing for the handbook. Also prior to giving the inmate the handbook or any PREA instructions the staff should determine if the inmate spoke and read English. One inmate was hard of hearing, however he has a hearing aid and understood the comprehensive education and is able to communicate with staff when has his hearing aid activated. One resident that with limited vision indicated that the facility provided him with information is large print and he has received new glasses that have aided him in his vision.

Compliance of this standard was confirmed by review of agency policy, contracting services for language interpretation services and interviews with PCM, Case Manager, Medical Administrator, bilingual staff members, ESL inmates and hard of hearing inmate.

115.17	Hiring and promotion decisions
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in determining compliance with this standard:
	GEO Policy 5.1.2-A Sexual Abuse/Assault Prevention and Intervention
	GEO on-line application form PREA annual disclosure waiver
	PREA promotional disclosure waiver
	Background Checks for contractors with contact with inmates Promotion Letter and PREA Promotion Disclosure Waiver Personnel Records
	Accurate Background Checks
	115.17 (a)(b): The Departmental Policy for Background Investigation and Appointment of Certified Officers does not allow hiring, promotions or contracting of anyone who has been convicted of sexual abuse in prison/jail or in community. Background checks are done on all employees, contractors, and volunteers. Background checks (FCIC/NCIC) are conducted on all new hires. The department is connected as a level II employer and any arrest is provided to the department upon entry into the system. All volunteer and contract re-checks are completed annually and are up to date. Interview with the Human Resource Manager and review of random employee, contractor and volunteer files were used to verify compliance to this standard. Prior to a transfer to the facility or promotions, employees' background review is conducted utilizing the Accurate Background Checks and answer the

following questions. These same questions are asked each member of staff during the annual staff appraisal.

1. Have you engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lock up, community confinement facility, Juvenile facility, or otherinstitution? (Please note that sexual abuse in this setting includes sexual acts with the consent of inmate, detainee, resident etc.).

2. Have you ever been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?

3. Have you ever been civilly or administratively adjudicated of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in thecommunity facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?

115.15 (c): The agency requires that all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates have a criminal background check. Criminal background checks for all potential employees are completed through the Georgia Department of Corrections background division and through a contract with Accurate background checks. For those considered for promotions or who transfer from another facility, an internal GEO PREA verification and a background check through the Accurate are completed. If an applicant answers on their application they are a prior institutional employee, information from prior institutional employers shall be requested. According to the PAQ in the past 12 months, there were 76 background checks completed.

115.15 (d): The facility performs criminal background checks through the GDC Background division before enlisting the services of any contractor or volunteer. In information provided on the Pre-Audit Questionnaire, in the past 12 months there were 24 (background check for a contractor.

115.17 (e): Agency policy requires that criminal background record checks be conducted at least every five years for current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates. In an interview with the human resource director, the facility maintains a spread sheet on all staff hiring dates and completes a background check prior to the staff five-year tenure. This was verified by reviewing staff with five year tenure personnel files.

115.17 (f): RCF asks all applicants and employees who have contact with offenders directly about previous sexual misconduct. Applicants are asked these questions on the GEO application. Employees and contractors complete a PREA Disclosure and Authorization Form – Annual Performance Evaluation annually. For consideration for promotions or transfers, employees complete a PREA Disclosure and Authorization Form Promotions as well as a GEO internal PREA verification.

115.17 (g): Employees and contractors have a duty to disclose such misconduct referenced in this standard. Material omissions regarding this type of misconduct

would be grounds for termination. The submission of false information by any applicant is grounds for not hiring the applicant.
 115.17 (h): Unless prohibited by law, GEO will provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom the individual has applied for work.
 Exceed compliance was determined by pre audit documentation, the PAQ, interviews with the human resources director and review of thirty random staff files including new hires, promotions, and contractor files. All required information was noted

utilizing the PREA Employee/Contractor worksheet and reviewing personnel files.

115.18	Upgrades to facilities and technologies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in determining compliance with this standard:
	Facility Camera System
	Annual PREA Assessment
	Monitoring System Upgrades
	Statement of Fact
	There have been no additional modifications or expansions to RCF during the last audit period. The facility has made upgrades to the camera system utilizing advanced enhanced cameras and monitoring programs. Exceed Compliance was determined by review of facility camera coverage and interviews with Agency Head Designee, GEO Group PREA coordinator, Facility Administrator and Chief of Security (Major). The new high-definition cameras provided the ability to zoom in and out, scan the areas, and are truly high definition.
	In interview with the Vice President, Risk Management (agency head designee) he stated that the agency uses technology to assist the facilities in keeping inmates safe from sexual abuse. If new facilities are designed or there are modifications to existing facilities, the agency's PREA Coordinator works closely with the Corporate Project Development Team.

115.21	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

### **Auditor Discussion**

The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

Performance Work Statement

Adult/Adolescent Forensic Sexual Assault Examination blank form

MOU with Southern Crescent Sexual Assault Center (SASAC)

Attempted MOU with Milledgeville Police Department

Staff Advocacy Training Certificate

Statement of Fact

115.21 (a): GDC is responsible for conducting criminal and administrative sexual abuse investigations. Prior to conducting an administrative investigation for non criminal behavior, GEO will request GDC if they would like to conduct the administrative investigations. If they choose to defer to the facility, a trained investigator will conduct administrative investigations.

115.21 (b): Per interview with the PCM, the agency and facility follows a protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable and adapted from or otherwise based on the U.S. Department of Justice's office on Violence Against Women Publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/Adolescents". Riverbend does not house youthful offenders.

115.21 (c): An MOU was signed with Southern Crescent Sexual Abuse. Baldwin County Hospital was contacted about SANE services. The hospital indicated they would provide treatment and transfer patient to a SANE facility located in Macon Georgia. According to the information reported on the Pre-Audit Questionnaire, in the last 12 months one SANE exam was performed. However, this was a mistake in the PAQ and was rectified by statement of fact by the facility administrator had Health Services Administrator.

115.21 (d): RCF has a MOU with Southern Crescent Sexual Assault Center (SASAC) for victim advocate service. Inmates are made aware of the victim Advocacy support services available to them and how to access them in the Inmate Handbook and on PREA posters displayed throughout the facility in English and Spanish. The facility also trains Mental Health Staff to provide victim advocacy services as well as emotional support mental health treatment.

115.21 (e): The terms of the require an advocate to accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical exam process and the investigatory process and provide additional emotional support services. The SANE staff indicated they include a victim advocate to meet with the victim prior to the examination.

15.21 (f): Based on statement of fact by RCF facility administrator, GDC staff conduct all investigations at the facility. GDC may refer to State law enforcement for assistance in criminal investigations and may request the facility to assist in conducting sexual harassment or non-criminal administrative investigations or some parts of administrative investigations such as staffing levels, blind spots, or staff neglect.
Compliance of this standard were confirmed by review of the policies, MOUs, Statement of Fact, PAQ, investigative files and interviews with facility investigator, PREA compliance manager and facility administrator.

115.22	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	GDC Website
	Monthly PREA tracking Report.
	GDC Website Referral for OPR
	Investigative Report
	PAQ
	115.22 (a): RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act establishes responsibility for investigations. The facility refers allegations to the Georgia Department of Corrections. All sexual abuse allegations are investigated by the Georgia Department of Corrections. Facility staff is required to preserve the crime scene until the investigator arrives to process and collect the evidence. An Inspector from the Office GDC will process evidence from the crime scene. Facility investigators and the GDC staff are trained in conducting sexual assault investigations in confined settings/ prisons. A review of documentation and staff interviews confirmed compliance with this standard. A review of training documents confirmed that all investigators

received instruction in conducting sexual assault investigations in confined spaces/ prisons. Interviews with staff, as well as an examination of policy/supporting documentation, confirm compliance with this standard. A review of training documents confirmed that RCF investigator received training in conducting sexual assault investigations in confined spaces/prisons.
Interviews with staff as well as an examination of supporting documentation confirm the facility's compliance with this standard.
115.22 (b): Policy ensures that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that appear to be criminal be referred for investigation to an agency that has the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations. Based on statement of fact by RCF facility administrator, RCF refers all allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment to the Georgia Department of Corrections. The facility documents all referrals of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment. All allegations are documented and tracked on the PREA Case Tracking Log. Interview with facility investigators confirmed this procedure. The agency policy regarding the administrative investigation of allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and referrals for criminal investigations is published on the agency website and can be accessed at https://www.geogroup.com/ PREA.
115.22 (c): Information published on the GEO website describes the responsibilities of the agency and the investigating agency in the investigation of allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment.
Compliance was determined by review of investigations, policy, statement of fact, GEO website and interviews with investigator, agency head, PREA coordinator, PREA compliance manager and facility administrator.

115.31	Employee training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in determining compliance with this standard:
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Training and roll call meetings.

Annual Refresher Training

PREA Lesson Plan 1-10 Slides 1-37

Training Power Point Presentation Curriculum Staff attendance Record

Random staff training records.

115.31 (a): All staff are provided training that includes all areas of PREA training and protocol for sexual abuse prevention, intervention, reporting, and protecting the inmates and preserving the possible crime scene. Staff at the center go to the Georgia Department of Corrections Academy in Forsyth, Georgia for their Pre-Service training. Prior to attending the BCOT, staff include preservice training at the facility.

The preservice and annual training includes:

Zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment

How to fulfill staff responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures.

Inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

Inmates and employees rights to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment.

- Dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement.
- Common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims.
- How to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse.
- How to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates.

How to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates.

How to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities.

How to conduct cross gender pat searches:

Employees carry a PREA first responder reference card. All staff receive annual refresher training in all areas of the PREA standards. Yearly refresher training is through the Computer Based PREA training program Certification. A review of the training curriculum, training sign-in sheets and other related documentation, as well as staff interviews, confirmed staff are required to acknowledge, in writing, not only that they received PREA training, but that they understood it.

115.31 (b): GEO Policy recognizes that the facility houses male detainee. Policy mandates that the facility will be required to modify training to meet the needs of a different population. Staff assigned to specialized Post such as transportation officers,

supervisors, and investigators receive additional training. 115.31 (c): According to the computer database for training, all staff have received training in the last 12 months. Staff interviewed indicated they had received additional refresher training during the last six months. At RCF staff receive annual inservice training. According to the PAQ and in interviews with staff between preservice and in-service training, the facility provides additional training on a monthly basis through staff meetings and employees receive emails regarding PREA updates and information. Training Posters are displayed in various locations throughout the facility. 115.31 (d): Upon completion of PREA pre-service and annual in-service training, staff sign an Acknowledgement of Receipt of Training and Brochures Sexual Assault Prevention form and a Preservice Training Record and an In-service Training Record form acknowledging receipt and understanding of all training received, including PREA. They also sign a PREA Basic Acknowledgment Training form upon completion of general PREA training and a Cross Gender Pat Searches & Searches of Transgender and Intersex acknowledgment form. Documentation of annual PREA training for employees is recorded on individual training records maintained by the training coordinator. A sampling of nineteen (19) staff training files were reviewed and contained documentation supporting compliance with this standard. All staff interviewed indicated that they received the required PREA training initially and annually. Officers receive additional PREA training/updates when needed and officers assigned to the restrictive housing unit also receive additional training. The extensive training provided and the staff's knowledge of PREA requirements confirmed that the facility is compliant with this standard. A review of documentation and staff interviews further confirmed that the facility is compliant with this standard.

115.32	Volunteer and contractor training
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Documentation of Contractor Training Acknowledgment of Receipt of Training and Brochures
	115.32 (a): RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act mandates that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention,

detection, and response policies and procedures. The facility employees 24 contractor. The facility has one volunteer that have received volunteer training in the last 12 months.

115.31 (b)(c): All contractors receive the same PREA training as employees prior to assignment and sign a PREA Basic Acknowledgement Form and an Acknowledgement of Receipt of PREA Brochures.

The volunteer training curriculum was provided for review. The training included agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with intimates. Volunteers receive this training annually and sign a Documentation of Volunteer Training Form. Volunteer and contractor training is maintained in the volunteer electronic files.

Compliance with this standard was determined through review of procedure, PREA lesson plan, Contractors and volunteer information, signed PREA acknowledgements and interviews with contractor and volunteer.

115.33	Inmate education
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Inmate Handbook
	Receipt of training for new arrivals Receipt of training for transfers Inmate PREA Brochure
	Facility PREA Postings
	Inmate Receipt of PREA Brochure
	Inmate Receipt of PREA Comprehensive Education Inmate Orientation PowerPoint
	Twenty two inmate training files reviewed.

115.33 (a): GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2.A and RCF Policy 10.22 mandates all inmate receive information at time of intake and if transferred from another facility about the zero- tolerance policy and how to report incidents of sexual abuse or sexual harassment, their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents and are informed of the agency/facility policies and procedures for responding to such incidents. In interview with intake staff, on the day of arrival, inmate receive an Inmate Handbook, and a Sexual Assault Prevention and Reporting Inmate/Student Information brochure and sign a Receipt for Adult Inmate Handbook and Adult Disciplinary Procedures form. This was observed by the audit during the intake of an inmate. Also, during the intake inmate were watching a PREA video that included the same information.

115.33 (b): Inmate receive comprehensive PREA education as part of the orientation process usually on the second day of their arrival, however by policy at a minimum within the first seven days of arrival to the facility. Inmate in Prison Rape Elimination Act (PREA) Inmate Education Program acknowledgement form acknowledging receipt of PREA education. The curriculum provided to inmates was provided for review. When entering the Intake area all inmates are provided with a poster that includes all required orientation requirements. The inmate also sign for the handbook that they received when they receive the facility clothing and toiletry bag. It was recommended that the inmate received the handbook prior to receiving the handbook.

115.33 (c): The intake staff and PREA compliance manager stated that all inmates have received the training with the exception of the inmate that arrived during the audit. They had received the PREA intake orientation and were scheduled to receive the additional comprehensive training after I completed my onsite audit.

115.33 (d): All PREA education provided to inmates is in formats accessible to all inmates, including those who are limited English proficient, deaf, visually impaired, otherwise disabled, or have limited reading skills. The Inmate Handbook, the PREA brochure and all verbal information given is provided in both English and Spanish. A contract for ESL inmates to provide translation of any other languages. The facility does not house residents that are blind or deaf.

115.33 (e): The facility maintains documentation of inmate' participation in PREA education. In review of 22 random inmate files reviewed, all had the documentation of receipt of written PREA education material. Additionally, over forty inmates were interviewed and stated they had received orientation training and PREA handbooks as well as comprehensive training when they arrived at the facility.

115.33 (f): Throughout the facility were posters including Sexual Assault Prevention and Reporting Posters; GEO Zero Tolerance Posters, End the Silence Posters. and Victim Advocacy Services. These posters were informative and included telephone numbers and addresses. Also Notice of Audit was posted throughout the facility.

Per interviews with the PCM and case manager they stated PREA education provided to inmates is in formats accessible to all inmates, including those who are limited English proficient, or have limited reading skills. The facility has PREA pamphlets, posters handbooks and form available in both English and Spanish. The facility has bilingual staff that is used as interpreters. A contract with the Language Line Services provides translation of any other languages. The limited English proficient offenders interviewed acknowledged the information was provided in formats that could be understood.

On information reported on the PAQ, there were 1054 offenders admitted to the facility in the past 12 months and 100% of the offenders were given PREA information at intake. There were 964 offenders whose length of stay in the facility was for 30 days or more during the past 12 months and 100% of the offenders received the comprehensive education.

Based on review of the training curriculum, interviews with inmates and staff it was determined that the facility meets the standards for training inmates.

115.34	Specialized training: Investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	Investigative Training Curriculum
	Specialized Training Certificates Training Rosters
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	115.34 (a): RCF policy mandates that in addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts or assist in conducting investigation they will have been trained to conduct sexual abuse investigation in a correction setting.
	115.34 (b): The facility Investigators have completed investigating sexual abuse in a confinement setting and additional online training on investigating sexual abuse. Training includes Investigating Sexual Abuse through GEO training staff. The training curriculum was reviewed and included interviewing sexual abuse victims, proper use of the Miranda and Garrity warnings, sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral.
	115.34 (d): The facility has one trained investigator. The agency maintains

documentation that investigators have received specialized training on conducting sexual abuse investigations in confinement settings. Upon completion of the training, investigators receive a certificate of completion. In review of investigators' training files, investigators have completed this specialized training, as well as general training provided to all employees and documentation is maintained by the facility. In the interview with the facility investigators, he confirmed receiving specialized training and general PREA education provided to all employees and were able to confirm the topics included in the specialized training they received. At RCF, GDC conducts all investigations or refer back to the facility to conduct administrative investigations.

Compliance was determined by review of the training curriculum, investigator training records, investigators certificate of completion, investigative reports, and interviews with PREA Coordinator, Agency Head, facility investigators, and facility administrator.

115.35	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	Certificates of Completion of PREA Specialized Training Signed PREA training acknowledgements
	PREA Training Certification
	Mandatory Pre-Service PREA Questions- Nurse Acknowledgment of Receipt of Training - Nurse
	115.35 (a): The medical staff at RCF received the generalized training and specialized training through GEO and GDC. The training curriculum includes how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse, how to respond effectively and professionally to victims of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, how and to whom to report allegations or suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment as well of many other medical and mental health topics such as clinical interventions, and local policies.
	115.35 (b): The medical and mental health staff do not conduct forensic examinations.
	115.35 (c): Staff received a certification on completion for specialized training. They sign an acknowledgement of training for the specialized and generalized PREA training. Both documents were provided in the pre audit documentation and verified

through interviews with nurses.

115.35 (d): All medical staff assigned to the facility attend the same training as required mandated for employees by §115.31. They sign and acknowledge the statement that they received this training. In addition to this training the staff that were assigned to the facility through a contractor received specialized training.

Exceed compliance was determined by review of the training curriculums, copy of certificates and acknowledgement statement and interviews with the medical director and mental health staff.

115.41	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	PAQ
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	Riverbend Policy 10.22
	Completed RCF Victim/Aggressor Classification screening form
	Completed PREA Vulnerability Reassessment Questionnaire
	Mental health referrals.
	Mental Health Evaluations
	115.41 (a): GEO policy 5.1.2-A, states, "a. All Individuals in a GEO Facility or Program shall be assessed during intake and upon transfer for their risk of being sexually abused by another Individual in a GEO Facility or Program or being sexually abusive towards another Individual in a GEO Facility or Program.
	This screening shall take place within 24 hours of arrival at all Facilities utilizing an objective screening instrument. Riverbend Correctional Facility utilizes Victim/ Aggressor Screening Assessment. (VACSA)
	In addition to the screening instrument, people tasked with screening shall conduct a thorough review of any available records (i.e., medical files or pre-sentence investigation reports, etc.) which can assist them with risk assessment.
	The facility conducts initial and reassessments utilizing the Victim/Aggressor

Classification Screening which is an objective and standardized screening instrument by a trained Classification staff member. The instrument and related reviews of documents provided at intake has been designed to identify the potential risk each inmate presents for predatory behaviors or their potential risk to be preyed upon by other inmates.

According to information provided on the PAQ, in the past 12 months, 1035 inmates that entered the facility were assessed for their risk of victimization or abusiveness upon arrival. Random sampling of inmate files and inmate interviews confirm inmates were typically screened within 24 hours of arrival.

115.41 (b): Intake screening takes place within 24 hours of the inmate's arrival to the facility. The process that is utilized includes part of the initial intake. Once the inmate is searched, they receive PREA pamphlets and handbooks. During that time, the case manager or staff assigned to conduct the screening review the inmate's file and meets with the inmate. During this meeting staff introduce PREA to the inmate and explain the purpose of the screening with the residents. The inmate is then asked to sign to acknowledge they have received the screening. If the inmate has past history of victimization or predator behavior or if the inmate admits to being transgender the screening staff completes a referral that is sent to the mental health staff to set up an interview with the resident. Medical and mental health staff are available during the screening process conducting a medical review and suicide screening. Most often the mental health staff will meet with the inmate prior to the referral, however according to the screening staff a referral is still completed as required by the data base for tracking.

115.41 (c): The Victim/Aggressor Screening Assessment is an objective and standardized screening instrument that is conducted by a trained Classification or mental health staff members.

115.41 (d)(e): The screening includes the screener's thorough review of any available records available to assist with determining the inmate's risk assessment. The Sexual Risk Indicator Tool was reviewed.

It contains:

- Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?
- The age of the inmate?
- The physical build of the inmate?
- Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?
- Whether the inmates' criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?
- Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?

• Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming?

- Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?
- The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?
- Perpetrators of sexual abuse during incarceration?
- Prior conviction of volent offenses?
- History of Assaultive Conduct in DOC in the past 5 years?
- Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes

115.41 (f): Within a set time, not to exceed 30 days of inmate' arrival to the facility, inmates are reassessed by their assigned Case Manager for their risk for victimization and abusiveness using the Follow-up, Annual & Reassessment Sexual Violence Assessment Tool. In information provided on the Pre-Audit Questionnaire, 916 inmates were reassessed within 30 days of arrival.

115.41 (g): A inmate's risk level will also be reassessed when warranted due to a referral, request, incident of sexual abuse, or receipt of additional information. Annually during annual classification review assessments, inmates are reassessed for risk of victimization or abusiveness using the I Reassessment Sexual Violence Assessment Tool. Transgender inmates are reassessed a minimum of every six months.

115.41 (h): Policy mandates that inmates are not disciplined for refusing to answer any questions or for not disclosing complete information. Interviews with screening staff confirmed they would not discipline or coerce an inmate to answer Screening questions.

115.41 (i): RCF implemented appropriate controls on dissemination of responses to questions asked related to sexual victimization or abusiveness in order to ensure sensitive information is not exploited by employees or other individuals. Sensitive information is limited to need-to-know employees only for the purpose of treatment, programming, housing and security and management decisions.

All inmates are screened when they transfer into the facility including inmates that leave the facility for court appearance or other administrative transfer from the facility.

Compliance was determined by interview with Case Managers and the PREA Compliance Manager and in review of random inmate records and interview with inmates.

## Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

## Auditor Discussion

The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard: GEO Corporate GEO Policy 5.1.2-A

Transgender Care Committee

PREA Reassessment of Transgender Inmate

115.42 (a): GEO policy 5.1.2-A, explains the use of PREA screening information. The agency uses the information from the risk screening form to make housing, bed, work, education and program assignments with the goal of separating inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from inmates with those at high risk of being sexually abusive. The facility maintains a Bed Location chart that determines the atrisk inmates and possible predator inmates. The classification Lt. and PREA compliance managers explained that prior to assigning an offender to a bed the intake staff Lt. reviews the open beds to determine the rooms that house at risk and possible predator inmates. This same information it utilized in programming and work assignments for inmates.

115.42 (b): Individualized determinations are made about how to ensure the safety of each offender. Inmates who score at risk of victimization or abusiveness are referred for further evaluation with the Mental Health provider. Inmates have an option of refusing these services.

115.42 (c): GEO policy mandates that making housing and programming assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, the facility will consider on a case-by-case basis whether the placement would present management or security problems. Inmates who self-disclose being gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex are tracked on a LGBTI List that is maintained by the PREA Compliance Manager. Transgender and intersex inmates are housed after meeting with the Transgender Care Committee (TCC). The PREA Compliance Manager, Chief of Security, Classification Supervisor and Health Services Director make up the TCC. The TCC would meet with the inmate, complete a GEO Statement of Search/Shower/Pronoun Preference Form and documents the meeting on the Transgender Care Committee Summary. At the time of the on-site audit visit, there was one inmate who self-disclosed being transgender or intersex assigned to the facility. Based on interviews with intake staff and transgender interviews, the inmate was asked about their safety during the intake process and again during the meeting with the TCC.

115.42 (d) – (f) A transgender or intersex inmate's housing and program assignments will be reassessed every six months to review any threats to safety experienced by the offender. Transgender and intersex inmate placement and programming are reviewed as needed, but at least every six months. A transgender or intersex inmates' own views of their safety is taken into consideration. When the TCC meets with transgender or intersex inmates they are given an opportunity to express their views. In conversation with the TCC committee, each member indicated that the

 -
agency and facility would house inmates based on his/ her views unless it would jeopardize the safety and security of the facility.
Transgender and intersex inmates are offered the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates as indicated in their Statement of Search/Shower/Pronoun Preference Form. The transgender inmate indicated that she could shower separate, however the other inmates in his living unit allows her to shower when she chooses and do not go into the showering area when she is showering.
GEO does not place lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender or intersex inmates in dedicated units or wings solely based on such identification. In interview with one transgender inmates and four inmate who self-disclosed being lesbian/gay, they did not feel he was housed any differently because of his sexual orientation.
During the review period there were three inmates that claim prior victimization or prior sexual predator behavior that were interviewed by the auditor. All were seen by the mental health staff and were offered mental health services within three days of arriving at the facility.
Compliance was determined by review of policy and forms and interviews with the PREA coordinator, PREA compliance manager, agency head, review of the inmate data base, interviews with transgender, gay inmates and facility administrator.

115.43	Protective Custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	Sexual Abuse/Assault Available Alternatives Assessment
	Statement of Fact
	PAQ
	115.43 (a): The procedure prohibits the placement of inmates at high risk for victimization in segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made and there is no available means of separation from the likely abuser.
	The facility utilizes a Sexual Abuse/Assault Available Alternatives Assessment whenever an inmate claims he has been sexually assaulted or abused. The same form is utilized when inmate claim fear of being placed in general population due to sexual orientation during the intake process. The alternative assessment provides

options for housing of inmates without utilizing the Restrictive Housing Unit. The facility administrator provided a state of fact that the facility has not placed an inmate in involuntary segregation in restrictive housing during the last 12 months.
115.43 (b): Inmates placed in segregated housing for this purpose have access to programs, privileges, education, and work opportunities to the extent possible. The facility will document and justify any restrictions imposed.
115.43 (c): Based on interviews, when there is a situation of an inmate alleging risk of victimization and needing to be separated from the alleged abuser, segregation would be utilized as a last alternative. If this placement was necessary, staff stated that the inmate would have access to the appropriate privileges and the justification for the placement would be documented. The inmate would be reviewed by the Institution Classification Team every 30 days.
115.43 (d): If involuntary segregated housing assignment is made, the facility will document the basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety and the reason no alternate means of separation can be arranged.
115.43 (e): In cases where involuntary segregated housing is used for longer than the initial 30 days, the facility will review the status every 30 days to determine if ongoing involuntary housing is needed.
According to information provided on the Pre-Audit Questionnaire and in interview with the Facility Administrator and security staff who supervise inmate in RHU, in the past 12 months there has not been a time that an inmate found at high risk of victimization or a inmate who alleged sexual abuse was placed in involuntary segregated housing.
Compliance with this standard was determined through review of procedures, observation during tour and interviews.

115.51	Inmate reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	PAQ
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22
	Sexual Assault Awareness Program brochure

Break the Silence PREA posters

GDC PREA hotline reporting posters

Offender Handbook

MOU with Southern Crescent Sexual Assault Center (SCSAC)

SCSAC- posters

Employee Handbook

Utilizing the Inmate phone system to call reporting Posters

## GEO website

115.51 (a): GDC policy mandate that facilities provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment, provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Policies also mandate that a private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to agency officials and that the private entity or office allow the inmate to remain anonymous upon request. Inmates are informed in the Inmate Handbook and on posters located throughout the facility and by almost all inmate telephones.

Riverbend CRF provides multiple internal ways for offenders to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment, retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment and staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents. Offenders are provided with the Sexual Assault Awareness Program brochure, the offender handbook. Various PREA posters and notification posted by all phones provide reporting options. Interviews with offenders verified that offenders knew of multiple internal ways to report.

The handbook provides the following information to inmates. The same information is found on poster throughout the facility:

" Report all instances of Sexual Assault/Harassment against you. A PREA allegation can be reported in writing ( sick call, counselor Request form, grievances, or on kind of paper) and in person by reporting it to any staff ember. If you do not feel comfortable reporting an allegation to a Security staff, a request can be made to speak with the chaplain, medical or mental health staff or by claiming a medical emergency. Reports can be made to third parties and anonymously. The number \*7732 can be dialed from the inmate phones free of change to report and allegation. The number is monitored by the Georgia Department of Corrections. Any allegation made to this number will be forwarded to the Facility PREA compliance Manager for review. An allegation can also be made to the facility PREA Compliance e Manager/ Mental Health Director. Once the allegation has been reported an investigation will be initiated. Medical and Mental Health evaluations will be offered as well.

The auditor called the speed dial numbers several times during the tour. Each telephone call was completed in a timely manner. Inmates were advised to leave a message and a person would respond to the appropriate authorities. The call the Southern Crescent Abuse Center was answered by a staff member that notified me if this was an emergency call 911. If the caller wished to speak to a victim advocate, she would connect them with a center staff member. When indicating I would like to speak to an advocate, I was connected to a staff member.
115.51 (b): The facility also provides multiple external ways for inmate to report allegations to a public or private agency that is not part of RCFF. Inmates are informed on posted information they can dial TIP, or victim advocate.
115.51(c): Staff interviewed were aware of the requirement to accept reports made verbally, anonymously and from third parties. All staff interviewed indicated they would document verbal reports immediately and notify the shift supervisor by phone or in person.
115.51 (d): Staff have access to private reporting by calling the Employee Hotline (866-568-5425) or the Corporate PREA Coordinator (561-999-5827). Information for inmate and staff reporting was found on the GEO website (https://www.geogroup.com/PREA. Third Party Reporting posters and the Employee Handbook informs employees of their responsibility of reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment and their reporting options. Staff carry with them a Sexual Abuse First Responder Card, which has the employee hotline number and the website address for anonymous reporting.
Compliance was determined by testing the telephone system, reviewing policies and procedure, posters, and the resident handbook. Compliance was also determined by interviews with inmates, staff, shift supervisors, PCM, and facility administrator.

115.52	Exhaustion of administrative remedies
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GDC Policy 227. 02 Statewide Grievance Procedure
	Statement of Fact
	115.52 (a) Riverbend Correctional Facility is mandated by contract to adhere to the Georgia Department of Corrections Grievance Procedure. The GDC system requires that if an inmate files a PREA related grievance it will be forwarded to the SART for investigation and is therefore not grievable. An inmate may file a grievance and the

facility will review the grievance and if the inmate is in imminent danger will immediately provide protection to the inmate. The grievances will be forwarded to the GDC investigative unit for investigation.
Compliance was determined by statement of fact from the facility administrator and review of the GDC grievance policy.

115.53	Inmate access to outside confidential support services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22 Acknowledgement of Receipt of Orientation Inmate PREA Handbook
	Acknowledgement of Receipt of Handbook
	Victim Advocate Posters
	MOU with Southern Crescent Assault Center Advocacy PREA posters (English and Spanish)
	115.51 (a) RCF policy 10.22 addresses the agency/facility's policies on providing offenders with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse. The facility enables reasonable communication between the offenders and these agencies in a confidential manner. The inmates are informed by the facility and according to interviews with the Southern Crescent Center (Bright House) staff of the extent to which communications will be monitored. According to the PREA compliance manager and Southern Crescent Assault Center the facility would monitor only to the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws.
	115.52 (b): Residents PREA Handbook provides a phone number and address of the speed dial number and address of the Southern Crescent Assault Center in the inmate handbook and poster located throughout the facility. The auditor called the speed dial number and spoke with a counselor with the Southern Crescent Assault Center.
	115.253 (c): The facility has an MOU with Southern Crescent Assault Center to provide victim advocacy services and follow up services following sexual abuse if requested. Interviews with the center indicated they are certified through Georgia

	Coalition Against Sexual Violence. The center indicated that inmates that call or write their office are provided with victim advocacy and emotional support services during the call. The center will make arrangements through the Facility to provide emotional support by telephone in a private office or visit inmates at an approved private office and time. The staff that visit the facility have had background checks approved prior to visiting. The facility is responsible for paying for the background checks.
	Compliance was determined by review of handbook, MOU, poster located throughout the center and interviews with the Southern Crescent Assault Center and review of the victim advocates website.

115.54	Third-party reporting
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	GDC website GEO website
	Third Party reporting posters
	115.54 (a): Reporting Posters are visible in the visitation room, lobby and is found in the inmate handbook. GEO provides Reporting system on GEO Website http://www.geogroup.com/PREA (Social Responsibility-PREA Certification Section) provides information on ways for third party reporting including anonymous reporting. Georgia Department of Corrections website outlines methods to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of inmate. Third party grievance forms are available on the website and are sent to the facility's Warden. Inmates can accomplish third- party reporting by having a friend or family member contact the OIG Bureau of State investigations through the complaint form on the OIG's website. The electronic form will go directly to the OIG for review.
	Posters on display at the facility provide the visitors, staff, and inmates with third party reporting options. Inmates can accomplish third-party reporting by having a friend or family member call the PREA hotline or email the GEO coordinators office at PREAinfo@geogroup.com. Interviews with inmates demonstrated they knew how third-party reporting could be accomplished.
	Family and friends can contact the facility administrator or PREA compliance managers or file a grievance on behalf of the inmate. This information is posted in

areas visible to staff and visitor Poster include anyone needed to report abuse/ sexual harassment or to report an allegation of Sexual Abuse/ Sexual Harassment on behalf of an individual who is or was housed in any GEO facility or program, may contact the Facility Administrator's Office in the facility where the alleged incident occurred or where the individual is housed. The visitation room had the inmate reporting poster displayed for visitors. The poster provided information for hotline posting utilizing the inmate phone system. It was revised and provides the telephone number for the TIP and PREA reporting lines for visitors.

Compliance was determined by review of the postings, reviewing the websites and interviews with PREA coordinator, PREA compliance manager of facility administrator.

LS	taff and agency reporting duties
A	uditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
A	Auditor Discussion
	he following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating ompliance with this standard:
G	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
St	taff training
S	pecialized medical training.
М	landatory reporting
S	urvey of Vulnerable Persons
G	GEO PREA Lesson Plan
St	tatement of Fact Staff Report
m re in st in or th in pr	15.61 (a):GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A mandates staff, volunteers and contractors nust take all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment seriously and are equired to report immediately any knowledge, suspicion or information regarding an ncident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and any retaliation against inmate or taff who reported such an incident, and any staff neglect or violation of esponsibilities that may have contributed to an incident or retaliation. This nformation is to be reported to the Shift Supervisor, the PREA Compliance Manager, r facility executive staff. In interviews with random staff and contractors, they knew heir reporting duties. Staff receive training on reporting. GEO and GDC have mplemented a specialized training program for medical and mental health professionals that includes duties to report, the State's vulnerable persons' reporting luties and confidential reporting duties. The facility provided a statement of fact that

there have been no allegations by vulnerable persons in the last 12 months.
115.61 (b): Policy and training mandates that apart from reporting to designated supervisors, staff are not to reveal any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone. Staff and contractors interviewed knew this information is to be kept confidential and knew whom to report allegations.
115.61 (c)(d): Medical specialized medical training includes medical staff are required to report sexual abuse and to inform inmate of their duty to report and the limitations of confidentiality at the initiation of services. The training also provides reporting of a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, under applicable mandatory reporting laws.
The facility does not house inmates under the age of 18. Medical and mental health staff interviewed confirmed this practice.
The Nurse indicated that the inmate signs a statement that includes her limitation of confidentiality.
115.61 (e): In interview with the facility administrator and his executive team, the RCFF reports all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third party and anonymous reports to OIG and facility investigators, GEO PREA coordinator or regional supervisor. There are staff reporting posters located throughout the facility. Staff carry a PREA card with this information for easy reference in making reports.
According to statement of fact there have been no reports from third parties, contractors, or volunteers in the last 12 months. Interviews with the Agency PREA coordinator and agency head confirmed that GEO mandates staff, volunteers, and contractors report all sections of this standard.
Compliance was determined by review of the policies, training curriculum and interviews with random staff, medical staff, and facility administrator.

115.62	Agency protection duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22 Prison Rape Elimination Act
	Statement of Fact

115.62 (a): GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A mandates when the facility learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, it takes immediate action to protect the alleged victim. All allegations of sexual abuse are to be handled in a confidential manner and conversations with the victim sensitive, supportive, and nonjudgmental.

The PAQ indicated in the past 12 months there were no times it was necessary for the facility to take immediate action regarding an inmate being in substantial risk of sexual abuse. The facility administrator stated that if it was suspected an inmate was at substantial risk of sexual abuse, he would immediately separate the inmate abuser or victim and investigate. Staff interviewed were aware of their responsibilities if they felt an inmate was at risk of sexual abuse. Random staff and shift supervisor indicated the inmate that was in imminent danger would be separated from the accuser. The facility administrator indicated the decision to transfer the victim or abuser would be made in concert with Georgia Department of Corrections. There has been no incident when an inmate was moved to another facility for a separation of victim or abuser.

Compliance was determined by review of policy and interviews with the GEO agency head, PREA coordinator, facility administrator, random staff and RHU Lt.

115.63	Reporting to other confinement facilities
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	PAQ
	Statement Form PREA Compliance Manager
	GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A
	115.63 (a)-(c): GEO Cooperate Policy 5.1.2-A mandates on receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, the incident will be reported to the PREA Compliance Manager. The Facility administrator will notify the agency or facility head where the abuse is alleged to have occurred. but no later than 72 hours of receiving the allegation. The PREA Compliance Manager will maintain documentation that notification was made and include all actions taken regarding the incident. Copies of this documentation will be forwarded to the OIG office and GEO PREA Coordinator.
	115.63 (d): Interview with the facility administrator and PREA compliance manager they indicated along with notification to the sending facility director the facility

	administrator will notify GDC investigative division and will document notification of an allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment and offer to provide whatever services needed by the facility investigator during the investigative process.
	According to the PAQ and statement of fact there have been one allegations of an inmate being sexually abused while confined at RCF. However, in documentation on the PAQ and in interviews with the PREA compliance manager there have been no reports from another facility that an inmate made an allegation that occurred as Riverbend Correctional Facility.
	Compliance was determined by review of the policy and interviews with intake staff, PREA compliance manager, agency head, PREA coordinator and facility administrator.

115.64	Staff first responder duties
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	PAQ
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22
	PREA lesson plan
	PREA Responder pocket card
	Coordinated Response Plan
	Investigative Reports
	Statement of Fact
	115.64 (a): GEO Policy 5.1.2-A, RCF Policy 10.22 and PREA training requires that correction staff that are the first responders of a sexual assault shall:
	· Separate the alleged victim and abuser,
	• Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence, request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, Brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence,

• Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, bushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence.
$\cdot$ Notify the shift supervisor by telephone or in person and tell only those staff who need to know in assisting you in carrying out these responsibilities.
Staff are issued a card that includes steps to take as first responders. All random staff were able to articulate their responsibilities and have received training on preserving and protecting the crime scene.
115.64 (b): Staff training requires that non correctional staff that are first responders are required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff. All staff interviewed, including non- custody staff, were well aware of their responsibilities as first responders.
All non-contact staff that were interviewed knew their duties and reported they received the training during yearly in service and on reminder training that is sent out by the PREA compliance managers from time to time. During the last 12 months there were 5 allegations of sexual abuse that were reported. Three were reported to correctional staff and two were from non-Correctional officers. There were no reports that were reported in the time frame to preserve evidence or protect the crime scene.
All staff interviewed, including non-custody staff, were well aware of their responsibilities as first responders. 100% of staff reported that they would immediately separate the offenders, keep the victim safe, do what they could to preserve a crime scene and advise involved offenders not to wash, shower, change clothing, brush teeth, eat, drink, or use the toilet. They all said they would also immediately call a supervisor and remain on the scene until relieved by responding personnel. All staff are trained as first responders and were issued a pocket card that list the steps to take when responding to an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused.
Compliance was determined by review of the policies and training and by interviewing non-contact staff during the onsite audit. As an auditor I randomly tour the administrative, classification area and educational areas and ask staff if I could ask them a question. After reminding them that they don't have the answer the questions I ask them, "what would you do if an inmate came to you and said it was sexual assaulted". All staff were able to articulate they would follow the above requirements.

115.65	5 Coordinated response	
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard	
	Auditor Discussion	

	following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating pliance with this standard:
GEO	Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A .
RCF	Policy 10.22
Rive	rbend PREA Coordinated Response Plan dated 03/26/2023
PRE	A Incident Checklist for Incidents of Sexual Abuse and Harassment
PRE	A Cards
resp adm Incic ensu	65 (a): GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A mandates that facilities have a coordinated onse plan. RCF Coordinated Response Plan provides written guidance to staff and inistration regarding actions to take and notifications to be made. A PREA lent Checklist for Incidents of Sexual Abuse and Harassment is completed to are that all steps of the plan are conducted, and proper notifications are made. Coordinated Response Plan includes:
Actio	on required after report of sexual abuse: Initial response.
Shift	supervisors Responsibility Facility Crime Scene
Noti	fication required when a sexual abuse is alleged Evidence Protocol
Med	ical Response
Men	tal Health Response
Inve	stigative Responsibilities
-	oonsibilities when sexual harassment is alleged Responsibilities when sexual vity is alleged.
	n of the above responses includes but not limited to staff, contractors, victim ocates, OIG, and GEO PREA coordinator.
to er The Inve	EA Incident Checklist for Incidents of Sexual Abuse and Harassment is completensure that all steps of the plan are carried out and proper notifications are made. Chief of Security, Lieutenants, the PREA Compliance Manager, the Lead stigator, and members of the administrative staff are responsible to ensure pliance to the plan.
	f interviewed confirmed that they are knowledgeable of the plan and the essary actions to be taken in response to an allegation of sexual abuse.

115.66 Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers

## Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

#### **Auditor Discussion**

The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A

GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A

Statement of Fact

GEO Policy 5.1.2-A and 5.1.2-E states, "GEO shall not enter into or renew any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limits a Facility's ability to remove alleged Employee sexual abusers from contact with any Individual in a GEO Facility or Program pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted." The policies also state, "In every case where the alleged abuser is an Employee, Contractor or Volunteer, there shall be no contact with the offender pending the outcome of an investigation." Review of investigations demonstrates that RCF will separate the victim from the accused staff member in both sexual abuse and harassment usually by placing the staff member in a position where there would be no contact with the offender. Per interviews and the Statement of Fact, Riverbend Correctional Facility has not entered into any collective bargaining agreements that would limit the ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with inmates pending the outcome of an investigation.

115.67	Agency protection against retaliation
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22
	Documentation of Monitoring
	Protection from Retaliation Logs Statement of Fact
	115.67 (a): GEO Policy 5.1.2-A and RCF Policy 10.22 require the facility to implement procedures to protect offenders and employees who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by offenders or employees. The policies also state the Facility PREA

Compliance Manager or Mental Health personnel shall be responsible for monitoring retaliation of offenders in the facility. RCF designated the PCM is responsible for monitoring retaliation.

15.67 (b): The procedure states the agency has multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate, victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims and emotional support services for inmate or staff that fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations. If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation are put in place.

115.67 (c): Inmates who allege sexual abuse will be monitored by the PREA Compliance Manager who will meet weekly with the alleged victim beginning the week following the incident and continue monitoring for at least 90 days or longer if there is a continuing need. The Human Resource Manager will monitor the conduct and treatment of employees who reported staff misconduct or employee witness who cooperate with these investigations every 30 days for 90 days. Retaliation monitoring of inmate is documented on the GEO database program.

115.67 (d): Procedure also requires Monitoring of inmate includes periodic status checks. The PREA compliance manager was interviewed and indicted he would review the monitoring logs on the data base on an ongoing basis and randomly will see the inmate during tour to check on his/her status.

115.67 (e): Retaliation monitoring may be terminated if it is determined that the allegation was unfounded. The Retaliation monitor indicated that while the official monitoring will end, the facility would continue to check on the inmate for retaliation for making a report.

In interview with Retaliation Monitor, the PREA Compliance Manager and the Human Resource Manager and information provided on the Pre-Audit Questionnaire, in the past 12 months there were no incidents of retaliation that occurred.

GDC has developed a monitoring log that is uploaded into the agency database for review to assure retaliation monitoring in occurring in all GDC contracting and agency operated facilities. The form identifies the victim's name, number, date of allegation, current housing unit, date of check, issues or concerns, corrective action taken and is sign after each visit by the victim and monitor. Per the PAQ, Riverbend has not received or incurred any reports of retaliation during the past 12 months.

Compliance was determined by review of the monitoring logs, agency policy and procedures, investigative files and interviews with the retaliation monitor, agency head, and facility administrator.

115.68	Post-allegation protective custody
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

Auc	litor Discussion
	following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating policies standard:
GE	EO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
Cor	npleted PREA Victim Housing Preference Form Statement of Fact
PAC	
afte me an i of 1 a Pl mo	5.68 (a): GEO policy requires involuntary segregated housing may be used only er an assessment of available housing alternatives has shown there is no other ans of protecting the detainee. Any and all use of segregated housing to protect inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements .15.43. The facility has not utilized voluntary or involuntary restrictive housing for REA related incident for protection of inmates for sexual abuse in the last 12 nths. This was verified by statement of fact, PAQ, and interview Restrictive using Unit LT.
whe forr sex opt faci	e facility utilizes a Sexual Abuse/Assault Available Alternatives Assessment enever an inmate claims he has been sexually assaulted or abused. The same in is utilized when inmate claim fear of being placed in general population due to ual orientation during the intake process. The alternative assessment provides ions for housing of inmates without utilizing the Restrictive Housing Unit. The lity administrator provided a state of fact that the facility has not placed an late in involuntary segregation in restrictive housing during the last 12 months.
pur in v	ing the tour of the facility, the auditor reviewed the logbook which provided the pose of of all inmates housed in the RHU. There were no inmate that was housed oluntary or involuntary confinement for allegations of sexual abuse or sexual assment nor for fear of being sexual abused or harassed in general population.
	npliance was determined by review of the PAQ and interviews with Restrictive Ising Unit LT and facility administrator.

115.71	Criminal and administrative agency investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-E- PREA Investigation Procedure
	RCF Policy 10.22

RCF PREA Tracking Log

Report of Investigation

PAQ

115.71 (a): GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-E and RCF Policy 10.22 requires an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment at the Riverbend Correctional Facility, promptly, thoroughly, and objectively, including third party and anonymous reports. All allegation of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are reported to the Georgia Department of Correction Investigative Unit.

115.71 (b): GEO policy 5.1.2-E mandates that facilities shall use investigators who have received specialized training in Sexual Abuse investigations. The specialized training shall include techniques for interviewing Sexual Abuse victims, proper use of Miranda and Garrity warnings, Sexual Abuse evidence collection and the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral. The facility has one trained investigator that have completed specialized training in the investigation of sexual abuse allegations in a confinement setting. The facility provided documentation of completion of specialized investigative training completed by facility investigators. The investigator was interviewed and was extremely knowledgeable regarding conducting investigations in a confinement setting.

The auditor reviewed the Investigator Training curriculum and found it to be very comprehensive. Documentation was provided to the auditor that confirmed the investigator had received the specialized training.

115.71 (c): GEO policy 5.1.2-E mandates it is the responsibility of investigators to gather and preserve circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data. Investigators will interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators and witnesses and review prior reports of sexual abuse involving the suspected perpetrator.

15.71 (d): GEO and RCF policies requires that when the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, the facility shall conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution.

115.71 (e): The credibility of an alleged victim, suspect or witness shall be assessed on an individual basis and shall not be determined by the person's status as an inmate or staff. An inmate who alleges sexual abuse is not required to submit to a polygraph examination.

115.71 (f): The administrative investigation will include an effort to determine whether staff actions or failures to act contributed to the abuse. The administrative investigation shall be documented in a written report and include a description of the physical and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments and

investigative facts and findings.
115.71 (g): A criminal investigation shall be documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence. The facility shall request a copy of completed investigative reports from the GDC investigative unit. Allegations will be tracked on the PREA Tracking Log.
115.71 (h): Substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal shall be referred for criminal prosecution. According to information reported on the Pre-Audit Questionnaire and in interview with facility investigators, since the last PREA audit there no allegations of sexual abuse referred for prosecution. Criminal investigations are conducted by the Georgia Department of Corrections. If an allegation involves staff, notification is made to GEO's Office of Professional Responsibility for investigation.
115.71 (i): The agency will retain all written reports as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years.
115.71 (j): The departure of an alleged abuser or victim from employment or control of the facility or agency, shall not provide a basis for terminating an investigation
115.71 (k): When outside agencies investigate sexual abuse allegations, the facility will cooperate with investigators and will try to remain informed about the progress of the investigation. In interview with the PREA Coordinator, he reported that facilities are required to check in with outside investigators at a minimum of every 14 days and document that contact was made to ensure that requirements such as retaliation monitoring and notices of outcome of investigations are completed as required. In interview with facility investigators, they were knowledgeable of their responsibilities of conducting administrative investigations of all allegations received and knew when to refer allegations that appear to be criminal for criminal investigation.
Compliance was determined by review of policy, training curriculum, investigative report and interview with trained investigator.

115.72	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigations
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard: GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-E. RCF Policy 10.22

Report of Investigation

# Investigator training curriculum

115.72 (a): Based on GEO policy and Investigator training the facility shall impose no standard higher than the preponderance of evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated. Based on interviews with investigators; investigators make a finding of Substantiated, Not Substantiated, Unfounded, or Pending Further Investigation. The finding will be made using the standard of proof of the preponderance of the evidence (51 % of the evidence). The Investigator must objectively review all the evidence which is in favor of or contrary to the finding. The investigator must objectively consider and balance the evidence in favor of or contrary to the finding; and to support a finding of sexual abuse or harassment by a preponderance of evidence. Investigator must be convinced that the evidence in favor of the finding outweighs the evidence against the finding, or is convinced that the evidence, when taken as a whole, shows that it is more probable than not that the alleged incident took place in this case.

Compliance was determined by review of policy, training curriculum, investigative report and interview with trained investigators.

115.73	Reporting to inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-E
	RCF Policy 1300.04
	Investigative Report
	115.73 (a): GEO policy 5.1.2-E, and RCF Policy 10.22 indicate that following an investigation of sexual abuse of a inmate, the inmate shall be informed in writing as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated or unfounded. The PREA Compliance Manager is responsible for preparing the Notification of Outcome of Allegation form and presenting it to the alleged victim for his signature. The inmate receives a copy of the form, a copy is placed in the investigative file and a copy is forwarded to the PREA Coordinator office. According to the PCM, and a review of the investigative files confirmed there was notification provided to an inmate. There were threes inmates that were transferred and subsequently released and did not provide mailing address or no way of contacting

him. The facility has access to the GDC inmate population and indicated they had attempted to reach the inmates that had left the prison system by contacting the last facility the inmates were housed.

115.73 (b): According to policy and PREA compliance manager if the facility did not conduct the investigation, the facility shall request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the offender.

115.73 (c): GEO policy and procedure requires following an offender's allegation that an employee has committed sexual abuse against the offender; the facility is required to inform the offender of the outcome of the investigation. The inmate is to be informed if the staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit, if the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or if the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility. There was one substantiated allegation of sexual abuse that resulting in the termination of a staff member. The inmates was no longer at the facility and had been released from the GDC prison system and did not leave a forwarding address at the time of his release. The notification letter was attached to the investigative file as required by GEO policy.

115.73 (d): GEO policy and procedure requires following an offender's allegation that he has been sexually abused by another offender, the agency will inform the alleged victim if the alleged abuser has been indicated on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility or if the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility.

115.73 (e): All Notification of Outcome of Allegations or attempted notifications are documented and filed in the corresponding investigative file.

In interviews with the PREA compliance manager he meets with the inmate and explains the outcome of the investigation. This helps inmates know what an unsubstantiated allegation means in layman terms. There was one notification to an inmate during the last 12 months. The other inmates had been removed from the center and were no longer in the GDC system at the time of the outcome of the investigations. Their notification forms were filed in the investigative folders and notification of when the inmate left the facility was documented.

Compliance was determined by review of the investigative files, agency and facility policy and interviews with PREA compliance managers, investigators, and facility administrator.

115.76	Disciplinary sanctions for staff
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion

	he following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating ompliance with this standard:
G	EO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
R	CF Policy 10.22
S	taff Handbook
S	taff Discipline Reports to Law Enforcement
st te te	15.76 (a): GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A and RCF Policy 10.22 establishes the tandard that employees shall be subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including ermination for violating agency sexual abuse policy. 115.76 (b): Based on GEO policy ermination shall be the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse.
d se w d	15.76 (c): Based on GEO policy and facility administrator and human resources staf isciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies related to sexual abuse or exual harassment (other than engaging in sexual abuse) shall be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the act committed, the staff member's isciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories.
se lie th in	15.76 (d): All terminations for violations of the agency's policies on sexual abuse an exual harassment, or resignations, shall be reported to law enforcement and censing agencies unless the activity was clearly not criminal. In the interview with ne facility administrator the information and information provided by the facility nvestigator there has been one staff that resigned during the investigation which is onsidered a presumptive termination when the allegation was substantiated.
	ased on review of Policy, interviews with PREA compliance manager and facility dministrator confirm compliance with this standard.

115.77	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-E

PREA training curriculum
Statement of fact
PAQ
115.77 (a): Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse/sexual harassment would be prohibited from contact with inmates and would be reported to the appropriate investigator and law enforcement or relevant professional licensing/ certifying bodies unless the activity was clearly not criminal in nature. Any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse even though it was not criminal will be reported to professional licensing/certifying bodies.
115.77 (b): In cases that were not criminal in nature, the facility would take appropriate remedial measures and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates.
During the previous year, there were no incident where a contractor or volunteer was accused of, suspected, or found guilty of sexual abuse or sexual harassment at RCF.
Compliance was determined by review of the volunteer and contractor training and statement acknowledging violation of PREA standards. Also interviews with contractor and volunteer, PREA compliance manger and facility administrator confirm compliance with this standard.

115.78	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-E
	GDC Offender Discipline Standard Operating Procedure
	GDC Offender Disciplinary Code
	Offender Handbook
	PREA Posters of Zero Tolerance
	Statement of Fact
	By contractual agreement Riverbend Correctional Facility is mandated to utilize the Georgia Department of Corrections Policy and operating procedures for inmate's discipline.

115.78 (a): According to GDC Offender Discipline Standard Operating Procedure, if a inmate is found guilty of engaging in sexual abuse involving another inmate, either through administrative or criminal investigations, the offender will be subject to formal disciplinary sanctions. According to the PAQ, there have been no findings of sexual activity by inmates. All inmates interviewed indicated they were told it was against the rules to participate in any sexual abuse, sexual harassment, or sexual activity while at the facility. The inmates are provided a handbook that clearly identifies the facility's rules against sexual activity including consensual activity.
115.78 (b): Sanctions will be commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories.
115.78 (c): Based on GDC Offender Discipline Standard Operating Procedure, the disciplinary process may consider whether an individual's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his/her behavior when determining what type of sanction, if any, should be imposed.
115.78 (d): The facility offers counseling or other interventions designed to address the reasons or motivations for the abuse, the facility shall consider requiring the offending individual to participate. The referring agency will determine if the inmate will be required to participate in counseling or other interventions designed to address the reasons or motivations for the abuse. In an interview between the HSA and the Mental Health Provider, they confirmed the facility does provide counseling service or other interventions designed to address the reason or motivations for the abuse. They do provide mental health services and would review the mental health status of an inmate prior to a disciplinary hearing.
115.78 (e): GDC Offender Discipline Standard Operating Procedure provides that disciplining an inmate for sexual contact with an employee is prohibited unless it is found that the employee did not consent to the contact with the inmate.
115.78 (f): GDC policy includes the provision that a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred shall not constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation.
115.78 (g): The agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates and staff. Facilities may not deem that sexual activity between inmates and staff is sexual abuse unless it is determined that the activity was coerced by the inmate.
Compliance was determined by review of the facility and GDC policy, review of investigator files, and interviews with the investigator, PREA compliance manager, mental health staff and facility administrator.

115.81	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard

## **Auditor Discussion**

The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:

GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A

RCF Policy 10.22

Facility Intake Risk Assessment

Referral to MH

Consent for Mental Health Services form

Mental Health Evaluations

115.81 (a): If during initial PREA screening, the inmate reports prior sexual victimization, whether in an institutional setting or in the community, staff will ensure the inmate will be referred to mental health for further evaluation within 14 days. In review of the 30-day follow-up assessments the offender discloses prior victimization not reported during initial screening, the PREA Compliance Manager will refer the inmate to mental health for further evaluation. Medical and the Mental Health Provider, according to their professional judgement, determine the nature and scope of these services. In information reported on the Pre-Audit Questionnaire, 100% of the inmates assigned to the facility in the past 12 months who disclosed prior victimization were offered a follow-up meeting with the Mental Health Provider. At the time of the audit, there were three inmates that claimed prior victimization. The auditor reviewed 22 resident screening files and referrals were documented on all inmates that claim prior victimization or were considered to be at risk of victimization. As part of the interviews of inmates included inmates that were considered at risk of victimization by the intake staff including case manager and medical practitioners. Each interviewed indicated that they were seen by the mental health professional during their first 2 days of arriving at the center. Three of these at-risk inmates claimed sexual victimization.

115.81 (b): Any inmate who reports during initial PREA screening or in follow-up screenings he has previously perpetrated sexual abuse in an institutional setting or in the community will be offered a follow meeting with medical or mental health within 14 days of the screening. There was no inmate that claimed he perpetrated a sexual abuse.

115.81 (d): Information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness in an institutional setting is limited only to medical and mental health practitioners and other employees as necessary to inform treatment plans, security, and management decisions or otherwise federal, state or local law.

115.81 (e): Medical and mental health providers obtain consent from inmates before

reporting information about prior sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting unless the victimization occurred when the inmate was a minor. Based on interviews with mental health services, inmates who report prior sexual victimization and those who disclose previously perpetrating sexual abuse are being referred for evaluation and consent forms or refusals are being obtained. The Mental Health provider indicated that he makes himself available when new intakes arrive at the facility and tries to see each of the new intakes. He indicated that if any resident claims prior victimization or inmates that are considered at risk by the screeners, he sees them when they arrive and speaks with them in private and offers a follow up meeting the same or following day. Compliance with this standard was based on policies, mental health referrals, interview with the medical administrator, intake staff and PREA compliance manager.

115.82	Access to emergency medical and mental health services
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22
	Coordinated Response Plan
	Medical and Mental Health assessments
	Statement of Fact
	115.82 (a): GEO policy 5.1.2-A, states, "Victims of Sexual Abuse in custody shall receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services as directed by Medical and Mental Health Practitioners. Riverbend Correctional Facility utilized Baldwin County Medical center to provide emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention if onsite medical and mental health providers are not available. All services shall be provided without financial cost to the victim and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.
	115.82 (b): All staff first responders are trained to take preliminary steps to protect the victim and notify medical and mental health practitioners. Both security and non security staff interviewed knew their first responder duties. Forensic exams are not performed by facility medical staff. Inmate victims of sexual abuse are referred to Baldwin County Medical Center for emergency care.

115.82 (c): Inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated are offered timely information about and timely access to emergency sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate. Medical staff indicated that is part of the SANE process and she would follow up when the offender returns to the facility.

115.82 (d): Based on review of GEO policy and in interviews with PREA compliance manager all services are provided without financial cost to the victim, regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. During the last 12 months there was no inmate that was transported to an outside facility for a SANE or forensic examination.

Riverbend Correctional Facility medical department is staffed 24/7 and mental health is offered on-site. A MOU with Southern Crescent Sexual Assault Center was established to provide crisis intervention services. All services are provided at no cost to inmates regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident. Medical Staff interviewed reported that victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services. They also stated that once the report is received, the offender would be seen immediately. The HSA verified that the nature and scope of the treatment and crisis intervention services are determined by the professional judgment of the medical and mental health treatment staff. She also verified that they offer information about and timely access to sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, where medically appropriate. An interview with a Mental Health Director verified that mental health also meets with an inmates within 24 hours of an alleged incident of sexual abuse to offer supportive counseling. Interviews with random staff indicated that taking victims to medical is a required step when responding to an allegation of sexual abuse. There have been five allegations of sexual abuse reported in the past 12 months; all were seen by medical but no emergency medical and mental health services were required.

Compliance was determined by review of the coordinated response plan, interview with Southern Crescent Sexual Assault Center, random staff, PREA compliance manager and facility administrator.

115.83	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A

RCF Policy 10.22

Mental Health evaluations

Inmate Handbook

115.83 (a): According to RCF policy 10.22 and interviews with medical and mental health staff. the RCF will offer medical and mental health evaluations (and treatment where appropriate) to all victims of Sexual Abuse that occurs in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile Facility.

115.83 (b): The facility provides victims with medical and mental health care consistent with the community level of care, which was confirmed by interview of the nurse. The facility has full-time medical staff and 12 hours of mental health services with on-call mental health staff as needed. If an inmate went to the hospital for a SANE, the hospital would send discharge summary and mental health evaluation with the inmate. The medical administrator indicated she would call her health authority clinical director and go over the discharge summary and carryout recommendations from the hospital. The mental health staff indicated he would conduct a mental health screening and develop a treatment plan and implement the services as required.

Per interview with the HAS, he said the level of care provided is that least equal or better then community level of care. She also verified that victims of sexual abuse are offered information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, where medically appropriate Services are provided at no charge to the offender. Per the Statement of Fact, Riverbend CRF has not had any instances of sexual abuse where ongoing medical and mental health services have been needed in the past 12 months.

115.83 (c)(d): The evaluation and treatment should include follow-up services, treatment plans, and (when necessary) referrals for continued care following a transfer or released These services shall be provided in a manner that is consistent with the level of care the individual would receive in the community.

115.83 (f)(g): Victims shall also be offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate. All services shall be provided without financial cost to the victim. Treatment service shall be provided to the victims without financial cost regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident.

115.83 (h): GEO policy and practice is for the facility to attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offers treatment when deemed appropriate. The center would provide follow up treatment for inmates that had a history of victimization or were victims of sexual abuse.

Compliance was determined by review of the GEO policy, interviews with medical, mental health staff, PREA compliance manager and facility administrator.

115.86	Sexual abuse incident reviews
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22
	Report of Investigation
	After Action Reviews
	PAQ
	1 15.86 (a): GEO policy 5.1.2-A, requires facility to conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation in which the allegation has been determined to be substantiated or unsubstantiated. At the time of the PAQ there were no investigations that required an incident review team meeting. GEO has developed an After-action report that contains all the elements of an incident review team meeting.
	115.86 (b): The review is conducted within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation.
	115.86 (c): The Incident Review Committee consists of the facility director, Chief of Security, PREA Compliance Manager, Lead Investigator, Unit Manager, Classification Supervisor, and the nurse. The PREA Coordinator or his staff may attend via telephone or in person.
	115.86 (d): The team considers whether the incident was motivated by race, ethnicity, gender identity, perceived status or gang affiliation. The team examines the area where the incident was alleged to have occurred and assesses whether physical barriers in the area may have contributed to the abuse, whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented and whether the staffing levels at the time of the incident were adequate. There were three After-action reports completed during the last 12 months.
	GEO has an excellent PREA after action review form that addresses all elements of the standard. The form addresses whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse; whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; or gang affiliation; or was motivated or otherwise caused by other group dynamics at the institution; whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse; the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts; and whether

monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff.
115.86 (e): The facility will implement recommendations for improvement or document the reasons for not doing so.
Compliance was based on a review of after-action reports from and by interviews with the PREA Compliance Manager, and other Incident Review team members. When interviewed, the members of the incident review team knew their responsibilities as they relate to the review of sexual abuse incidents. The facility does after action reports on Sexual harassment when substantiated or unsubstantiated.

115.87	Data collection
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate Annual Report
	PREA Tracking Log
	GEO Corporate Policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22
	Annual Assessment
	GEO Group Annual Reports (2019-2021)
	115.87 (a): GEO policy 5.1.2-A mandates that all facilities under the GEO umbrella collect uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at all facilities under their control. GEO requires facilities to utilize a standardized instrument that includes clearly defined definitions. The incident-based data collected shall include, at minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice.
	115.87 (b): RCF policy mandates that the Facility PREA Compliance Managers shall be responsible for compiling data collected on Sexual Activity, Sexual Harassment and Sexual Abuse incidents and forwarding statistical reports to the Corporate PREA Coordinator. The PREA Compliance Manager ensures that the data is compiled and forwarded to the PREA Coordinator monthly on the Monthly PREA Incident Tracking Log. In addition to submitting the Monthly PREA Incident Tracking Log, the PREA Compliance Manager ensures that a PREA Survey is created, updated, and submitted for review and approval in the PREA Portal for every allegation of sexual abuse, sexual

harassment, sexual activity and voyeurism. At least annually, the PREA Coordinator aggregates this data. 115.87 (c): The data collected, will be at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Bureau of Justice Statistics (BJS). 115.287 (d): The agency shall maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews. 115.87 (e): This provision of this standard is not applicable to this facility. The agency does not contract for the confinement of inmates. 115.87 (f): Upon request, GEO shall provide such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30. The facility provided a copy of the monthly log and annual log for review. The log contained all elements required by policy. The review of the incident log and interview with PREA compliance manager and PREA coordinator confirmed compliance with this standard.

115.88	Data review for corrective action			
	Auditor Overall Determination: Exceeds Standard			
	Auditor Discussion			
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:			
	GEO Corporate PREA Procedure 5.1.2-A			
	RCF Policy 10.22			
	GEO Annual PREA Data Report			
	Annual PREA assessments.			
	115.88 (a): GEO Policy 5.1.2-A states, "GEO shall review all data collected in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: 1. Identifying problem areas; 2. Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis; and 3. Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole. -Such report shall include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and shall provide an assessment of GEO's progress in addressing sexual abuseThe annual report shall be approved by the appropriate divisional authority and made readily available to the public upon			

approval, at least annually through GEO's website or the client's website as required by contract. GEO may redact specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility but must indicate the nature of the material redacted."

115.88 (b): The PREA Coordinator reviews the data collected and the annual report includes a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provides an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse. This information is also captured in the annual PREA assessment completed by PREA coordinator's staff.

115.88 (c): The PREA Coordinator forwards the annual report to the Senior Vice President of GEO Care and to the Senior Vice President, US Secure Services and International Operations for their signatures and approval. The report is made public on the GEO website at https://www.geogroup.com/prea.

115.88 (d): Before making aggregated sexual abuse data public, all personal identifiers are redacted as stated on the last page of GEO's annual report.

Exceed compliance of this standard was determined by reviewing annual reports for GEO, reviewing the facility policy and interviews with the PREA coordinator and PREA compliance manager. The annual assessment for this fiscal year was on September 22, 2022. A review of the GEO website for the last 3 years confirmed the findings of the assessment team. The GEO annual report provides more information than is required and at the same time provides the person reviewing the report with a detailed look at PREA in action in GEO facilities.

115.89	Data storage, publication, and destruction
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO Corporate PREA Procedure 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22
	GEO Annual PREA Data Report
	115.89 (a): RCF Policy 1300.03 mandates all case records associated with claims of sexual abuse are maintained and filed in a secure file cabinet in the PREA compliance manager's office. During the tour of the facility, the auditor noted that the PREA compliance office was locked in each visit by her office. The auditor was required to

return all investigative file to the PREA compliance manager when leaving the conference room during the review of investigative files. The auditor noted that all computers in the case manager, medical and mental health areas were closed if no one was in the offices. Interview with case managers indicated they do not have access to investigative files on their GEO database.
115.89 (b): GEO makes all aggregated sexual abuse data from all its facilities made public annually on their website at https://www,geogroup.com/prea. A review of the website confirmed that the agency has PREA reports from 2017 until 2022 uploaded to the above website. The facility has password protected computer access and non- GEO staff are not allowed to utilize their computers. All staff at the facility interviewed indicated they must go to training in handling sensitive information.
115.89 (c): Before making aggregated sexual abuse data public, GEO removes all personal identifiers. This was confirmed by reviewing past audits and GEO annual reports.
115.89 (d): Corporate Policy: 5.1.2-A and RCF Policy 10.22 mandate data collected pursuant to this procedure shall be securely retained by the Facility or Corporate office for at least 10 years or longer if required by state statute. Before making aggregated Sexual Abuse data publicly available, all personal identifiers shall be removed. All case records associated with claims of sexual abuse, including incident reports, investigative reports, offender information, case disposition, medical and counseling evaluation findings, and recommendations for post-release treatment and/ or counseling are retained in accordance with Federal and State statues and guidelines specified by contract authority. Confinement Facilities ensures that data collected are securely retained for at least 10 years.
Compliance was determined by review of three (3) annual reports, corporate policy, facility policy and interview with the Agency PREA Coordinator.

115.401	Frequency and scope of audits
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	The following policies, directives and documentation were reviewed in formulating compliance with this standard:
	GEO policy 5.1.2-A
	RCF Policy 10.22
	115.401 (a): GEO policy 5.1.2-C - states, "During the three-year period starting on August 20, 2013, and each three-year period thereafter, GEO's Contract Compliance Department shall ensure that each Facility is audited at least once by a PREA

Auditor who has been certified through the Department of Justice." GEO's Contract Compliance Department ensures that a PREA auditor who has been certified through the Department of Justice audits each facility at least once. This is the fourth PREA audit of Riverbend Correctional Facility.
115.401 (b): According to GEO's PREA Coordinator and the annual PREA report, during the three-year period beginning on August 20, 2013, GEO ensured that each of its facilities were audited at least once and continues to ensure that its facilities are audited every three years. During the last cycle many audits were scheduled, rescheduled, and postponed due to the pandemic. However, each facility was audited during the last 3-year cycle. This is the first year of this cycle. According to GEO coordinator all facilities are scheduled to be audited during this cycle.
115.401 (h): During the audit, the auditor was allowed access to all areas of the facility during the official tour and additional visits to different areas of the facility while interviewing and observing camera locations.
115.401 (i): I requested personnel files, inmate files, training records, investigation files, logbooks, and pertinent forms utilized to conduct the requirement of the audit process. Each document was provided on a timely basis.
115.401 (m): I interviewed specialized staff, random staff, random inmates, and targeted inmates during the onsite audit. One inmate who made an allegation of sexual abuse declined to be interviewed and the facility did not prohibit me from interviewing inmates selected for interview. Interviews were conducted in a secluded area of the facility.
115.401 (n): Inmates were notified a minimum of six weeks prior to the onsite audit on posted facility notices in English and Spanish that they could send confidential correspondence to the Auditor. Interviews with offenders stated they have seen posting. No inmate contacted the Auditor prior to or during the audit.

115.403	Audit contents and findings
	Auditor Overall Determination: Meets Standard
	Auditor Discussion
	115.403 (f): Per agency policy and standard requirements, GEO ensures me that this final report will be published on their website at (https://www.geogroup.com/prea) to be available to the public. This is the fourth PREA audit of Riverbend Correctional Facility. The audit reports are posted on the website within the 90-day requirement.

Appendix: Provision Findings			
115.11 (a)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassment; PREA coordinator		
	Does the agency have a written policy mandating zero tolerance toward all forms of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
	Does the written policy outline the agency's approach to preventing, detecting, and responding to sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes	
115.11 (b)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassmer coordinator	nt; PREA	
	Has the agency employed or designated an agency-wide PREA Coordinator?	yes	
	Is the PREA Coordinator position in the upper-level of the agency hierarchy?	yes	
	Does the PREA Coordinator have sufficient time and authority to develop, implement, and oversee agency efforts to comply with the PREA standards in all of its facilities?	yes	
115.11 (c)	Zero tolerance of sexual abuse and sexual harassmer coordinator	nt; PREA	
	If this agency operates more than one facility, has each facility designated a PREA compliance manager? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes	
	Does the PREA compliance manager have sufficient time and authority to coordinate the facility's efforts to comply with the PREA standards? (N/A if agency operates only one facility.)	yes	
115.12 (a)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement o	f inmates	
	If this agency is public and it contracts for the confinement of its inmates with private agencies or other entities including other government agencies, has the agency included the entity's obligation to comply with the PREA standards in any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	na	
115.12 (b)	Contracting with other entities for the confinement o	f inmates	
	Does any new contract or contract renewal signed on or after August 20, 2012 provide for agency contract monitoring to ensure	na	

	-	
	that the contractor is complying with the PREA standards? (N/A if the agency does not contract with private agencies or other entities for the confinement of inmates.)	
115.13 (a)	Supervision and monitoring	
	Does the facility have a documented staffing plan that provides for adequate levels of staffing and, where applicable, video monitoring, to protect inmates against sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Generally accepted detention and correctional practices?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any judicial findings of inadequacy?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from Federal investigative agencies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any findings of inadequacy from internal or external oversight bodies?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: All components of the facility's physical plant (including "blind-spots" or areas where staff or inmates may be isolated)?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The composition of the inmate population?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The number and placement of supervisory staff?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The institution programs occurring on a particular shift?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into	yes

	consideration: Any applicable State or local laws, regulations, or standards?	
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: The prevalence of substantiated and unsubstantiated incidents of sexual abuse?	yes
	In calculating adequate staffing levels and determining the need for video monitoring, does the staffing plan take into consideration: Any other relevant factors?	yes
115.13 (b)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In circumstances where the staffing plan is not complied with, does the facility document and justify all deviations from the plan? (N/A if no deviations from staffing plan.)	na
115.13 (c)	Supervision and monitoring	
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The staffing plan established pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The facility's deployment of video monitoring systems and other monitoring technologies?	yes
	In the past 12 months, has the facility, in consultation with the agency PREA Coordinator, assessed, determined, and documented whether adjustments are needed to: The resources the facility has available to commit to ensure adherence to the staffing plan?	yes
115.13 (d)	Supervision and monitoring	_
	Has the facility/agency implemented a policy and practice of having intermediate-level or higher-level supervisors conduct and document unannounced rounds to identify and deter staff sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Is this policy and practice implemented for night shifts as well as day shifts?	yes
	Does the facility/agency have a policy prohibiting staff from alerting other staff members that these supervisory rounds are occurring, unless such announcement is related to the legitimate operational functions of the facility?	yes

115.14 (a)	Youthful inmates		
	Does the facility place all youthful inmates in housing units that separate them from sight, sound, and physical contact with any adult inmates through use of a shared dayroom or other common space, shower area, or sleeping quarters? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na	
115.14 (b)	Youthful inmates		
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency maintain sight and sound separation between youthful inmates and adult inmates? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na	
	In areas outside of housing units does the agency provide direct staff supervision when youthful inmates and adult inmates have sight, sound, or physical contact? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na	
115.14 (c)	Youthful inmates		
	Does the agency make its best efforts to avoid placing youthful inmates in isolation to comply with this provision? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na	
	Does the agency, while complying with this provision, allow youthful inmates daily large-muscle exercise and legally required special education services, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na	
	Do youthful inmates have access to other programs and work opportunities to the extent possible? (N/A if facility does not have youthful inmates (inmates <18 years old).)	na	
115.15 (a)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches		
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting any cross-gender strip or cross-gender visual body cavity searches, except in exigent circumstances or by medical practitioners?	yes	
115.15 (b)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches		
	Does the facility always refrain from conducting cross-gender pat- down searches of female inmates, except in exigent circumstances? (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates.)	na	
	Does the facility always refrain from restricting female inmates' access to regularly available programming or other out-of-cell opportunities in order to comply with this provision? (N/A if the	na	

	facility does not have female inmates.)		
115.15 (c)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches		
	Does the facility document all cross-gender strip searches and cross-gender visual body cavity searches?	yes	
	Does the facility document all cross-gender pat-down searches of female inmates (N/A if the facility does not have female inmates)?	na	
115.15 (d)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches	_	
	Does the facility have policies that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes	
	Does the facility have procedures that enables inmates to shower, perform bodily functions, and change clothing without nonmedical staff of the opposite gender viewing their breasts, buttocks, or genitalia, except in exigent circumstances or when such viewing is incidental to routine cell checks?	yes	
	Does the facility require staff of the opposite gender to announce their presence when entering an inmate housing unit?	yes	
115.15 (e)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches		
	Does the facility always refrain from searching or physically examining transgender or intersex inmates for the sole purpose of determining the inmate's genital status?	yes	
	If an inmate's genital status is unknown, does the facility determine genital status during conversations with the inmate, by reviewing medical records, or, if necessary, by learning that information as part of a broader medical examination conducted in private by a medical practitioner?	yes	
115.15 (f)	Limits to cross-gender viewing and searches		
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct cross-gender pat down searches in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes	
	Does the facility/agency train security staff in how to conduct searches of transgender and intersex inmates in a professional and respectful manner, and in the least intrusive manner possible, consistent with security needs?	yes	

115.16 (a)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited English proficient	
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who are blind or have low vision?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have intellectual disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have psychiatric disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: inmates who have speech disabilities?	yes
	Does the agency take appropriate steps to ensure that inmates with disabilities have an equal opportunity to participate in or benefit from all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including: Other (if "other," please explain in overall determination notes.)	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, ensuring effective communication with inmates who are deaf or hard of hearing?	yes
	Do such steps include, when necessary, providing access to interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication	yes

	with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have intellectual disabilities?	
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: Have limited reading skills?	yes
	Does the agency ensure that written materials are provided in formats or through methods that ensure effective communication with inmates with disabilities including inmates who: are blind or have low vision?	yes
115.16 (b)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited proficient	l English
	Does the agency take reasonable steps to ensure meaningful access to all aspects of the agency's efforts to prevent, detect, and respond to sexual abuse and sexual harassment to inmates who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Do these steps include providing interpreters who can interpret effectively, accurately, and impartially, both receptively and expressively, using any necessary specialized vocabulary?	yes
115.16 (c)	Inmates with disabilities and inmates who are limited proficient	l English
115.16 (c)		yes
115.16 (c) 115.17 (a)	<b>proficient</b> Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	_
	<b>proficient</b> Does the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?	_
	proficientDoes the agency always refrain from relying on inmate interpreters, inmate readers, or other types of inmate assistance except in limited circumstances where an extended delay in obtaining an effective interpreter could compromise the inmate's safety, the performance of first-response duties under §115.64, or the investigation of the inmate's allegations?Hiring and promotion decisionsDoes the agency prohibit the hiring or promotion of anyone who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile	yes

may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	
Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)?	yes
Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse?	yes
Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above?	yes
Hiring and promotion decisions	
Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates?	yes
Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
Hiring and promotion decisions	
Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check?	yes
Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse?	yes
Hiring and promotion decisions	
Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates?	yes
	administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has engaged in sexual abuse in a prison, jail, lockup, community confinement facility, juvenile facility, or other institution (as defined in 42 U.S.C. 1997)? Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been convicted of engaging or attempting to engage in sexual activity in the community facilitated by force, overt or implied threats of force, or coercion, or if the victim did not consent or was unable to consent or refuse? Does the agency prohibit the enlistment of services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates who has been civilly or administratively adjudicated to have engaged in the activity described in the two bullets immediately above? <b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b> Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to hire or promote anyone who may have contact with inmates? Does the agency consider any incidents of sexual harassment in determining whether to enlist the services of any contractor who may have contact with inmates? <b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b> Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency perform a criminal background records check? Before hiring new employees who may have contact with inmates, does the agency, consistent with Federal, State, and local law, make its best efforts to contact all prior institutional employers for information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or any resignation during a pending investigation of an allegation of sexual abuse? <b>Hiring and promotion decisions</b> Does the agency perform a criminal background records check before enlisting the services of any contractor who may have

115.17 (e)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency either conduct criminal background records checks at least every five years of current employees and contractors who may have contact with inmates or have in place a system for otherwise capturing such information for current employees?	yes
115.17 (f)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in written applications or interviews for hiring or promotions?	yes
	Does the agency ask all applicants and employees who may have contact with inmates directly about previous misconduct described in paragraph (a) of this section in any interviews or written self-evaluations conducted as part of reviews of current employees?	yes
	Does the agency impose upon employees a continuing affirmative duty to disclose any such misconduct?	yes
115.17 (g)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency consider material omissions regarding such misconduct, or the provision of materially false information, grounds for termination?	yes
115.17 (h)	Hiring and promotion decisions	
	Does the agency provide information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee upon receiving a request from an institutional employer for whom such employee has applied to work? (N/A if providing information on substantiated allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment involving a former employee is prohibited by law.)	yes
115.18 (a)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	
	If the agency designed or acquired any new facility or planned any substantial expansion or modification of existing facilities, did the agency consider the effect of the design, acquisition, expansion, or modification upon the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not acquired a new facility or made a substantial expansion to existing facilities since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	na
115.18 (b)	Upgrades to facilities and technologies	

	If the agency installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology, did the agency consider how such technology may enhance the agency's ability to protect inmates from sexual abuse? (N/A if agency/facility has not installed or updated a video monitoring system, electronic surveillance system, or other monitoring technology since August 20, 2012, or since the last PREA audit, whichever is later.)	yes
115.21 (a)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency is responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, does the agency follow a uniform evidence protocol that maximizes the potential for obtaining usable physical evidence for administrative proceedings and criminal prosecutions? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (b)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Is this protocol developmentally appropriate for youth where applicable? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
	Is this protocol, as appropriate, adapted from or otherwise based on the most recent edition of the U.S. Department of Justice's Office on Violence Against Women publication, "A National Protocol for Sexual Assault Medical Forensic Examinations, Adults/ Adolescents," or similarly comprehensive and authoritative protocols developed after 2011? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (c)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency offer all victims of sexual abuse access to forensic medical examinations, whether on-site or at an outside facility, without financial cost, where evidentiarily or medically appropriate?	yes
	Are such examinations performed by Sexual Assault Forensic Examiners (SAFEs) or Sexual Assault Nurse Examiners (SANEs) where possible?	yes
	If SAFEs or SANEs cannot be made available, is the examination performed by other qualified medical practitioners (they must have been specifically trained to conduct sexual assault forensic exams)?	yes

	Has the agency documented its efforts to provide SAFEs or SANEs?	yes
115.21 (d)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	Does the agency attempt to make available to the victim a victim advocate from a rape crisis center?	yes
	If a rape crisis center is not available to provide victim advocate services, does the agency make available to provide these services a qualified staff member from a community-based organization, or a qualified agency staff member? (N/A if the agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
	Has the agency documented its efforts to secure services from rape crisis centers?	yes
115.21 (e)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	As requested by the victim, does the victim advocate, qualified agency staff member, or qualified community-based organization staff member accompany and support the victim through the forensic medical examination process and investigatory interviews?	yes
	As requested by the victim, does this person provide emotional support, crisis intervention, information, and referrals?	yes
115.21 (f)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency itself is not responsible for investigating allegations of sexual abuse, has the agency requested that the investigating agency follow the requirements of paragraphs (a) through (e) of this section? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting criminal AND administrative sexual abuse investigations.)	yes
115.21 (h)	Evidence protocol and forensic medical examinations	
	If the agency uses a qualified agency staff member or a qualified community-based staff member for the purposes of this section, has the individual been screened for appropriateness to serve in this role and received education concerning sexual assault and forensic examination issues in general? (N/A if agency always makes a victim advocate from a rape crisis center available to victims.)	yes
115.22 (a)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations

	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency ensure an administrative or criminal investigation is completed for all allegations of sexual harassment?	yes
115.22 (b)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations
	Does the agency have a policy and practice in place to ensure that allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are referred for investigation to an agency with the legal authority to conduct criminal investigations, unless the allegation does not involve potentially criminal behavior?	yes
	Has the agency published such policy on its website or, if it does not have one, made the policy available through other means?	yes
	Does the agency document all such referrals?	yes
115.22 (c)	Policies to ensure referrals of allegations for investig	ations
	If a separate entity is responsible for conducting criminal investigations, does the policy describe the responsibilities of both the agency and the investigating entity? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for criminal investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.31 (a)	Employee training	
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on its zero-tolerance policy for sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to fulfill their responsibilities under agency sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, reporting, and response policies and procedures?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on inmates' right to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the right of inmates and employees to be free from retaliation for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on the dynamics of sexual abuse and sexual harassment in confinement?	yes

	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with	yes
	inmates on the common reactions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment victims?	
i	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to detect and respond to signs of threatened and actual sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to avoid inappropriate relationships with inmates?	yes
i	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to communicate effectively and professionally with inmates, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming inmates?	yes
i	Does the agency train all employees who may have contact with inmates on how to comply with relevant laws related to mandatory reporting of sexual abuse to outside authorities?	yes
115.31 (b) E	Employee training	
	Is such training tailored to the gender of the inmates at the employee's facility?	yes
f	Have employees received additional training if reassigned from a facility that houses only male inmates to a facility that houses only female inmates, or vice versa?	yes
115.31 (c) E	Employee training	
	Have all current employees who may have contact with inmates received such training?	yes
	Does the agency provide each employee with refresher training every two years to ensure that all employees know the agency's current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies and procedures?	yes
	In years in which an employee does not receive refresher training,	yes
	does the agency provide refresher information on current sexual abuse and sexual harassment policies?	
115.31 (d) E	abuse and sexual harassment policies?	yes

	Has the agency ensured that all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates have been trained on their responsibilities under the agency's sexual abuse and sexual harassment prevention, detection, and response policies and procedures?	yes
115.32 (b)	Volunteer and contractor training	
	Have all volunteers and contractors who have contact with inmates been notified of the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment and informed how to report such incidents (the level and type of training provided to volunteers and contractors shall be based on the services they provide and level of contact they have with inmates)?	yes
115.32 (c)	Volunteer and contractor training	_
	Does the agency maintain documentation confirming that volunteers and contractors understand the training they have received?	yes
115.33 (a)	Inmate education	
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining the agency's zero-tolerance policy regarding sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	During intake, do inmates receive information explaining how to report incidents or suspicions of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
115.33 (b)	Inmate education	_
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Their rights to be free from retaliation for reporting such incidents?	yes
	Within 30 days of intake, does the agency provide comprehensive education to inmates either in person or through video regarding: Agency policies and procedures for responding to such incidents?	yes
115.33 (c)	Inmate education	
	Have all inmates received the comprehensive education referenced in 115.33(b)?	yes

	Do inmates receive education upon transfer to a different facility to the extent that the policies and procedures of the inmate's new facility differ from those of the previous facility?	yes
115.33 (d)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are limited English proficient?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are deaf?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are visually impaired?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who are otherwise disabled?	yes
	Does the agency provide inmate education in formats accessible to all inmates including those who have limited reading skills?	yes
115.33 (e)	Inmate education	
	Does the agency maintain documentation of inmate participation in these education sessions?	yes
115.33 (f)	Inmate education	
115.33 (f)	Inmate education In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
115.33 (f) 115.34 (a)	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats?	yes yes
	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? <b>Specialized training: Investigations</b> In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
115.34 (a)	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? <b>Specialized training: Investigations</b> In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
115.34 (a)	In addition to providing such education, does the agency ensure that key information is continuously and readily available or visible to inmates through posters, inmate handbooks, or other written formats? <b>Specialized training: Investigations</b> In addition to the general training provided to all employees pursuant to §115.31, does the agency ensure that, to the extent the agency itself conducts sexual abuse investigations, its investigators receive training in conducting such investigations in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).) <b>Specialized training: Investigations</b> Does this specialized training include techniques for interviewing sexual abuse victims? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See	yes

	Garrity warnings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does this specialized training include sexual abuse evidence collection in confinement settings? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
	Does this specialized training include the criteria and evidence required to substantiate a case for administrative action or prosecution referral? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.34 (c)	Specialized training: Investigations	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that agency investigators have completed the required specialized training in conducting sexual abuse investigations? (N/A if the agency does not conduct any form of administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.35 (a)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
	mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners	yes yes
	mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to detect and assess signs of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.) Does the agency ensure that all full- and part-time medical and mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities have been trained in how to preserve physical evidence of sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in	

	suspicions of sexual abuse and sexual harassment? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	
115.35 (b)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	If medical staff employed by the agency conduct forensic examinations, do such medical staff receive appropriate training to conduct such examinations? (N/A if agency medical staff at the facility do not conduct forensic exams or the agency does not employ medical staff.)	yes
115.35 (c)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Does the agency maintain documentation that medical and mental health practitioners have received the training referenced in this standard either from the agency or elsewhere? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners who work regularly in its facilities.)	yes
115.35 (d)	Specialized training: Medical and mental health care	
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners employed by the agency also receive training mandated for employees by §115.31? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners employed by the agency.)	yes
	Do medical and mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency also receive training mandated for contractors and volunteers by §115.32? (N/A if the agency does not have any full- or part-time medical or mental health care practitioners contracted by or volunteering for the agency.)	yes
115.41 (a)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all inmates assessed during an intake screening for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
	Are all inmates assessed upon transfer to another facility for their risk of being sexually abused by other inmates or sexually abusive toward other inmates?	yes
115.41 (b)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Do intake screenings ordinarily take place within 72 hours of arrival at the facility?	yes
115.41 (c)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Are all PREA screening assessments conducted using an objective	yes

	screening instrument?	
115.41 (d)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness	
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (1) Whether the inmate has a mental, physical, or developmental disability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (2) The age of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (3) The physical build of the inmate?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (4) Whether the inmate has previously been incarcerated?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (5) Whether the inmate's criminal history is exclusively nonviolent?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (6) Whether the inmate has prior convictions for sex offenses against an adult or child?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (7) Whether the inmate is or is perceived to be gay, lesbian, bisexual, transgender, intersex, or gender nonconforming (the facility affirmatively asks the inmate about his/her sexual orientation and gender identity AND makes a subjective determination based on the screener's perception whether the inmate is gender non- conforming or otherwise may be perceived to be LGBTI)?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (8) Whether the inmate has previously experienced sexual victimization?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (9) The inmate's own perception of vulnerability?	yes
	Does the intake screening consider, at a minimum, the following criteria to assess inmates for risk of sexual victimization: (10)	yes

	Whether the inmate is detained solely for civil immigration purposes?		
115.41 (e)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior acts of sexual abuse?	yes	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: prior convictions for violent offenses?	yes	
	In assessing inmates for risk of being sexually abusive, does the initial PREA risk screening consider, as known to the agency: history of prior institutional violence or sexual abuse?	yes	
115.41 (f)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	Within a set time period not more than 30 days from the inmate's arrival at the facility, does the facility reassess the inmate's risk of victimization or abusiveness based upon any additional, relevant information received by the facility since the intake screening?	yes	
115.41 (g)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a referral?	yes	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to a request?	yes	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to an incident of sexual abuse?	yes	
	Does the facility reassess an inmate's risk level when warranted due to receipt of additional information that bears on the inmate's risk of sexual victimization or abusiveness?	yes	
115.41 (h)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	Is it the case that inmates are not ever disciplined for refusing to answer, or for not disclosing complete information in response to, questions asked pursuant to paragraphs $(d)(1)$ , $(d)(7)$ , $(d)(8)$ , or (d)(9) of this section?	yes	
115.41 (i)	Screening for risk of victimization and abusiveness		
	Has the agency implemented appropriate controls on the dissemination within the facility of responses to questions asked pursuant to this standard in order to ensure that sensitive	yes	

	information is not exploited to the inmate's detriment by staff or other inmates?		
115.42 (a)	Use of screening information		
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Housing Assignments?	yes	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Bed assignments?	yes	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Work Assignments?	yes	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Education Assignments?	yes	
	Does the agency use information from the risk screening required by § 115.41, with the goal of keeping separate those inmates at high risk of being sexually victimized from those at high risk of being sexually abusive, to inform: Program Assignments?	yes	
115.42 (b)	Use of screening information		
	Does the agency make individualized determinations about how to ensure the safety of each inmate?	yes	
115.42 (c)	Use of screening information		
	When deciding whether to assign a transgender or intersex inmate to a facility for male or female inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would present management or security problems (NOTE: if an agency by policy or practice assigns inmates to a male or female facility on the basis of anatomy alone, that agency is not in compliance with this standard)?	yes	
	When making housing or other program assignments for transgender or intersex inmates, does the agency consider, on a case-by-case basis, whether a placement would ensure the inmate's health and safety, and whether a placement would	yes	

	present management or security problems?		
115.42 (d)	Use of screening information		
	Are placement and programming assignments for each transgender or intersex inmate reassessed at least twice each year to review any threats to safety experienced by the inmate?	yes	
115.42 (e)	Use of screening information		
	Are each transgender or intersex inmate's own views with respect to his or her own safety given serious consideration when making facility and housing placement decisions and programming assignments?	yes	
115.42 (f)	Use of screening information		
	Are transgender and intersex inmates given the opportunity to shower separately from other inmates?	yes	
115.42 (g)	Use of screening information		
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: lesbian, gay, and bisexual inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: transgender inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	yes	
	Unless placement is in a dedicated facility, unit, or wing established in connection with a consent decree, legal settlement, or legal judgment for the purpose of protecting lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex inmates, does the agency always refrain from placing: intersex inmates in dedicated facilities, units, or wings solely on the basis of such identification or status? (N/A if the agency has a dedicated facility, unit, or wing	yes	

	solely for the placement of LGBT or I inmates pursuant to a consent degree, legal settlement, or legal judgement.)	
115.43 (a)	Protective Custody	
	Does the facility always refrain from placing inmates at high risk for sexual victimization in involuntary segregated housing unless an assessment of all available alternatives has been made, and a determination has been made that there is no available alternative means of separation from likely abusers?	yes
	If a facility cannot conduct such an assessment immediately, does the facility hold the inmate in involuntary segregated housing for less than 24 hours while completing the assessment?	yes
115.43 (b)	Protective Custody	
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Programs to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Privileges to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Education to the extent possible?	yes
	Do inmates who are placed in segregated housing because they are at high risk of sexual victimization have access to: Work opportunities to the extent possible?	yes
	If the facility restricts any access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the opportunities that have been limited? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the duration of the limitation? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
	If the facility restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities, does the facility document the reasons for such limitations? (N/A if the facility never restricts access to programs, privileges, education, or work opportunities.)	yes
115.43 (c)	Protective Custody	

	Does the facility assign inmates at high risk of sexual victimization to involuntary segregated housing only until an alternative means of separation from likely abusers can be arranged?	yes
	Does such an assignment not ordinarily exceed a period of 30 days?	yes
115.43 (d)	Protective Custody	
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The basis for the facility's concern for the inmate's safety?	yes
	If an involuntary segregated housing assignment is made pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section, does the facility clearly document: The reason why no alternative means of separation can be arranged?	yes
115.43 (e)	Protective Custody	
	In the case of each inmate who is placed in involuntary segregation because he/she is at high risk of sexual victimization, does the facility afford a review to determine whether there is a continuing need for separation from the general population EVERY 30 DAYS?	yes
115.51 (a)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to	yes yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting	
115.51 (b)	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment? Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?	yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?Inmate reporting Does the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private	yes yes
	Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Sexual abuse and sexual harassment?Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Retaliation by other inmates or staff for reporting sexual abuse and sexual harassment?Does the agency provide multiple internal ways for inmates to privately report: Staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to such incidents?Inmate reportingDoes the agency also provide at least one way for inmates to report sexual abuse or sexual harassment to a public or private entity or office that is not part of the agency?Is that private entity or office able to receive and immediately forward inmate reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment to	yes yes yes

	-	
	anonymous upon request?	
	Are inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes provided information on how to contact relevant consular officials and relevant officials at the Department of Homeland Security? (N/A if the facility never houses inmates detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	yes
115.51 (c)	Inmate reporting	
	Does staff accept reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment made verbally, in writing, anonymously, and from third parties?	yes
	Does staff promptly document any verbal reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
115.51 (d)	Inmate reporting	
	Does the agency provide a method for staff to privately report sexual abuse and sexual harassment of inmates?	yes
115.52 (a)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Is the agency exempt from this standard? NOTE: The agency is exempt ONLY if it does not have administrative procedures to address inmate grievances regarding sexual abuse. This does not mean the agency is exempt simply because an inmate does not have to or is not ordinarily expected to submit a grievance to report sexual abuse. This means that as a matter of explicit policy, the agency does not have an administrative remedies process to address sexual abuse.	yes
115.52 (b)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency permit inmates to submit a grievance regarding an allegation of sexual abuse without any type of time limits? (The agency may apply otherwise-applicable time limits to any portion of a grievance that does not allege an incident of sexual abuse.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency always refrain from requiring an inmate to use any informal grievance process, or to otherwise attempt to resolve with staff, an alleged incident of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (c)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency ensure that: An inmate who alleges sexual abuse may submit a grievance without submitting it to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from	na

	this standard.)	
	Does the agency ensure that: Such grievance is not referred to a staff member who is the subject of the complaint? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (d)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Does the agency issue a final agency decision on the merits of any portion of a grievance alleging sexual abuse within 90 days of the initial filing of the grievance? (Computation of the 90-day time period does not include time consumed by inmates in preparing any administrative appeal.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	If the agency claims the maximum allowable extension of time to respond of up to 70 days per 115.52(d)(3) when the normal time period for response is insufficient to make an appropriate decision, does the agency notify the inmate in writing of any such extension and provide a date by which a decision will be made? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	At any level of the administrative process, including the final level, if the inmate does not receive a response within the time allotted for reply, including any properly noticed extension, may an inmate consider the absence of a response to be a denial at that level? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (e)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	Are third parties, including fellow inmates, staff members, family members, attorneys, and outside advocates, permitted to assist inmates in filing requests for administrative remedies relating to allegations of sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Are those third parties also permitted to file such requests on behalf of inmates? (If a third party files such a request on behalf of an inmate, the facility may require as a condition of processing the request that the alleged victim agree to have the request filed on his or her behalf, and may also require the alleged victim to personally pursue any subsequent steps in the administrative remedy process.) (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	If the inmate declines to have the request processed on his or her behalf, does the agency document the inmate's decision? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (f)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	

	-	
	Has the agency established procedures for the filing of an emergency grievance alleging that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	After receiving an emergency grievance alleging an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does the agency immediately forward the grievance (or any portion thereof that alleges the substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse) to a level of review at which immediate corrective action may be taken? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.).	na
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency provide an initial response within 48 hours? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	After receiving an emergency grievance described above, does the agency issue a final agency decision within 5 calendar days? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the initial response and final agency decision document the agency's determination whether the inmate is in substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the initial response document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
	Does the agency's final decision document the agency's action(s) taken in response to the emergency grievance? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.52 (g)	Exhaustion of administrative remedies	
	If the agency disciplines an inmate for filing a grievance related to alleged sexual abuse, does it do so ONLY where the agency demonstrates that the inmate filed the grievance in bad faith? (N/A if agency is exempt from this standard.)	na
115.53 (a)	Inmate access to outside confidential support service	es
	Does the facility provide inmates with access to outside victim advocates for emotional support services related to sexual abuse by giving inmates mailing addresses and telephone numbers, including toll-free hotline numbers where available, of local, State, or national victim advocacy or rape crisis organizations?	yes
	Does the facility provide persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes mailing addresses and telephone numbers,	yes

	including toll-free hotline numbers where available of local, State, or national immigrant services agencies? (N/A if the facility never has persons detained solely for civil immigration purposes.)	
	Does the facility enable reasonable communication between inmates and these organizations and agencies, in as confidential a manner as possible?	yes
115.53 (b)	Inmate access to outside confidential support service	S
	Does the facility inform inmates, prior to giving them access, of the extent to which such communications will be monitored and the extent to which reports of abuse will be forwarded to authorities in accordance with mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.53 (c)	Inmate access to outside confidential support service	S
	Does the agency maintain or attempt to enter into memoranda of understanding or other agreements with community service providers that are able to provide inmates with confidential emotional support services related to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the agency maintain copies of agreements or documentation showing attempts to enter into such agreements?	yes
115.54 (a)	Third-party reporting	
	Has the agency established a method to receive third-party reports of sexual abuse and sexual harassment?	yes
	Has the agency distributed publicly information on how to report sexual abuse and sexual harassment on behalf of an inmate?	yes
115.61 (a)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment that occurred in a facility, whether or not it is part of the agency?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding retaliation against inmates or staff who reported an incident of sexual abuse or sexual harassment?	yes
	Does the agency require all staff to report immediately and according to agency policy any knowledge, suspicion, or information regarding any staff neglect or violation of responsibilities that may have contributed to an incident of sexual	yes

	abuse or sexual harassment or retaliation?	
115.61 (b)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Apart from reporting to designated supervisors or officials, does staff always refrain from revealing any information related to a sexual abuse report to anyone other than to the extent necessary, as specified in agency policy, to make treatment, investigation, and other security and management decisions?	yes
115.61 (c)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Unless otherwise precluded by Federal, State, or local law, are medical and mental health practitioners required to report sexual abuse pursuant to paragraph (a) of this section?	yes
	Are medical and mental health practitioners required to inform inmates of the practitioner's duty to report, and the limitations of confidentiality, at the initiation of services?	yes
115.61 (d)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	If the alleged victim is under the age of 18 or considered a vulnerable adult under a State or local vulnerable persons statute, does the agency report the allegation to the designated State or local services agency under applicable mandatory reporting laws?	yes
115.61 (e)	Staff and agency reporting duties	
	Does the facility report all allegations of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, including third-party and anonymous reports, to the facility's designated investigators?	yes
115.62 (a)	Agency protection duties	
	When the agency learns that an inmate is subject to a substantial risk of imminent sexual abuse, does it take immediate action to protect the inmate?	yes
115.63 (a)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Upon receiving an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused while confined at another facility, does the head of the facility that received the allegation notify the head of the facility or appropriate office of the agency where the alleged abuse occurred?	yes
115.63 (b)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Is such notification provided as soon as possible, but no later than 72 hours after receiving the allegation?	yes

115.63 (c)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the agency document that it has provided such notification?	yes
115.63 (d)	Reporting to other confinement facilities	
	Does the facility head or agency office that receives such notification ensure that the allegation is investigated in accordance with these standards?	yes
115.64 (a)	Staff first responder duties	
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Separate the alleged victim and abuser?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Preserve and protect any crime scene until appropriate steps can be taken to collect any evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
	Upon learning of an allegation that an inmate was sexually abused, is the first security staff member to respond to the report required to: Ensure that the alleged abuser does not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, including, as appropriate, washing, brushing teeth, changing clothes, urinating, defecating, smoking, drinking, or eating, if the abuse occurred within a time period that still allows for the collection of physical evidence?	yes
115.64 (b)	Staff first responder duties	1
	If the first staff responder is not a security staff member, is the responder required to request that the alleged victim not take any actions that could destroy physical evidence, and then notify security staff?	yes
115.65 (a)	Coordinated response	
	Has the facility developed a written institutional plan to coordinate actions among staff first responders, medical and mental health practitioners, investigators, and facility leadership taken in	yes

	response to an incident of sexual abuse?	
115.66 (a)	Preservation of ability to protect inmates from contact with abusers	
	Are both the agency and any other governmental entities responsible for collective bargaining on the agency's behalf prohibited from entering into or renewing any collective bargaining agreement or other agreement that limit the agency's ability to remove alleged staff sexual abusers from contact with any inmates pending the outcome of an investigation or of a determination of whether and to what extent discipline is warranted?	yes
115.67 (a)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Has the agency established a policy to protect all inmates and staff who report sexual abuse or sexual harassment or cooperate with sexual abuse or sexual harassment investigations from retaliation by other inmates or staff?	yes
	Has the agency designated which staff members or departments are charged with monitoring retaliation?	yes
115.67 (b)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Does the agency employ multiple protection measures, such as housing changes or transfers for inmate victims or abusers, removal of alleged staff or inmate abusers from contact with victims, and emotional support services for inmates or staff who fear retaliation for reporting sexual abuse or sexual harassment or for cooperating with investigations?	yes
115.67 (c)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates or staff who reported the sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor the conduct and treatment of inmates who were reported to have suffered sexual abuse to see if there are changes that may suggest possible retaliation by inmates or staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of	yes

	sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Act promptly to remedy any such retaliation?	
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor any inmate disciplinary reports?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate housing changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor inmate program changes?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor negative performance reviews of staff?	yes
	Except in instances where the agency determines that a report of sexual abuse is unfounded, for at least 90 days following a report of sexual abuse, does the agency: Monitor reassignments of staff?	yes
	Does the agency continue such monitoring beyond 90 days if the initial monitoring indicates a continuing need?	yes
115.67 (d)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	In the case of inmates, does such monitoring also include periodic status checks?	yes
115.67 (e)	Agency protection against retaliation	
	If any other individual who cooperates with an investigation expresses a fear of retaliation, does the agency take appropriate measures to protect that individual against retaliation?	yes
115.68 (a)	Post-allegation protective custody	
	Is any and all use of segregated housing to protect an inmate who is alleged to have suffered sexual abuse subject to the requirements of § 115.43?	yes
115.71 (a)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When the agency conducts its own investigations into allegations	yes

	of sexual abuse and sexual harassment, does it do so promptly, thoroughly, and objectively? (N/A if the agency/facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	
	Does the agency conduct such investigations for all allegations, including third party and anonymous reports? (N/A if the agency/ facility is not responsible for conducting any form of criminal OR administrative sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.71 (b)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Where sexual abuse is alleged, does the agency use investigators who have received specialized training in sexual abuse investigations as required by 115.34?	yes
115.71 (c)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Do investigators gather and preserve direct and circumstantial evidence, including any available physical and DNA evidence and any available electronic monitoring data?	yes
	Do investigators interview alleged victims, suspected perpetrators, and witnesses?	yes
	Do investigators review prior reports and complaints of sexual	yes
	abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	,
115.71 (d)		,
115.71 (d)	abuse involving the suspected perpetrator?	yes
115.71 (d) 115.71 (e)	abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? <b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b> When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	-
	abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? <b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b> When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution?	-
	abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? Criminal and administrative agency investigations When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? Criminal and administrative agency investigations Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of	yes
	abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? <b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b> When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? <b>Criminal and administrative agency investigations</b> Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition	yes
115.71 (e)	abuse involving the suspected perpetrator? Criminal and administrative agency investigations When the quality of evidence appears to support criminal prosecution, does the agency conduct compelled interviews only after consulting with prosecutors as to whether compelled interviews may be an obstacle for subsequent criminal prosecution? Criminal and administrative agency investigations Do agency investigators assess the credibility of an alleged victim, suspect, or witness on an individual basis and not on the basis of that individual's status as inmate or staff? Does the agency investigate allegations of sexual abuse without requiring an inmate who alleges sexual abuse to submit to a polygraph examination or other truth-telling device as a condition for proceeding?	yes yes

	Are administrative investigations documented in written reports that include a description of the physical evidence and testimonial evidence, the reasoning behind credibility assessments, and investigative facts and findings?	yes
115.71 (g)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are criminal investigations documented in a written report that contains a thorough description of the physical, testimonial, and documentary evidence and attaches copies of all documentary evidence where feasible?	yes
115.71 (h)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Are all substantiated allegations of conduct that appears to be criminal referred for prosecution?	yes
115.71 (i)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency retain all written reports referenced in 115.71(f) and (g) for as long as the alleged abuser is incarcerated or employed by the agency, plus five years?	yes
115.71 (j)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	Does the agency ensure that the departure of an alleged abuser or victim from the employment or control of the agency does not provide a basis for terminating an investigation?	yes
115.71 (I)	Criminal and administrative agency investigations	
	When an outside entity investigates sexual abuse, does the facility cooperate with outside investigators and endeavor to remain informed about the progress of the investigation? (N/A if an outside agency does not conduct administrative or criminal sexual abuse investigations. See 115.21(a).)	yes
115.72 (a)	Evidentiary standard for administrative investigation	S
	Is it true that the agency does not impose a standard higher than a preponderance of the evidence in determining whether allegations of sexual abuse or sexual harassment are substantiated?	yes
115.73 (a)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an investigation into an inmate's allegation that he or she suffered sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency inform the inmate as to whether the allegation has been determined to be substantiated, unsubstantiated, or unfounded?	yes

115.73 (b)	Reporting to inmates	
	If the agency did not conduct the investigation into an inmate's allegation of sexual abuse in an agency facility, does the agency request the relevant information from the investigative agency in order to inform the inmate? (N/A if the agency/facility is responsible for conducting administrative and criminal investigations.)	yes
115.73 (c)	Reporting to inmates	
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the inmate has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer posted within the inmate's unit?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The staff member is no longer employed at the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse in the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that a staff member has committed sexual abuse against the resident, unless the agency has determined that the allegation is unfounded, or unless the resident has been released from custody, does the agency subsequently inform the resident whenever: The agency learns that the staff member has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
115.73 (d)	Reporting to inmates	•
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been indicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	yes
	Following an inmate's allegation that he or she has been sexually	yes

	abused by another inmate, does the agency subsequently inform the alleged victim whenever: The agency learns that the alleged abuser has been convicted on a charge related to sexual abuse within the facility?	
115.73 (e)	Reporting to inmates	
	Does the agency document all such notifications or attempted notifications?	yes
115.76 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are staff subject to disciplinary sanctions up to and including termination for violating agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies?	yes
115.76 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Is termination the presumptive disciplinary sanction for staff who have engaged in sexual abuse?	yes
115.76 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are disciplinary sanctions for violations of agency policies relating to sexual abuse or sexual harassment (other than actually engaging in sexual abuse) commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the acts committed, the staff member's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other staff with similar histories?	yes
115.76 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for staff	
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Law enforcement agencies(unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes
	Are all terminations for violations of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies, or resignations by staff who would have been terminated if not for their resignation, reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (a)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse prohibited from contact with inmates?	yes
	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Law enforcement agencies (unless the activity was clearly not criminal)?	yes

	Is any contractor or volunteer who engages in sexual abuse reported to: Relevant licensing bodies?	yes
115.77 (b)	Corrective action for contractors and volunteers	
	In the case of any other violation of agency sexual abuse or sexual harassment policies by a contractor or volunteer, does the facility take appropriate remedial measures, and consider whether to prohibit further contact with inmates?	yes
115.78 (a)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Following an administrative finding that an inmate engaged in inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, or following a criminal finding of guilt for inmate-on-inmate sexual abuse, are inmates subject to disciplinary sanctions pursuant to a formal disciplinary process?	yes
115.78 (b)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Are sanctions commensurate with the nature and circumstances of the abuse committed, the inmate's disciplinary history, and the sanctions imposed for comparable offenses by other inmates with similar histories?	yes
115.78 (c)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	When determining what types of sanction, if any, should be imposed, does the disciplinary process consider whether an inmate's mental disabilities or mental illness contributed to his or her behavior?	yes
115.78 (d)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the facility offers therapy, counseling, or other interventions designed to address and correct underlying reasons or motivations for the abuse, does the facility consider whether to require the offending inmate to participate in such interventions as a condition of access to programming and other benefits?	yes
115.78 (e)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	Does the agency discipline an inmate for sexual contact with staff only upon a finding that the staff member did not consent to such contact?	yes
115.78 (f)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	For the purpose of disciplinary action does a report of sexual abuse made in good faith based upon a reasonable belief that the alleged conduct occurred NOT constitute falsely reporting an incident or lying, even if an investigation does not establish	yes

	evidence sufficient to substantiate the allegation?	
115.78 (g)	Disciplinary sanctions for inmates	
	If the agency prohibits all sexual activity between inmates, does the agency always refrain from considering non-coercive sexual activity between inmates to be sexual abuse? (N/A if the agency does not prohibit all sexual activity between inmates.)	yes
115.81 (a)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex	ual abuse
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison).	yes
115.81 (b)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex	ual abuse
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a prison inmate has previously perpetrated sexual abuse, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a prison.)	yes
115.81 (c)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex	ual abuse
	If the screening pursuant to § 115.41 indicates that a jail inmate has experienced prior sexual victimization, whether it occurred in an institutional setting or in the community, do staff ensure that the inmate is offered a follow-up meeting with a medical or mental health practitioner within 14 days of the intake screening? (N/A if the facility is not a jail).	yes
115.81 (d)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sexual abuse	
	Is any information related to sexual victimization or abusiveness that occurred in an institutional setting strictly limited to medical and mental health practitioners and other staff as necessary to inform treatment plans and security management decisions, including housing, bed, work, education, and program assignments, or as otherwise required by Federal, State, or local law?	yes
115.81 (e)	Medical and mental health screenings; history of sex	ual abuse
	Do medical and mental health practitioners obtain informed consent from inmates before reporting information about prior	yes

115.83 (c)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a	buse
	Does the evaluation and treatment of such victims include, as appropriate, follow-up services, treatment plans, and, when necessary, referrals for continued care following their transfer to, or placement in, other facilities, or their release from custody?	yes
115.83 (b)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual a victims and abusers	buse
	Does the facility offer medical and mental health evaluation and, as appropriate, treatment to all inmates who have been victimized by sexual abuse in any prison, jail, lockup, or juvenile facility?	yes
115.83 (a)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.82 (d)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	ices
113.62 (C)	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse offered timely information about and timely access to emergency contraception and sexually transmitted infections prophylaxis, in accordance with professionally accepted standards of care, where medically appropriate?	yes
115.82 (c)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	ices
	Do security staff first responders immediately notify the appropriate medical and mental health practitioners?	yes
	If no qualified medical or mental health practitioners are on duty at the time a report of recent sexual abuse is made, do security staff first responders take preliminary steps to protect the victim pursuant to § 115.62?	yes
115.82 (b)	Access to emergency medical and mental health serv	ices
	Do inmate victims of sexual abuse receive timely, unimpeded access to emergency medical treatment and crisis intervention services, the nature and scope of which are determined by medical and mental health practitioners according to their professional judgment?	yes
115.82 (a)	Access to emergency medical and mental health services	
	sexual victimization that did not occur in an institutional setting, unless the inmate is under the age of 18?	

	victims and abusers	
	Does the facility provide such victims with medical and mental health services consistent with the community level of care?	yes
115.83 (d)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexually abusive vaginal penetration while incarcerated offered pregnancy tests? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (e)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If pregnancy results from the conduct described in paragraph § 115.83(d), do such victims receive timely and comprehensive information about and timely access to all lawful pregnancy-related medical services? (N/A if "all male" facility. Note: in "all male" facilities there may be inmates who identify as transgender men who may have female genitalia. Auditors should be sure to know whether such individuals may be in the population and whether this provision may apply in specific circumstances.)	na
115.83 (f)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are inmate victims of sexual abuse while incarcerated offered tests for sexually transmitted infections as medically appropriate?	yes
115.83 (g)	) Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	Are treatment services provided to the victim without financial cost and regardless of whether the victim names the abuser or cooperates with any investigation arising out of the incident?	yes
115.83 (h)	Ongoing medical and mental health care for sexual abuse victims and abusers	
	If the facility is a prison, does it attempt to conduct a mental health evaluation of all known inmate-on-inmate abusers within 60 days of learning of such abuse history and offer treatment when deemed appropriate by mental health practitioners? (NA if the facility is a jail.)	yes

115.86 (a)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility conduct a sexual abuse incident review at the conclusion of every sexual abuse investigation, including where the allegation has not been substantiated, unless the allegation has been determined to be unfounded?	yes
115.86 (b)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does such review ordinarily occur within 30 days of the conclusion of the investigation?	yes
115.86 (c)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team include upper-level management officials, with input from line supervisors, investigators, and medical or mental health practitioners?	yes
115.86 (d)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the review team: Consider whether the allegation or investigation indicates a need to change policy or practice to better prevent, detect, or respond to sexual abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Consider whether the incident or allegation was motivated by race; ethnicity; gender identity; lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, or intersex identification, status, or perceived status; gang affiliation; or other group dynamics at the facility?	yes
	Does the review team: Examine the area in the facility where the incident allegedly occurred to assess whether physical barriers in the area may enable abuse?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess the adequacy of staffing levels in that area during different shifts?	yes
	Does the review team: Assess whether monitoring technology should be deployed or augmented to supplement supervision by staff?	yes
	Does the review team: Prepare a report of its findings, including but not necessarily limited to determinations made pursuant to §§ 115.86(d)(1)-(d)(5), and any recommendations for improvement and submit such report to the facility head and PREA compliance manager?	yes
115.86 (e)	Sexual abuse incident reviews	
	Does the facility implement the recommendations for improvement, or document its reasons for not doing so?	yes

115.87 (a)	Data collection	
	Does the agency collect accurate, uniform data for every allegation of sexual abuse at facilities under its direct control using a standardized instrument and set of definitions?	yes
115.87 (b)	Data collection	
	Does the agency aggregate the incident-based sexual abuse data at least annually?	yes
115.87 (c)	Data collection	
	Does the incident-based data include, at a minimum, the data necessary to answer all questions from the most recent version of the Survey of Sexual Violence conducted by the Department of Justice?	yes
115.87 (d)	Data collection	
	Does the agency maintain, review, and collect data as needed from all available incident-based documents, including reports, investigation files, and sexual abuse incident reviews?	yes
115.87 (e)	Data collection	
	Does the agency also obtain incident-based and aggregated data from every private facility with which it contracts for the confinement of its inmates? (N/A if agency does not contract for the confinement of its inmates.)	na
115.87 (f)	Data collection	
	Does the agency, upon request, provide all such data from the previous calendar year to the Department of Justice no later than June 30? (N/A if DOJ has not requested agency data.)	yes
115.88 (a)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Identifying problem areas?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Taking corrective action on an ongoing basis?	yes
	Does the agency review data collected and aggregated pursuant	yes

	to § 115.87 in order to assess and improve the effectiveness of its sexual abuse prevention, detection, and response policies, practices, and training, including by: Preparing an annual report of its findings and corrective actions for each facility, as well as the agency as a whole?	
115.88 (b)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency's annual report include a comparison of the current year's data and corrective actions with those from prior years and provide an assessment of the agency's progress in addressing sexual abuse?	yes
115.88 (c)	Data review for corrective action	
	Is the agency's annual report approved by the agency head and made readily available to the public through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	yes
115.88 (d)	Data review for corrective action	
	Does the agency indicate the nature of the material redacted where it redacts specific material from the reports when publication would present a clear and specific threat to the safety and security of a facility?	yes
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction	
115.89 (a)	Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes
115.89 (a) 115.89 (b)	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87	yes
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?	yes yes
	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained?         Data storage, publication, and destruction         Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through	
115.89 (b)	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means?	
115.89 (b)	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making	yes
115.89 (b) 115.89 (c)	Does the agency ensure that data collected pursuant to § 115.87 are securely retained? Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency make all aggregated sexual abuse data, from facilities under its direct control and private facilities with which it contracts, readily available to the public at least annually through its website or, if it does not have one, through other means? Data storage, publication, and destruction Does the agency remove all personal identifiers before making aggregated sexual abuse data publicly available?	yes

115.403	Audit contents and findings	
	Were inmates permitted to send confidential information or correspondence to the auditor in the same manner as if they were communicating with legal counsel?	yes
115.401 (n)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to conduct private interviews with inmates, residents, and detainees?	yes
115.401 (m)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Was the auditor permitted to request and receive copies of any relevant documents (including electronically stored information)?	yes
115.401 (i)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	Did the auditor have access to, and the ability to observe, all areas of the audited facility?	yes
115.401 (h)	Frequency and scope of audits	·
	If this is the third year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least two-thirds of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, were audited during the first two years of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the third year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	If this is the second year of the current audit cycle, did the agency ensure that at least one-third of each facility type operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited during the first year of the current audit cycle? (N/A if this is not the second year of the current audit cycle.)	na
	Is this the first year of the current audit cycle? (Note: a "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes
115.401 (b)	Frequency and scope of audits	
	During the prior three-year audit period, did the agency ensure that each facility operated by the agency, or by a private organization on behalf of the agency, was audited at least once? (Note: The response here is purely informational. A "no" response does not impact overall compliance with this standard.)	yes

(f)		
	The agency has published on its agency website, if it has one, or has otherwise made publicly available, all Final Audit Reports. The review period is for prior audits completed during the past three years PRECEDING THIS AUDIT. The pendency of any agency appeal pursuant to 28 C.F.R. § 115.405 does not excuse noncompliance with this provision. (N/A if there have been no Final Audit Reports issued in the past three years, or, in the case of single facility agencies, there has never been a Final Audit Report issued.)	yes